

ANNUAL MEETING OF AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES,
NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY, 15, 1905

President August Belmont in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Members	W.G. Rockefeller Dwight Moore W.P. Earle
American Dachshund Club	G. Muss-Arnolt
American Spaniel Club	H.K. Bloodgood
Atlantic City Kennel Club	J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Association	Samuel R. Cutler
Boston Terrier Club	F.H. Osgood
Bryn Mawr Kennel Club	J.W. Mitchell
Bulldog Club of America	W.C. Codman
Canonsburg Kennel Club	Dr. C. Motschenbacher
Collie Club of America	Edwin J. Van Schaick
Columbus Fanciers' Club	J.M. Taylor
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania	G.M. Carnochan
French Bulldog Club of America	F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America	O.H. Albenesius
Irish Setter Club	J.J. Donohue
Irish Terrier Club of America	Singleton Van Schiack
Ladies' Kennel Association of Massachusetts	Henry Jarrett
Long Island Kennel Club	Jos. M. Dale

Madison Athletic Association	E.L. Jones
Mascoutah Kennel Club	C.F.R. Drake
New England Collie Club	Tom B. Middlebrooke
New England Kennel Club	W.B. Emery
New Jersey Kennel Club	C.G. Hopton
Philadelphia Dog Show Association	Clement B. Newbold
Russian Wolfhound Club	Dr. J.E. De Mund
San Francisco Kennel Club	R.P. Keasbey
Spaniel Breeders' Society	Marcel A. Viti
St. Louis Collie Club	Daniel Buckley
Texas Kennel Club	Geo. W. Clayton
Welsh Terrier Club of America	B.S. Smith
Westminster Kennel Club	Harry T. Peters
Wissahickon Kennel Club	D. Murray Bohlen

The certificate of election was read, and is as follows:

New York, February 6, 1905

To the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, in accordance with Article XI, Section 6, of the American Kennel Club Constitution, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, for the officers and delegates of the Associate Members of

said Club, to hold office for the term of the one year from this date, or until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of the Associate Members entitled to vote to be 240.

Total number of votes cast 135; total number of votes scattering, 24; total number of irregular votes, and thrown out, 2.

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members, of the year ending in February, 1906, to wit:-

President	H.K. Bloodgood
Vice-President	L. Loring Brooks
Secretary	H.T. Peters
(1) Delegate	W.G. Rockefeller
(2) Delegate	Dwight Moore
(3) Delegate	W.P. Earle

(Signed) E.S. Woodward

Representing the President A.K.C.

H.K. Bloodgood

President of Associate Members;

(Attest) A.P. Vredenburg

Secretary, A.K.C.

THE CHAIR: If there is no exception taken to

The regularly of the credentials of the delegates of the associate membership, they will act as part of this meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the reading of the minutes of the last meeting be dispensed with owing to their having been published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: I move that the minutes be adopted as published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The following named delegates to represent the following named clubs were elected:

G. Muss-Arnolt	American Dachshund Club
Dr. C. Motschenbacher	Canonsburg Kennel Club
J. Willoughby Mitchell	Bryn Mawr Kennel Club
James Watson	Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association
O.H. Albanesus	Great Dane Club of America
Milton Clark	Rochester Kennel Club
S.L. Goldenberg	Toy Spaniel Club of America
Dr. Walter B. Weaver	Cincinnati Kennel Association

THE SECRETARY: I know of credentials from the Boston Terrier Club appointing its Secretary, Mr. F.H. Osgood, as its delegate, and I fail to find those credentials, but I can state that they have been received by me, and I am afraid they may have been

attached to some other paper that I cannot put my hands on a the moment.

THE CHAIR: The Secretary states that he has received credentials from the Boston Terrier Club appointing Mr. Osgood as its delegate, but that those credentials he cannot just now find. If you desire to approve of his appointment, that may be done.

Moved and seconded that such approval be given.

Carried.

THE CHAIR: I will ask that the Secretary's report and Treasurer's report be not read at present unless exception is taken to the ruling of the chair in that respect, when the newly elected officers have been chosen. I therefore ask Mr. Viti to take the Chair in order that you may proceed to the election of officers for the ensuing year.

Mr. Viti takes the Chair.

THE CHAIR: The officers to be voted for at this meeting are President, Vice-President, the Chairman first, and then the members of the Stud Book Committee, Constitution & Rules Committee, Field Trials & Coursing Committee, Finance Committee, Membership Committee. The first nominations in order will be for the office of President.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I nominate Mr. August Belmont

to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that nominations be closed.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIR: The question now before the house is upon the nomination of Mr. Belmont for President of this Association for the ensuing year.

THE CHAIR then put the question and Mr. Belmont was unanimously elected.

At this point Mr. Belmont resumes the Chair.

THE CHAIR: I desire to thank you for your mark of confidence and my re-election to this office which I have held for so many years, but which I have felt really was not occupied by me in a manner that was wholly for the best interests of your Association; but I do not find that a sufficient number of you agree with me to permit me to insist upon your relieving me from my duties, which do not rest upon me as burdens, but simply I have not sufficient time to do, as I feel, justice to them. However, you will have during the ensuing year such time as I can afford to give, and certainly such time as I can afford to give, and certainly such time will be given with the same interest that I have always felt in the

welfare of the American Kennel Club. (Applause).

The next office to be filled is Vice-President. Nominations are now in order.

MR. MOORE: I would like to place in nominations for that office Mr. Hunnewell to succeed himself. Mr. Hunnewell has presided at our meetings during the absence of the President with a great deal of ability, and I am sure we owe it to him and the delegates to unanimously re-elect him.

On motion nominations were declared closed.

On motion Mr. Hunnewell was elected Vice-President, and so declared by the Chair.

THE SECRETARY: The next in order is the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee. Mr. Marcel A. Viti is the retiring Chairman.

MR. MOORE: I place in nomination the name of the Mr. Viti to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations declared closed.

On motion Mr. Viti was elected Chairman of the Stud Book Committee and so declared by the Chair.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move the nomination of Messrs. James W. Appleton and Singleton Van Schaick to succeed themselves as members of the Stud Book

Committee.

On motion nominations declared closed.

On motion Messrs. James W. Appleton and Singleton Van Schiack were elected members of the Stud Book Committee and so declared by the Chair.

THE CHAIR: Nominations are next in order for the Chairman of the Committee on Constitution & Rules. Mr. G.M. Carnochan is the present incumbent.

MR. MOORE: I desire to place in nominations the name of Mr. Carnochan to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations closed.

On motion Mr. G.M. Carnochan was elected as Chairman of the Committee on Constitution & Rules and so declared by the Chair.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I desire to renominate the three following gentlemen on that Committee, Messrs. E.S. Woodward, Henry Jarrett and G. Muss-Arnolt to succeed themselves as members of that Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations closed.

On motion Messrs. E.S. Woodward, Henry Jarrett and G. Muss-Arnolt were elected as members of the Committee on Constitution & Rules and so declared by the Chair.

MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. J.W. Appleton as Chairman of the Committee on Field Trials & Coursing Meetings to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations closed.

On motion Mr. J.W. Appleton was elected Chairman of the Committee on Field Trials & Coursing Meetings and so declared by the Chair.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. William G. Rockefeller as Chairman of the Finance Committee to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations closed.

On motion Mr. Rockefeller was elected as Chairman of the Finance Committee and so declared by the Chair.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. G.M. Carnochan and Mr. Dwight Moore as members of the Finance Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations closed.

On motion Mr. Carnochan and Mr. Moore were elected as members of the Finance Committee and so declared by the Chair.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I nominate Mr. William Emery to succeed himself as Chairman of the Membership Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations declared closed.

On motion Mr. Emery was elected as Chairman of the Membership Committee and so declared by the Chair.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I nominate Mr. Singleton Van Schaick to succeed himself as a member of the Membership Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move the nomination of Mr. B.S. Smith to succeed Mr. R.H. Williams, who is no longer a delegate, as a members of the Membership Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations declared closed.

On motion Messrs. Van Schaick and Smith were elected as members of the Membership Committee and so declared by the Chair.

The Secretary then read the report of the Membership Committee, as follows:

New York, February 14th, 1905

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, the following applications for admission to active membership were received:

Washington, Pa. Kennel Club

Passaic County Fish & Game Protective Association

Miller River Kennel Club

Dalmatian Club of America

These applications conform to the requirements of the Constitution, are hereby approved and their admission recommended.

The following credentials having been filed in accordance with the Constitution were duly considered and are hereby approved:

American Dachshund Club	Appointing	G. Muss-Arnolt
Cannonsburg K.C.	“	Dr. C. Motschenbacher
Bryn Mawr K.C.	“	J. Willoughby Mitchell
Seattle Dog Fanciers' Assn.	“	James Watson
Great Dane Club of America	“	O.H. Albanesius
Rochester K.C.	“	Milton Clark
Toy Spaniel Club of America	“	S.L. Goldenberg
Cincinnati Kennel Association	“	Dr. Walter B. Weaver

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. B. Emery

Chairman

The Secretary read his regular quarterly report as follows:

New York, February 14, 1905

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit my regular quarterly report. Four applications for admission to membership in the club and credentials from eight clubs appointing delegates have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report upon the same at this meeting. I have referred to the Stud Book Committee thirty-five applications for Kennel Names, and four applications for the transfer of registered kennel names,

and said committee will report on the same at this meeting.

I will present the minutes of the Executive Board of February 1, 1905, minutes of the meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee of December 9, 1904, December 29, 1904, and of January 9, 1905, neither of which have yet been published in the Gazette. I also report that the Portland Kennel Club of Portland Oregon, has been admitted to active membership in this club by the Pacific Advisory Committee, and said club has been granted the dates of May 3rd to 6th, 1905, for its Bench Show, and the classification for said show has been duly approved by said Pacific Advisory Committee.

I beg to submit an application from the Brunswick Fur Club, to change its title to that of the Brunswick Foxhound Club, with the request that our records be amended to conform thereto. I regret that it becomes my duty to again report the fact that the Rhode Island Kennel Club published the wrong rules in its premium list for its late show January 24th and 26th, 1905.

I will present a communication from A.G. Clark in reference to his kennel name "Marlborough."

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary

On motion it was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was then read, and is as follows:

New York, February 14, 1905

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand, January 1, 1905	-----	\$16,714.95
Receipts from January 1 st to date	-----	<u>2,650.51</u>
Total	-----	\$19,365.46

Disbursements from January 1 st to date	-----	<u>1,754.39</u>
Balance on hand	-----	17,611.07

I beg to report the following clubs in arrears for dues fro 1905:

Bull Terrier Club of America	Toledo Fanciers' Association
Sharon Kennel Club	Louisville Collie Club
Columbus Fanciers' Association	
New Jersey Kennel Club	
Bar Harbor Kennel Club	
Binghamton Industrial Exposition	
Orange County Agricultural Society	
Fanciers' Association of Indiana	
Pueblo Kennel Club	
Franklin Oil City	

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburg

Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Executive Board was read, and is as follows:

New York, February 1, 1905

Meeting called to order at 2:15 P.M. H.K. Bloodgood in the Chair.

Present: H.K. Bloodgood, W.B. Emery, M.A. Viti, G.M. Carnochan, W.G. Rockefeller.

Absent: A. Belmont, H.H. Hunnewell, J.W. Appleton.

The following matter were presented by the Secretary, duly investigated and decided as follows:

S.W. Franklin)

Vs.) Re misconduct in connection with dogs.

Fred E. Rice)

In the above case Rice sold to Franklin a Boston Terrier, giving a written guarantee that said terrier could be registered. The application for the registration of said dog was refused, for the reason of short pedigree, upon the strength of which the charges were preferred as Rice had failed to fulfill his guarantee. The charges were filed with the A.K.C. May 9, 1904. During the interval between that date and the holding of this meeting Rice settled the case to the entire satisfaction of Franklin, who requested the privilege of withdrawing his charges. It was therefore ORDERED – that as no fraud has been shown, the application to withdraw the charges is hereby granted, and the deposit of ten dollars be returned to Franklin.

Wilbur E. Evans)

Vs.) Re misconduct in connection with dogs.

Dr. W.G. Kandall)

In the above case Evans sent a French Bull bitch to Dr. Kendall, for service to his dog RICO, and paid therefore \$25.00. In a printed card issued by Kendall advertising Champion Rico in Stud, it says “a litter guaranteed.” Evans’

bitch was bred to Rico twice, without result, and was thereafter bred to another dog by name of TOBY, and he gave evidence before this Board, that as a result of breeding to the said TOBY, his bitch gave positive evidence of being in whelp. Evans filed an affidavit that his bitch had never been in whelp to Champion Rico. It was therefore ORDERED – that owing to the fact that a litter was guaranteed by Kendall, and that said guarantee was never fulfilled, that the said Kendall be and hereby is directed to return the \$25.00, being the amount of the fee paid to him by Evans, and that if the said fee be not returned within thirty days from the date of this meeting, said Kendall shall be disqualified.

J.W. Minturn)

vs.) Re misconduct in connection with dogs.

W.M. Alexander)

In this case, Alexander sold a dog to Minturn (a Great Dane), named HANOVER CEDRIC, which he represented as being thirty-five inches tall, and also as the tallest Great Dane in America. Minturn purchased this dog upon the representation made, and paid therefore \$175.00, on or about the 17th September, 1904. On the 28th day of September thereafter, Alexander wrote another letter to Minturn, in which he offers to sell another Great Dane to him, named ALEXANDER TIGER II, and said that his dog is about an inch taller than the dog he had just sold to Minturn. The dog purchased, HANOVER CEDRIC, was received by Minturn's kennel man, on September 30, 1904, who

reported at once to Minturn that the dog was not as represented. On October 10 following, Minturn personally examined the dog and found that he only measured thirty-one inches in height instead of thirty-five as represented. On October 19, 1904, Minturn sent a special delivery letter to Alexander, repudiating the contract, and demanded the return of his money upon the receipt of which he would return the dog to Alexander. To this letter Minturn never received a reply. In Alexander's defense, he claims that on October 4, 1904, he received a letter from Minturn accepting the dog. This statement is denied by Minturn. The defense continues that "heights" in Great Danes, are judged by comparison, and claims that the dog in question is nearer thirty-five than thirty-one inches in height. The Board renders its decision as follows, - Whereas Alexander sold a dog representing it to be the tallest dog of its breed in America, and Whereas Minturn purchased said dog upon that representation, paying therefore \$175.00, and Whereas, after the consumption of said sale, Alexander offered to sell another dog of the same breed to said sale, Alexander offered to sell another dog of the same breed to said Minturn, representing it to be one inch taller than the dog he had sold, representing it to be the tallest of its breed in America, it is therefore ORDERED, - That unless Alexander can and does produce the alleged letter from Minturn, dated October 4th, 1904, accepting said dog, said Alexander is hereby directed to refund the sum of \$175.00 within thirty days of the date hereof, to

Minturn, and that upon the receipt of said money, Minturn is hereby directed to return the dog to said Alexander. In default of the said Alexander complying with this order, within thirty days, he shall be disqualified.

Merritt C. Ring)

Vs.) Re misconduct in connection with dogs.

F.G. McIntosh)

In the above case, about April 2, 1904, Mc Intosh offered to sell a dog to Ring, (a red Cocker Spaniel bitch) named ECLIPSE ELLEN, and represented her to be in whelp, to his champion PERFECTION, and also by a printed notice upon his letter paper, guaranteeing satisfaction. In said letter, he writes, "remember I guarantee all my statements, if not as represented, money refunded."; in a second letter dated May 4, 1904, in speaking of the said ECLIPSE ELLEN which Ring had purchased, says, "she is die to have her pups May 31, 1904." Ring wanted until that date, and as the said bitch did not have puppies, duly notified Mc Intosh, the following day, June 1, 1904, that the bitch had failed, claimed a return of his money, stood ready to return the bitch, and that in the meantime would hold her subject to Mc Intosh's order. Mc Intosh replied on June 6th, writing to this effect: "I cannot sell a dog and let the customer accept it and keep it a number of weeks and then decide he does not want it and return it and get his money back." Mc Intosh refused to fulfill his guarantee. The defense of Mc Intosh under date of January 30, 1905, states that he wrote

to Ring that he was willing to do what was customary among breeders, to give him a return service, and that if she again failed, that he would make an arrangement that would satisfy him by giving him another bitch or refund him his money. He claimed that Ring ignored such a fair proposition, upon which he requested Ring to bring the matter up before the American Kennel Club for a decision, hence these charges.

It was decided as follows: Whereas, Mc Intosh made this sale upon the representation that the bitch was in whelp to his dog PERFECTION which proved to be untrue, and Whereas, Mc Intosh guaranteed all of his statements in reference to this Bitch, it is ORDERED – that the said Mc Intosh be and hereby is directed to refund to the said Ring the sum of \$35.00, being the purchase price of said botch, and that the said Ring be directed upon the receipt of said money, to return said bitch to Mc Intosh, the return express charge to be paid by the said Mc Intosh, and if said order is not complied with by Mc Intosh within thirty days of the date hereof, he will be disqualified.

Spotswood Kennels)
Vs.)
Boston Terrier Club)

Re appeal from cancellation of the winning of
the Boston Terrier, EASTOVER LANCELOT,
At the Boston Terrier Club Show, held November
16-19, 1904

In the above case the Boston Terrier EASTOVER LANCELOT, was protested, upon the ground that the dog's tail had been improperly tampered with, said protest was forwarded to the

American Kennel Club for its action, and was at once returned with the information that original jurisdiction in such cases could only be assumed by the Showgiving club, but could come before the American Kennel Club, on appeal from either part after a decision had been rendered by the Show giving club. Pursuant to this information the Bench Show Committee of the Boston Terrier Club, met, and decided the case, by sustaining of the Show. From this decision the above appeal was lodged with the A.K.C. The evidence produced by the Spottswood Kennels was an X-Ray exposure of the dog's tail, affidavits by M.K. Kasabian, G.R. Hartman, and John J. Maher. All connected with the medical profession and all present at the time the X-Ray or Skiagraph of the dog's tail was made, and all testifying that no indication or evidence of the tail of the said dog, as having been docked or tampered with, was revealed. It is therefore ORDERED, -- that the appeal of the Spottswood Kennels is hereby sustained, and that the original winning of the Boston Terrier EASTOVER LANCELOT be reinstated.

JAMES WATSON – Request for ruling.

In this case Watson's dog Red Hills Doctor, was moved to first place at the Chicago and Atlantic City shows, in 1904, by reason of the cancellation of the winnings of the Irish Terrier COURTLAND THYGE. Watson made a claim on both clubs for the difference in prize money due him by reason of the said marking up of his dog. He alleges that neither club

has paid to him the extra prize money demanded, and appeals to the A.K.C. under date of January 25th-27th, 1905, to enforce his claim as above set forth. Rule XXII, governing Dog Shows, and Rule V, Governing Clubs holding Shows, say, "that all claims against a club for unpaid prizes shall be outlawed after the expiration of six months from the last day of the closing of a show." Watson contends that the Chicago Show ended March 12th, 1904, but the official list of awards were not published in the Gazette until May 31st, 1904, and the awards at the Atlantic City Show, which closed April 2nd, 1904, the official awards were not published in the Gazette until July 31st, 1904, and claims that the six months limit, could not be effective until after the official awards had been published. This Board rules that in accordance with the Rules now in force that all claims must be made within six months from the closing day of the show, and under said rule Watson's claim has been outlawed.

Upon motion of Mr. Viti, the following minute was adopted:

This Board has learned with sorrow of the loss this Association has sustained in the death of Mr. Frank H. Croker. Mr. Croker was constant in his attendance at meetings, his personal character and his ability endeared him to his associates, and while as a delegate he rendered exceptional and valued services to the Association for several years.

Be it therefore resolved that this Board hasten to avail itself of this, its first opportunity to express its sentiments of the loss we have sustained, and to convey its sympathy to his family.

Adjournment,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary read three reports of meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, dated December 9, 1904, December 29, 1904, and January 9, 1905. They are as follows:

-December 9, 1904-

Present: J.E. De Ruyter, Chairman, Dr. W.P. Burnham, J.P. Norman

Absent: H.H. Carlton, C.K. Harley.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

On motion the rules were suspended in order in consider first all charges of misconduct before the Committee.

It was moved and seconded that the charge of the Pacific Fox-Terrier Club against S. Barnett of uttering a false and fraudulent pedigree be taken up. Carried.

The Pacific Fox-Terrier Club vs. S. Barnett: The complaint, duly sworn and signed by J.B. Martin,

Secretary of the Pacific Fox-Terrier Club, sets forth, "That the said S. Barnett sold a Fox-Terrier dog puppy to Hugh McCracken and furnished as a pedigree of said puppy a pedigree of a dog "Female and Male," whelped February 10th, 1902, sire Woodlawn Rustic, dam Sappho, breeder Mrs. T. Murphy, Hollister."

The pedigree was produced in evidence.

A letter was submitted from Mrs. T. Murphy, stating that Woodlawn Rustic was bred only once to Sappho and died shortly afterwards. The litter contained three puppies, all females.

The Secretary stated that a copy of the charge had been mailed to Mr. S. Barnett in a registered letter and Mr. Barnett's receipt for the said letter was produced.

Mr. McCracken stated that the pedigree had been handed to him personally by Mr. Barnett with the assurance that it was the true pedigree of the puppy bought.

There being no defense, it was

Moved and seconded that whereas the evidence produced before this committee proves conclusively that S. Barnett has sold to Hugh McCracken a fox terrier dog puppy and furnished therewith a pedigree of a dog whelped February 10th, 1902, bred by Mrs. T. Murphy sired by Woodland Rustic out of Sappho and whereas the said pedigree is evidence per se of its having been drawn up for a bitch puppy, and Whereas it is proved that no dog puppies were born in the litter for one of which the above pedigree was drawn up, and whereas it is shown on reliable

evidence that the said S. Barnett furnished the said pedigree, knowing that it was not the true pedigree of the dog puppy sold, and

Whereas the said S. Barnett has failed to answer the charge in any way or to appear before this committee as summoned,

Therefore be it Resolved, that the said S. Barnett is proved to be guilty of knowingly uttering a false and fraudulent pedigree and thereby of conduct prejudicial to dogs and dog shows; and

That the said S. Barnett be and hereby is disqualified and debarred from all the privileges of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

On motion, the Secretary was directed to procure publicity for the above resolution.

It was moved and seconded that the committee do not proceed to consider the charges against John Perry of San Francisco. Carried.

Mr. Hugh McCracken stated that the Collie dog exhibited by John Perry at the San Francisco show of April, 1904, under the name of island Chief, catalogue No. 283, in the class for Collies Novice Dogs, breeder alleged to be Thomas S> Griffiths, alleged to be whelped January, 1903, has since been bred by the said John Perry to various bitches and pups have been sold out of the resultant litters, giving the pedigree of the dog

as being by Chance IV out of Ormskirk Princess, bred by C.G. Nairn, Ore., and whelped December, 1902. Mr. Hugh McCracken stated that this same dog, which he could identify, was offered to him for \$15.00 by a Frenchman, who stated that his wife had bought the dog from a man in the streets of Portland, Oregon. Mr. McCracken refused to buy the dog, because it had no pedigree. He stated the dog was afterwards sold to John Perry and is the one exhibited and bred by Perry as Island Chief. Perry declared to Mr. McCracken that a friend of his had written to Mr. Thomas Griffiths of Spokane, Washington, and had sent a picture of the dog. And that Mr. Griffiths has recognized the dog as being of his breeding. Mr. Griffiths, under date of October 27th, 1904, in a letter to Mr. Hugh McCracken produced in evidence, said that he had received such a letter, but had answered that to the best of his knowledge, he had never seen such a collie before, and that he thought the dog was a fake. Mr. McCracken further stated that Mr. Perry had bred the dog to several bitches under the second pedigree stated above, and produced an advertising card, on the back of which, in handwriting supposed to be John Perry's and to be signed by the latter, the following particulars are written:

Whelped December, 1902. Change IV – Ormskirk Princess. C.D. Narin, Ballston, Oregon. Sold January, 1901. John Perry.

Mr. Vredenburg, Secretary of the American Kennel Club, under date of November 28th, directs this committee to investigate the charge. C.D. Nairn of Ballston, Ore., under state of November 13th, advised that according to the dates given, the dog Island Chief, could not be a son of Change IV out of Ormskirk Princess.

Norman J. Stewart stated that to the best of his belief Change IV had been sold by Mr. Nairn to Mr. Albee before the date mentioned as the whelping of Island Chief.

After some discussion of the evidence, the Secretary was directed to write to Mr. John Perry, notifying him of the charges and calling on him to explain the contradictory pedigrees and the exhibition of the dog at the San Francisco show of 1904 under a pedigree, differing from that under which he subsequently bred the dog, such explanation to be filed within the usual time limits.

The committee then went into executive session, Mr. Norman J. Stewart being invited to remain in conference.

Mr. Stewart stated that he represented the California clubs, members of the Western Kennel League, and was authorized by them to negotiate with the Pacific Advisory Committee and with the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Stewart stated that the California Clubs recognized that the utility of the Western Kennel League had passed away, if the Pacific Advisory Committee would so re-constitute itself as to be more thoroughly representative of the kennel clubs

and kennel interests of the Pacific Coast.

He stated that the ideal committee of the Coast Clubs was an elective one. But on the unanimous assurance of the members of the committee, that the constitution of the American Kennel Club must be the paramount consideration, and that no proposition to modify or change said constitution could possibly be entertained, Mr. Stewart agreed to abandon this requirement. He stated that if the Committee would make such concessions as would reduce to a minimum the dissatisfaction felt by the clubs he represented at the lack of representation on the Pacific Advisory Committee, all the California Western Kennel League clubs were certain to apply for membership in the American Kennel Club and it was probably that the Northern Western kennel League clubs would make the same application. After considerable discussion a decision was reached, and Mr. Stewart was empowered to communicate to the clubs, members of the Western Kennel League, the limit of concessions that could be made by the Pacific Advisory Committee on its own authority, without infringing on the constitution of the American Kennel Club, the paramount authority and consideration.

Provision was made for representation on the committee and the proposed arrangement provided also for a means of conveying to the committee the wishes of the majority of the Pacific Coast Clubs, members of the American Kennel Club in joint con-

vention assembled. It was agreed that the committee should re-convene to meet Mr. Stewart at his request, when the Western Kennel League clubs had communicated their decision to him.

The Chairman and the Secretary were each instructed to communicate with officials of certain Northern clubs with a view to facilitating Mr. Stewart's negotiations with those bodies.

There being no further business the committee adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman, Secretary

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C.,
Held December 29, 1904, at Occidental Hotel, S.F.

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman, J.P. Norman, C.K. Harley.

Absent: H.H. Carlton, Dr. W.P. Burnham

Minutes of previous meeting read and corrected as follows:

Insert "unofficially" after the words "to" negotiate" fourth line of second section on page 2.

Excise "Mr. Stewart agreed to abandon this requirement" changing the following period to a comma, line 16, second section, on page 2. The minutes were then approved.

A.K.C. v. Perry: Charge of uttering false pedigrees. Further evidence was produced in this matter.

Letter from John Perry, stating his innocence of the charge

and his willingness to abide by the decision of the Committee.

Letter from C.D. Nairn, Ballston, Ore., stating that Change IV was sold to O.J. Albee of Lawrence, Cal. before the date of birth of Island Chief as given.

Letter from Mr. J.M. Golobeck of Crockett, Cal., containing copies of pedigree furnished by John Perry.

The Secretary stated that he had interviewed John Perry, who appeared innocent of any intentional wrong-doing; his actions and statements having apparently been caused by false information and his own ignorance.

The Secretary was instructed to procure further evidence, and if possible, to procure the attendance of John Perry at the next meeting.

On motion, further consideration of the case was postponed until the next meeting.

The Committee then went into executive session, to consider the progress of negotiations with Mr. N.J. Stewart, who attended the meeting by invitation.

Mr. Stewart stated that it was the wish of the members of the Western Kennel League to enter the American Kennel Club as a body, or not at all.

The Secretary read letters and telegrams from the Portland Kennel Club, enquiring as to the terms on which that club could be admitted to membership in the A.K.C. Also letters from the Seattle Kennel Club, Inc., as to their retention in the A.K.C., it having come to the knowledge of the officials

of that club that efforts were to be made with the Pacific Advisory Committee to oust them in favor of a rival Seattle organization.

Mr. Stewart assured the Committee that if the concession were granted of a new Committee, the nominees to which were to be elected by a body of delegates representing the Club of the Coast, the present members of the Committee were sure to nominate to succeed themselves, or if not all, nearly all.

The Chairman stated that the proposition of leaving all the new members to be nominated by such a body could not be entertained, in view of the fact that no more than a personal pledge of such a re-nomination could be given.

Mr. Norman stated that his resignation was at the service of the Committee, whenever required, but he did not think such a resignation would conduce to the interests of the American Kennel Club, unless it were established that his successor would be equally enthusiastic for those interests.

Mr. Stewart spoke of the matter of recognizing Western Kennel League wins, but was assured that such recognition was outside the possibility of discussion.

After considerable discussion, the Committee agreed on certain concessions to be made to the Coast Clubs, which it was hoped, would remove the dissatisfaction now prevailing among some of them. A list of concessions was drawn up and it was

arranged that Mr. Stewart should furnish duplicate copies, as understood the concessions, which were to be sent by him, signed to the Chairman and Secretary of the Committee for their signature. When this satisfied, these concessions were to be communicated to the Clubs of the Coast, and such of them as were not already members of the American Kennel Club would be admitted on application, providing that the necessary compliance with the Rules and Constitution of the A.K.C. had been accomplished.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C.

Held at Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, January 9, 1905

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman, C.K. Harley, H.H. Carlton, Dr. W.P. Burnham, J.P. Norman.

On motion the rules were suspended, in order to take up the pending charges out of the regular order of business.

A.K.C. v. John Perry: Uttering false pedigrees.

Consideration of this case was resumed. The evidence was recapitulated. Letters from C.D. Nairn of Ballston, Oregon, from O.J. Albee of Lawrence, California from Hugh McCracken of San Francisco, the oral testimony of the latter, of Norman J. Stewart, were accepted as proof that the pedigree alleged to be furnished, could not possibly be that of the dog Island Chief, inasmuch as he could not have been the product of a

mating of Chance IV to Ormskirk Princess at the time stated.

The copies of the pedigrees alleged to have been furnished by John Perry were received from Mr. Moiles, City Marshal of Crockett, Cal., through the intermediary of Mr. J.M. Golobeck of that town. These were sworn copies and proved that such pedigree had actually been furnished by defendant.

Letters from Thomas S. Griffiths of Spokane, Washington, denied the breeding of the dog, Island Chief, as given in the entry blank by defendant at the San Francisco show, April, 1904, The difference in the pedigree furnished after the show proved that the first one was false.

On motion these conclusions were accepted by the Committee.

Mr. H. McCracken volunteered further testimony to the effect that he had been informed by the Mr. William McCormick that the defendant had stated to the latter, that his dog, Island Chief, had no pedigree. The defendant, John Perry, was called upon for a statement:

He said that he as a Persian, naturalized, unversed in the English language; he was a hard-working man, very fond of dogs for the love of them, and not for the money there might be in it. He was desirous of obeying the instructions of the Committee and would cheerfully submit to any penalty they might inflict.

He had bought the dog of a stranger, a Frenchman or Italian, through the intermediary of a Mexican interpreter,

equally unknown. The vendor had first demanded seventy-five dollars, but had ultimately accepted twenty-five. He stated at the time of the sale that the dog was bred by Thomas S. Griffiths of Spokane. The defendant had retained half the purchase money, pending the production of the pedigree. In the meantime, defendant exhibited the dog at the San Francisco show in good faith, as being bred by the said Griffiths, whelped December, 1902, particulars unknown. When the vendor produced the second pedigree, defendant did not recognize the difference until informed of it.

Since receiving the letters from the Secretary of this Committee, informing him that his dog's pedigree was alleged to be fraudulent, he had refused to breed his dog, giving as the reason that it had none. This statement was confirmed by Mr. McCracken.

The defendant did not know the whereabouts of the vendor of the dog, but would like to ascertain the same.

In reply to questions, the defendant stated that he had told Mr. McCormick that his dog lacked a pedigree on account of the letters he had received from the Secretary.

The defendant had sold pups to Mr. Moiles and to Mr. Cooper and the latter had written the letters to Mr. Griffiths in the defendant's name.

There being no further evidence: It was moved and seconded that Whereas it was apparent, clearly and unmistakably that the

Defendant had acted throughout in good faith, that his errors had been caused by ignorance, and that he was the victim of misrepresentation and that.

Whereas, while it was clearly proven that both pedigrees furnished by defendant were equally erroneous, yet as he had no means of determining the same, other than through the information of others, and that,

Whereas, it was clearly shown that the Collie dog, Island Chief, could not be of the breeding of Thomas S. Griffiths of Spokane, as was acknowledged, when the defendant later issued pedigrees showing the dog to be by Chance IV out of Ormskirk Princess, bred by C.D. Nairn, whelped December, 1902, and,

Whereas, from the evidence adduced, as neither sire nor dam was in Mr. Nairn's possession at the time stated, he could not be the breeder of the dog as alleged, and further the said dogs, Chance IV and Ormskirk Princes were mated but once and at time other than set forth in the pedigree of which copy is in evidence.

Therefore be it Resolved, that the Collie dog, Island Chief, is not bred by Thomas S. Griffiths, not by C.D. Nairn, as far as shown by the evidence, not can he be the offspring of Chance IC out of Ormskirk Princess, whelped a the time given, and the defendant is hereby cautioned not to use such pedigrees in breeding or selling the progeny of his dog, Island Chief, and that

The defendant, John Perry, by and hereby is acquitted of

the charge knowingly issuing false pedigrees, and of the charge of any wilful fraud in connection therewith.

Carried: Each member stating his concurrence in the resolution.

It was then moved and seconded that the regular order of business be resumed. Carried.

The minutes were read and approved.

Under the head of new business, the application of the Seattle Kennel Club Incorporated for a change of name to the Seattle Dog Fancier's Association was taken up. The reasons of the Club for making the change were fully set forth in the communications pertaining thereto.

It was moved and seconded, that the application be granted, and that the change of name of the late Seattle Kennel Club Incorporated to The Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association be and hereby is approved. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, that,

Whereas, the Spokane Kennel Club has held a Dog Show from October 5th to October 8th, 1904, inclusive, under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, and,

Whereas, such action is in contravention of the Resolution of this Committee, passed on July 23rd, 1904, and is made punishable by disqualification, applicable to the officials of such Club and Show, paid or unpaid, therefore be it

Resolved, that the following officials of the Spokane Kennel

Club, in office at the time of the said Show, be and hereby are disqualified, such officials being certified as acting in that capacity according to the official catalogue as acting in that capacity according to the official catalogue of the Show published by the Spokane Kennel Club: John M. Bunn, President, Claude D. Bond, Vice-President, A.B. Jackson, Secretary-Treasurer, Albert Benham, Director, A.L. Crismna, Director, and that they are debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

The discussion on the matter of concessions to be made to Clubs desirous of entering into the American Kennel Club was resumed:

The Chair stated that the agreement partially adopted at the last meeting was roughly drawn up, and after the amendments left a certain amount of doubt as to the true intent, on account of inconsistencies caused by a part of the agreement being left without change. He had therefore revised the draft, as sent up with Mr. Stewart's signature, and the Secretary had concurred with him, as to the desirability of the revision. Nothing had been changed in the sense or intent, but the loopholes for disagreement had been removed. The Chair and the Secretary had signed the revised agreement, and it would be now produced for notification by the Committee. Mr. Stewart had certified to the concessions, as being such as he had understood to be granted at the time of the last meeting. Mr. Stewart's letter accompanying the return of the agreement was read: The writer deprecated strongly the attitude of the

Committee in refusing to resign, as this was the one point on which his friends were not satisfied.

In this connection, other letters were read deprecating the action of the Committee in making any concessions whatsoever, as none were desired by the writers or their friends.

A letter from Mr. Stewart to the San Francisco Kennel Club was read, inviting the Club to send a delegate to the convention of the Western Kennel League, to be held at Portland on January 14th. The letter was ordered returned to the President of the San Francisco Kennel Club, who had laid it before the meeting.

It was then moved and seconded, that,

Whereas, Mr. Norman J. Stewart has represented himself to be the Secretary of the Western Kennel League, and deputed unofficially by the California members of the League to represent them with plenary powers, and,

Whereas, he has signified to this Committee, that certain concessions in the matter of the constitution of this Committee and the formation of an elective body of delegates to act as an intermediary between the clubs and this committee, would remove the now existing dissatisfaction felt by some of them, and would restore harmony to the Coast Kennel interests and would tender to render those clubs desirous of membership in the American Kennel Club, and Whereas he has stated that the following concessions would effect those ends, therefore be it

Resolved, that this Committee is willing to make the following concessions and to adopt them as its by-laws, provided that the Club assembled at Portland on January 14th in convention, pass the necessary resolution, that these concessions are satisfactory, and that such clubs intend to, make applications for membership in the American Kennel Club, immediately after the passage of such resolution.

COPY OF REVISE CONCESSIONS MADE BY PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Sec. 1. Pacific Advisory Committee.

The Pacific Advisory Committee shall consist of seven members, five of whom shall be the present members of the Committee. Three members shall be nominated by the California Clubs, two by the Oregon Clubs and two by the Washington Clubs, in the manner specified under Section 2, Body of Representatives.

The nominations for the two additional members shall be sent to the Advisory Committee in the manner specified for filling vacancies, and if approved, to the A.K.C. for confirmation.

On conformation by the A.K.C., the nominees shall take their seats on the Committee, and this new Committee shall have all the powers and privileges of the old.

In the event of the death, resignation or expulsion of any members of the Committee, the Body of Representatives shall at once meet and shall send the names of three men,

Whom it would suggest to fill the vacancy, to the Advisory Committee. The Committee, shall, if approved, select and transmit to the A.K.C., one of the names for confirmation.

In order to secure a working Committee and be assured of a full representation at meetings, the members of the Committee must be residents of San Francisco, or its immediate vicinity.

Sec. 2. BODY OF REPRESENTATIVES.

The Body of Representatives shall hold its first meeting in San Francisco and nominate by ballot two men as new members of the Advisory Committee in the manner specified for filling vacancies thereon. These nominations shall be sent to the Advisory Committee, and the two names approved by them shall be forwarded to the A.K.C. for confirmation. The California Clubs shall nominate three members to represent them; the Oregon Clubs, two members; and the Washington Club, two members.

This Body of Representatives shall be officially recognized by the Advisory Committee and shall be empowered to hold meetings at such times and places as it may see fit, and to make such recommendations and suggestions to the Pacific Advisory Committee as it may think desirable.

Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman

Secretary.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that they be accepted as read and placed on file.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules was read as published in the Gazette, and, on motion of Mr. Viti, accepted and placed on file.

MR. SMITH: I have a resolution which I would like to have adopted at this meeting if possible. It is as follows:

Whereas, -- It being the sense of this meeting that it would be for the best interests of this association that candidates for certain offices to be elected at the annual meeting should be announced prior to said meeting, and Whereas, - It is customary for nominations to be made and announced before the date of annual meetings in many clubs, and said custom has been found to work advantageously, and Whereas, -- Such a plan would not be contrary to the Constitution of this Association, and would be quite a proper one to adopt it is thought best by this meeting to adopt a standing resolution under which all future elections shall be governed. Be it therefore Resolved, -- That be-

tween the dates of December 1st and December 31st of each year, nominations for the offices of President and Vice-President, the Chairman of each Standing Committee, of this Association may be filed with the Sec'y of this Assn. by any delegate whose club is in good standing and said Secretary must publish said nominations for the above named offices, in the December issue of the American Kennel Club, of each year, And be it further Resolved, -- That at each succeeding annual meeting only such candidates as may have been nominated an whose nomination has been published in the preceding December issue of the American Kennel Gazette in the manner above set forth, shall be eligible to be voted form and no other nominations shall be permitted not can any candidate other than such as have been announced be nominated or votes for at the Annual Meeting.

Resolution seconded.

MR. DALE: I would like to know if that could be done by resolution, that no other members in good standing could be nominated or voted for. It states in that resolution that none other but the names as presented.

THE CHAIR: It would seem to the Chair that the point is well taken, that this closes the door to the application of a provision of the Constitution which is not final, but which does not preclude the nominations of officers at the annual meeting. It would

seem, therefore, that if a resolution of that kind were adopted, it would be afterwards incorporated in the Constitution. Section 1 provides that the Association shall elect a President and Vice-President. That is the only provision. The Executive Board shall appoint a Secretary-Treasurer and so forth.

THE SECRETARY: Before that motion is put I would like to say that it embodies something that makes it a physical impossibility of accomplishment. The Gazette is published on the 31st day of December, and consequently all copy must close several days before that date, and it states in the resolution that between December 1 and December 31 the nominations must be published in the December Gazette, which would be a physical impossibility.

MR. CARNOCHAN: So far as I can see, this motion is practically an amendment to the Constitution. It is prescribing the manner in which we shall elect our officers. There should be thirty days notice in the Gazette, and should go through the Rules Committee.

MR. JARRETT: That seems to be the case. The Rules and Constitution provide for the manner in which some of these officers should be elected, the Chairman of the Associate Members and the delegates of Associate Members.

THE CHAIR: An indication of what the Chair bases its opinion on is that in the nomination of delegates it

prescribes particularly that that shall be by ballot where no provision is made, and the election is prescribed there under the ordinary rules of procedure, and it would not seem that this meeting would be competent to tie the hands of another future meeting with respect to that without amending the Constitution. I think myself that the resolution embodies that idea. That is calculated to guard against the possibility of serious dissension in the Kennel Club. It is manifest that should a movement for the election of an officer to succeed another be conducted in a manner which was not generally known and sprung upon a meeting, it might cause dissension in the club, in the Association, and the Association has up to the present time in most matters been very peaceful, and as a result of that, very successful; so that I regret the fact that it does not seem possible to say from the Chair that the motion is really in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I do not see that it has very much to do with it as it does not change the election of officers; it only provides for nominations; it does not alter anything at all in regard to how to elect. They are to be elected by ballot anyhow.

THE CHAIR: As the Chair stated, there is no provision, and there being no provision, we would fall back upon the ordinary methods of procedure; but if you attempt to

prescribe by a standing resolution a method of procedure like this, it would seem that it could not be done; that is the way it strikes the Chair. The Chair is ready to have an exception taken for whatever would be the interpretation of the rules on this subject.

Dr. De MUND: I would like to ask if it would be legal --- one part of that resolution states that no one shall be noted for except those nominated. Can we take away a right to vote for any member for an office?

THE CHAIR: That prescribes a method other than the ordinary method and precludes the voting upon nominations made at the meeting.

DR. De MUND: Is that right? Is that legal? Can we take away the right to vote?

THE CHAIR: It would appear to the Chair not; but this matter is open for discussion. There are gentlemen present who are familiar with the procedure, and lawyers who can possibly give a reason which would be convincing to the meeting that it was not right.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It does not appear that that has anything to do with our constitution; it only provides for a meeting so as to let everybody know whom they should like to have for a candidate for a certain office, and every candidate can vote for the man he thinks should be in that office.

THE CHAIR: When I say the Constitution --- the proper place for anything of that kind is the by-laws. The by-laws do usually in our Association prescribe the methods of procedure under the Constitution; that is really the proper place for a matter of this kind.

MR. CUTLER: I am in favor of this proposition, but it does not seem to me that it can be done; that is, in the way in which it is presented. I do not believe that any by-law or any provision can be made which will say that any delegate has not the right to vote for whom he pleases at a meeting. We are sent here to elect the people whom we believe are proper persons to be elected, and because he does not see fit to send in his name or is not properly before the meeting in that way, I do not think that a by-law would be constitutional. It does not seem to me that it would be in accordance with common parliamentary principles. That is, if I make myself plain, it means this: We are here to elect officers, and we cannot have our hands tied, and this body to-day cannot say what the next meeting will do; but I had in my mind when I got up to make some suggestion that it be referred to a committee with instructions to report a rule or an amendment to the Constitution which is in accordance with the spirit of this resolution for its action at the next meeting. I will make that as a motion in order to bring

it before the meeting.

MR. NEWBOLD: I would state that I have just been through something of this kind in another club. I do not think that our constitution and by-laws permit of this thing being done now, but there is no reason why we should not refer this to a proper committee, directing it at the next meeting to bring in a resolution complying with the intention expressed in this resolution that Mr. Smith has read. I would offer that as a suggestion.

MR. CUTLER: I make the motion: I believe it was seconded.

THE CHAIR: There is a motion before the house. This is a discussion on the motion.

MR. CUTLER: I think a motion to refer a matter to the committee is a subsidiary motion when any motion is before the house.

THE CHAIR: The first motion being defeated there could be no reference of the subject to the meeting. It is customary where a list of nominations is put before a club or association or before an annual meeting, to do it through the intermediary of a nominating committee that is appointed at the annual meeting to serve and put in nomination names for various officers at the succeeding meeting. That is done, but that does not preclude nominees being placed in nomination by other agencies; but it gives an opportunity for regular nominations to

come before the committee; and then, of course, when that custom does prevail, it does not strike a meeting as being quite the proper procedure to spring somebody on them without any previous notice.

MR. CUTLER: I think it can be accomplished. It is very much the same as what is know as the Australian ballot, where nominations are made substantially in the way proposed here in this resolution, but you will recall that there is always a blank left at the bottom so you can vote for any one you want to. That is, it is not constitutional to say that the persons are not voting as they please, although there might not be any nominations. I think is this matter could be referred to some committee to frame it up in a way so as to make it constitution, I think it ought to pass, but in its present form, there has been a clause brought out which precludes us from voting except for somebody who has been nominated.

MR. SMITH: I make a motion then that the Chair appoint a committee to frame this resolution so as to carry out this idea, and so that it will not conflict with the by-laws of the club.

MR. DALE: Is there not a rules committee existing to-day?

THE CHAIR: Yes: That motion is not seconded. Mr. Smith, you presented this resolution, and the Chair may

suggest, if you will amend it in this form by saying that it is the sense of this meeting that such and such a thing shall be done, then if that passes, that question be left to the consideration of the Committee on Rules. I think the Committee on Rules will find that this can be governed by amending by-laws. The by-laws could be so amended as to provide a method for placing in nomination certain nominees for the office and I think the point is well taken by Mr. Cutler that it would not be possible to deprive anybody of the privilege of putting in nomination and voting for some one else; but of course that minimizes the danger of having dissension.

MR. MOORE: In view of the fact that Section 6 of Article 11 provides for a certain procedure in the election of certain offices, I do not believe that we could act under a by-law. I am in favor of this, but I do not see how it is possible, because we prescribe a certain fixed rule in Section 6 of Article 11 for the election of associate members, and if we provide for that method in our constitution I do not see how we could have a rule in our by-laws that would carry weight.

THE CHAIR: I think you will find that this does not change the method of election. The election is exactly as prescribed under the ordinary rules of procedure. This association is perfectly competent to prescribe a method

in its by-laws putting in nomination through its official action a certain number of nominees.

MR. MOORE: But that section deals with the method of nominating officers. Why would not the same thing apply?

THE CHAIR: It omits to do for the association itself because it is permitted to act under the ordinary procedure.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Would not any new method naturally go into the constitution; as the constitution prescribes the election of the associate members, would not any change also go on the constitution?

THE CHAIR: Not necessarily, because it is really not part of its own work, the election of officers of the associate membership.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The association cannot change its constitution unless we do it for them; therefore it is part of our constitution.

THE CHAIR: I must not argue that with you, but in describing it the associate membership is simply a body attached to the American Kennel Club under certain prescribed restrictions, and those have been placed in the constitution in order that they may be fixed, and takes away from the associate membership the right and power to regular their own method or reaching their own difficulties with regard to their officers and delegates. It is

exactly in the same way that you prescribe any regulation with regard to clubs. They are not a part actually of the association as members; they are only given a right to send delegates and then the club has prescribed a method of their doing that.

MR. JARRETT: I think that the election of the officers of the associate members does include the American Kennel Club, because the Chairman, or the President, by virtue of his election by the associate members becomes a member or officer of the American Kennel Club. He becomes, I think, the third ranking officer, and that of course is an office of the club, and if this new resolution goes through it will interfere with the method already laid down for the election of this officer. The Chairman of the Associate members, by his election as Chairman, becomes an officer of the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIR: I can say the point taken is this: As I understood Mr. Carnochan, his contention is that it must necessarily be made a part of the constitution. The Chair explains that that is not necessary; that the association itself can prescribe for a method of procedure to put in nomination for subsequent officers; if it prescribed a method for election, that would be another matter, but even then at a staged meeting a motion could be made to proceed to a ballot, and if the meeting so preferred, instead of a vote, you can take a vote in any manner the

meeting prescribed, another method has been prescribed.

MR. CUTLER: I think as far as you stated that I concur in what you say, but it does seem to me that this prescribing a form of election – that is the point which I raise, because it states only those who are nominated shall be voted for. If it had left that element out and had simply been a nomination, it would have been a by-law, but election by the delegates of the American Kennel Club means those delegates who come here at the Annual Meeting and vote upon the questions, and they have a right to vote as they see fit at that time, and it is not proper for anybody to say, or this body to say, that they shall not vote for whom they please; so it is changing in the form in which it is offered the method of electing the officers. If that could be stricken out or be changed so it simply means what I have pointed out, I think it would be proper.

MR. SMITH: I do not think it does that exactly, for the reason that it gives any delegate in good standing the power to file such names. However, I would like to ask that this be referred to the Rules Committee with instructions to report at the next meeting.

Motion seconded.

MR. VITI: I should say with instructions to frame a rule in accordance with the sentiments of this

resolution.

MR. SMITH: I accept that.

Motion carried.

MR. CARNOCHAN: There is a matter in the report of the Rules Committee which I would like to bring up, and that is the matter of Rule 3, Section 2, governing clubs. That rule read as follows: "Exhibitors must abide by their own errors in making out their entry forms. Show officials cannot transfer a dog that has been wrongly entered." The report of the committee ruled that the rule should stand as it now exists, as any change would open the door to greater carelessness on the part of the exhibitors. The minority of one believes that errors of spelling of dogs' names, or leaving off any initials or numerals in connection with such names, which, under the present rule disqualify a dog's win, with injustice to the exhibitor, would be avoided by the addition to the section, after the words "registered names, such names to be identified by the registered number." I would like to move that the report of the minority committee be adopted, and in support of that I want to read what has been printed in the Gazette just below, as follows:

"Special Notice. Warning to exhibitors and Secretaries of Shows. The discussion at the December meeting as to the interpretation of certain rules, followed by the meeting of the Rules Committee, obliges us to give special

warning to exhibitors on the subject of filling in entry blanks.

“To avoid cancellation of wins at shows, the following points must be carefully noted:

If the name of a dog is not given precisely as registered, even to the proper spelling, and if any mistake is made in the stud book number, date of birth, breeder, sire or dam, the award must be cancelled. If the stud book number of a registered dog is not given, the award must be cancelled.

Should any of the above particulars be unknown to the exhibitor, it must be so stated on the entry blank, as “breeder unknown” “date of birth, breeder, sire or dam, the award must be cancelled. If the stud book number of a registered dog is not given, the award must be cancelled.

Should any of the above particulars be unknown to the exhibitor, it must be so stated on the entry blank, as “breeder unknown,” “date of birth unknown” etc. If this is not done, the award must be cancelled. It is not sufficient to have the name alone correct or the stud book number alone correct; every detail of the entry must be correct or the award must be cancelled.”

It seems so foolish to me that on account of the spelling of a dog’s name wrong that his wins should be cancelled, I move that the minority report of one be adopted.

Motion seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I wish to raise the question, for what is a committee appointed and the minority decide. What could be the use of a committee if the majority did

not true? The majority have decided it should not be.

THE CHAIR: It has always been the custom for a member of a committee to present a dissenting report if he desires; that is customary; he has that privilege. Although the report of the committee may be made and adopted by the majority, he has the privilege of presenting a minority report. It would not be proper to rule such a report out of order, but it has not been presented yet, so far as I can see. Was it included in the report of the Committee on Rules?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

THE CHAIR: The report was accepted and placed on file, and the action on that was that the Chair would rule the adoption of the majority report in so doing, not making a note of the minority report except as a matter of record, it not having fixed its approval to that minority report. If it is the sense of the committee to reopen the matter in order to permit the minority committee to seek an approval of that report in the face of the majority report, it is for the meeting to say, and such a motion would be entertained by the Chair.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The reason I bring it up is this, that it being an amendment to the by-laws or to the rules governing show-giving clubs, it can only come from the Rules Committee with thirty days notice. Therefore if the matter did not come through the Rules Committee as

their report, it could not come up here at all to-day.

THE CHAIR: The time for you to have made your objection and requested action on your minority report was when the motion was before the meeting for the adoption of the general report of the Rules Committee. The Chair rules that so far as the action of this meeting is concerned, it has approved the majority report, and simply gave the minority report a matter of record: It is competent for this meeting to reopen the question on a motion to reconsider that vote, and that would give you an opportunity to bring this up for the consideration of the meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The reason I brought it up later, after the matter was accepted, was this, that the Secretary makes his report; that report is accepted and placed on file; then every matter in that report is brought up individually afterwards and votes upon.

THE CHAIR: I should have to rule your motion out of order, and that you may make a motion for reconsideration.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I make that motion, that the report be reconsidered.
Motion seconded and lost.

THE CHAIR: The report of the Rules Committee stands as adopted.

MR. EMERY: As Chairman of the Membership Committee,

I should like to have the assistance of the delegates and ask that it listen to a memorandum which I have written:

“Whereas, all credential are investigated by and reported on by the Membership Committee before said credentials can be acted upon by the delegates; and

Whereas, the Constitution fails to lay down any rule or regulation for the guidance of said Committee other than the sole provision that all credentials must be signed by the Secretary of the Club issuing same; and

Whereas, the Stud Book Committee has provided certain forms for applications for Kennel names, publishing on same, rules which must be complied with before said Committee will approve of such application; therefore be it

Resolved, that the Membership Committee be, and hereby is, authorized to prepare and publish official forms to be used as credentials by all clubs sending delegates, and that it may publish on such forms such rules for its guidance as it may deem for the best interests of this Association.”

Resolution seconded.

A call for the ayes and nays resulted in the unanimous adoption of the resolution.

MR. CARNOCHAN: In the September number of the Gazette, in the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee --- and it was on account of this matter that I insisted upon

the minutes of the last Pacific Advisory Committee's meeting being read, is the following resolution after various preambles: "Resolved, that any person or persons acting from and after this date in any official capacity, paid or unpaid, including that of Judge, Secretary, Superintendent, Steward, Clerk of a Show, or as an officer or member of a Bench Show Committee of a club holding a show East of the 110th degree of longitude, under the rules of the Western Kennel League, be and hereby are disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club."

That was carried and it went into effect, and we see in the minutes to-day that certain members were disqualified in Seattle because they were officers, etc., of a show given by the Seattle Kennel Club. It seems to me that we certainly East of the 110th degree of longitude ought to hold up the hands of the Pacific Advisory Board and pass a resolution disqualifying all persons connected with shows of the Western Kennel League held east of the 110th degree of longitude, that any person or persons acting from and after this date in any official capacity, paid or unpaid, including that of Judge, Secretary, Superintendent, Stewart, Clerk of a Show, or as an officer or member of a Bench Show Committee of a club holding a show east of the 110th degree of longitude under the rules

of the Western Kennel League, be and hereby are disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. I have brought this matter up for this reason, that the Western Kennel League have got a foothold east of the 110th degree of longitude, I think at Chicago, and I think that we ought to put a stop to that in the East as they are preventing it out in the West.

THE CHAIR: Would it not be simpler to have a standing rule and have it so worded as to be within the limits of the United States, because some one reading it, thinking it was East, would say it is not West. It is rather confusing.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The Pacific Advisory Board has control of all matters West of the 110th degree; therefore we could not change it.

MR. VITI: Only subject to our constitution, I think, following my friends example in the last motion that was made; this is an amendment to the by-laws and rules. I move that the committee be instructed to frame a rule that would disqualify any one under those conditions who would show at any show in the United States of America held under the rules of this Western League.

Amendment seconded.

MR. BELMONT resigned the Chair to Mr. Bloodgood.

THE SECRETARY: The Brunswick Fur Club has changed

its title to that of Brunswick Foxhound Club, and asks us to consent to that, and to amend our records accordingly.

It was moved and seconded that he applications for change of name be granted.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: I am very sorry for my friend Mr. Codman. I have got to bring up a matter connected with his last show. It is the seconded time unfortunately. He published the premium list, and the last rule states, these rules are not to be published. He unfortunately referred to the rules governing clubs holding shows instead of rules governing shows.

MR. PETERS: I move that we overlook this oversight.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the following kennel names were adopted recommended by the Stud Book Committee:

Alpigene	F.M. Williams
Bombard	H.T. Coldwell
Bonnylee	H.E. Haupt
Clairvine	A.M. Coghlin
Conqueror	(R.G. Kross, Dr. J. Junck, H. Engleking, Dr. L. Tasche)
Coney Hill	Mr. & Mrs. F.A. Cadwell
Culbertson	C.P. Hubbard
Des Moines	Dr. C.L. Wright
Echo Ledge	Potter & Cushman

Eclipse	F.G. Mc Intosh
Edgecote	E. Attridge
Elm Court	Mrs. F. Roche
Glenside	J.W. Mc Auliffe
Glimpsewood	W.B. Boorum
Holland	F.H. Rogon
Haxelden	H.L. Jones
Hockley	D. Plumer
Holyrood	Robert Mc Ewen
Highwood	W.M. Harris, Jr.
Kentwood	Drs. Frank and B.C. Frazier
Lochmahen	J.A. Dykman
Maple Lawn	R.F. Johnson
Monaca	J.M. Reader
Norfolk Hunt Club	Norfolk Hunt Club H.Y. Vaughan M.F.H.
Nutmeg	J.A. Banks
Oaklawn	J.M. Holb
Piedmont	Robt. N. Hughs
Pomham	W.E. Wells
Ramapo	C.P. Holzderher
Sandy Point	Mr. & Mrs. R. Vanderbilt
Seneca	W.H. Millis
Shadeland	C.D. Nairn
The Blue Dragon	C.E. Proctor
Very	W.F. Porter
Willamette	F.E. Watkins

-TRANSFERS-

Auburn	from E.P. Woodbury & C.H. Mower to C.H. Mower
Kweenee	“ W. Demond to MRs. H.T. Humphrey
Oakmont	“ A.F. & M.L. Mount, to A.F. Mount
Smallwood	“ J.A. Caldwell, Jr. to JA. Caldwell, Jr. T.H. Galick, J.W. Mitchell

On motion a communication from Mr. Albert G. Clark was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

MR. DALE: I have a matter which I wish to bring up, and that is in regard to dates of shows. As delegate of the Long Island Club, which I represent, we find that the Chicago Kennel Club has been assigned the same dates that we have. A year ago the Chicago Kennel Club had the same dates that the Franklin Kennel Club had. It looks as if the Chicago Kennel Club wanted to infringe and tread on some one else's feet. I would like to make a motion that this question of dates be referred to the Committee on Rules to report at the next meeting, to see if some plan cannot be devised so that no dates will conflict. I think it is no more than right. The Kennel Clubs pay into the American Kennel Club its faith money and I think the American Kennel Club should in return give that club its faith money and I think the American Kennel Club should in return give that club its faith that it should hold those dates for that Kennel Club. I make that as a motion, that it be referred to the Rules Committee to

report at the next meeting.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I should like to make a motion that the Finance Committee be authorized at their discretion to employ a professional accountant to aid in auditing the accounts of the club. To explain my position I would like to say that the accounts have to be audited in time to publish in the January Gazette. It takes several weeks after the close of the year for the Secretary to prepare the accounts, and there is, owing to the growing business of the club, an immense amount of clerical work to do, and it can be done by some accountant as well as by some member of the Finance Committee. They do not wish to shirk any responsibility in having the report correct, but they do not feel that they can give the time to go over all the many petty cash items and audit them as they should be audited.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to second that motion. There is a mass of small accounts, small items which we have to look over, and which we must look over in order

to vouch for the correctness of the books.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Does not that do away with the Finance Committee practically? Why not cut it off?

Motion seconded. Carried.

THE SECRETARY: The clubs that are in arrears for dues for 1905, what will be done with them?

MR. VITI: I move that they be given thirty days, in which time if they do not pay, they be dropped from the roll without further action.

Motion seconded.

The Washington, Pennsylvania, Kennel Club, Millers River Kennel Club, Passaic County Fish & Game Protective Association and Dalmatian Club of America were elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL
CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, THURSDAY, MAY,
18TH, 1905.

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, Presiding

Present:

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS:

W.G. Rockefeller

Dwight Moore

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB

AMERICA

William L. Barclay

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB

H.H. Hunnewell

AMERICAN DACHSHUND CLUB

G. Muss-Arnolt

AMERICAN POMERANIAN CLUB

F.S. Stedman

AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB

H.K. Bloodgood

ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB

J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

BOSTON TERRIER CLUB

F.H. Osgood

BRYN MAWR KENNEL CLUB

J. Willoughby Mitchell

BULL TERRIER CLUB

Clair Foster

CANONSBURG KENNEL CLUB

Dr. C. Motschenbacher

DALMATIAN CLUB OF AMERICAN

J.B. Thomas, Jr.

DUQUESNE KENNEL CLUB OF

WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA

G.M. Carnochan

ENGLEWOOD KENNEL CLUB

Myron W. Robinson

FRENCH BULL DOG CLUB

R.H. Hunt

GREAT DANE CLUB OF AMERICA

O.H. Albanesi

IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA	Singleton Van Schaick
JAPANESE SPANIEL CLUB	Wm. J. Berg
LADIES KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS	Edward Brooks
LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB	Jos. M. Dale
MADISON ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION	E.L. Jones
MASOUTAH KENNEL CLUB	C.F.R. Drake
NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB	W.B. Emery
OAKLAND KENNEL CLUB	S.C. Mastick
RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB	Dr. J.E. De Mund
SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB	R.P. Keasbey
SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB	Theo. Offerman
SEATTLE DOG FANCIERS' ASSOCIATION	James Watson
SPANIEL BREEDERS' SOCIETY	Marcel A. Viti
ST. LOUIS COLLIE CLUB	Daniel Buckely
THE LADIES KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA	James Mortimer
WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA	B.S. Smith
WESTMINSTER KENNEL CLUB	Harry T. Peters
WISSAHICKON KENNEL CLUB	R.H. Johnson, Jr.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I cannot tell you how glad I am to be with you again. Circumstances over which I had no control kept me away or a great many months, but I assure you in the future I shall make every endeavor to be present at every meeting. I

want to thank all the delegates for the forbearance they have shown towards my absence, and thank them very much for the honor they conferred on me in electing me for another term, and I hope to be able to fulfill my duties to their satisfaction. The American Kennel Club is getting so big and has so much business of importance to transact, that in the future, I shall endeavor, with your help and advice, to expedite matters by conforming more to the parliamentary rule. In that way I think we can transact our business with greater dispatch and arrive at much better results.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF

MASSACHUSETTS

Edward Brooks

DALMATIAN KENNEL CLUB

J.B. Thomas, Jr.

FRENCH BULL DOG CLUB

Richard H. Hunt

SCOTTISH TERREIR CLUB

Theodore Offerman

WISSAHICKON KENNEL CLUB

Russell H. Johnson, Jr.

NEWPORT DOG SHOW

Reginald C. Vanderbilt

IRISH SETTER DOG CLUB OF AMERICA

Lawrence M.D. Mc Guire

BULL TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA

Clair Foster

MERRIMAC VALLEY KENNEL CLUB

W.N. Kimball

OAKLAND KENNEL CLUB

S.C. Mastick

The following named clubs were elected to membership
In the American Kennel Club:
Airedale Terrier Club of New York
Bull Terrier Breeders' Association
Brockton District Kennel Club
Central Beagle Club
Franklin Kennel Club
Genessee County Agricultural Society Kennel Club
Lynn Kennel Club
Philadelphia Collie Club and
Rockland County Industrial Association

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were adopted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary's report was then read, as follows:

New York, May 18th, 1905

To the AMEIRCAN KENNEL CLUB:

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this, my regular quarterly report. Since our last meeting I have received applications from ten clubs for admission to active membership, and credentials from fifteen club appointing dele-

Gates to represent them. These papers have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report upon same at this meeting.

I have sent to the Stud Book Committee all matters coming within its jurisdiction, including sixty applications for the registration of kennel names and six transfers of ownership of words already registered, and said Committee will submit its report for your consideration.

Our Pacific Advisory Committee has held five meetings since our annual meeting, namely, March 18th, March 27th, April 7th, April 18th, and May 10th. The minutes of the above meetings have been duly filed with this office, and it rests with this meeting whether same shall be read or ordered to be printed as part of the proceedings of this meeting, without reading.

Pursuant to the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee at its special meeting of March 27th, 1905, the minutes of which were published in our April Gazette, under authority of the delegates, said Committee found that it became necessary to disqualify one hundred and sixty (160) persons, consisting of the officials of, and the exhibitors at a show held by the Seattle Kennel Club, in April last.

There appears to have been an error at the late Chicago Kennel Club show, in the judging of the pointer bitch classes. Whether said error was the fault of the judge or of the ring steward, it will be for you to determine. I have as evidence a letter from one of the competitors, from the judge of the class, and in confirmation of his position a description of the dogs, as published in one of the sporting papers. As I do not care to assume the responsibility of changing the awards on the marked catalogue of the Chicago Show, said catalogue agreeing with the judge's book, according to the statement made to me personally by the Secretary of the Chicago Kennel Club, I submit the matter to you for a decision.

The rules allow the different show clubs to use their own discretion in the matter of a date for the closing of entries, provided it is not fixed later than seven days preceding the opening of a show. Rule XIII says in estimating the number of prizes a dog has won, no award received by it on or after the date of closing of entries will be counted. During this spring circuit the closing of dates have so complicated matters, that I would ask an interpretation of the rule or what would be still better, the enactment of a new rule whereby such complications could not arise. In this connection I will submit

a letter from Mr. J. Sergeant Price, and also one from our Mr. Mackarness who has the examination of the several catalogues in his charge.

The Committee on Constitution and Rules held its meeting April 7th last and votes to recommend certain changes in the rules as they now exist. The report having been published in the Gazette of April 30th, the recommendations of that Committee will come before you to-day for such action as may be deemed proper. In case these amendments or any of them shall be adopted at this meeting, I would request that a resolution be adopted fixing a time for the Rules as amended to go into effect, as this matter was entirely overlooked by your Committee.

I beg to report for your information only that the following cases will be submitted to the Executive Board at its first meeting:

J.F. Hynes against the Colorado Kennel Club for its failure to pay certain prizes at its late show.

Charles E. Golding, against the Frederick County Agricultural Society, same cause.

The Secretary promised to prepare a brief in this case but has failed to do so.

John C. Sullivan against Westminster Kennel Club, ap-

peal form its action on his protest.

Arthur L. Scollay against the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club, same cause.

William J. Pingston, appeal from cancellation of a winning by the A.K.C.

Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Association, against A.E. Mathews for failure to return prize money paid to him for the win of his dog, said win having been subsequently cancelled by the A.K.C.

I beg to report a bill of disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee for the period covering December 9th, 1904 to Arul 24th, 1905, amounting \$36.35. I would respectfully recommend the same be ordered paid.

I would respectfully call your attention to Article 13, Section 5, page 8 of the Constitution, in which it is clearly stated that the reports of any of the Committees of this Association must be regarded as strictly confidential and that the American Kennel Club shall be the only medium by or through which said report can be made public. I must regret that it is my duty to cal you attention to the fact that the matters in the report of the Committee on Rules, which were not published by the American Kennel Club, until April 30th, were made public, in one of the sporting papers, in its issue of April 15th.

The Constitution has obviously been violated, by whom, I cannot state. I have investigated the matter to the best of my ability without result, and I feel that it is due to this office that your Secretary should bring this matter to your attention.

Under date of April 3d, 1905, I received a letter signed in the name of F.B. Forman. Said letter covered a check for \$3.00 for the registration of a kennel name. The same were turned over to the Stud Book Committee, the matter being within its province, and was duly returned by that Committee without action preferring that the letter should be officially brought before the delegates. During the 19 years that I have had the honor of holding my present position in the American Kennel Club, it has never been my ill fortune to receive such a scurrilous communication, and I will submit same to you, feeling that you will take proper action in the premises.

Owing to the withdrawal of Dr. Henry Jarrett as a delegate of the Ladies' Kennel Association of Massachusetts, it leaves a vacancy on the Committee of Rules and Constitution, and the same should be filled at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was read, as follows:

New York, May 18, 1905

To the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report.

Balance on hand January 1, 1905 - - - - -	\$16,714.95
Receipts from January 1st to date - - - - -	<u>7,292.04</u>
Total - - - - -	\$24,006.99

Disbursements from Jan. 1 st , 1905, to date ---	<u>6,447.02</u>
---	-----------------

Balance on hand - - - - -	\$17,559.97
---------------------------	-------------

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to nominate for the vacancy in the Rules Committee Mr. Edward Brooks.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I second the nomination.

On motion nominations declared closed, and Mr. Edward Brooks was declared elected.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as published in the Gazette.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move they be placed on file and taken up seriatum.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

May 18, 1905

The Stud Book Committee of the A.K.C. beg to submit the following report.

The following list of applications for Kennel names were received by us, and as none of these names conflict with those registered, your Committee recommends that the names be granted to the applicants.

AEGIS	Roy A. Hamlin
AJAX	W.C. Cooke and James Dunne
ARDSLEY	George Porter
ARDMORE	R. Mac Kinven
BELLMORE	Mary Lester

BELPER	Miss H. Chell
BIRMINGHAM	J.H. Slack
BLUE RIBBON	George Gagne
BONNIE BOURNE	W.H. Lyndall
BOYNE	William Ollard
BREDALBANE	C.W.E. Clarke
BRACKENSIDE	Robert Macdonald
CARLUKE	W.H. De Witt
CRAG	M.B. Stelle, Jr.
CRAIGMORE	W.C. Hunter
DUNMORE	M.C. Darcey and A.C. Moore
EL MUNDO	G.F. Herr
EUOLA	Miss F.A. Mc Cahill
EUCLID	H.E. Jenkins
FAIRFIELD	H.C. Fleitman and G.C. Riggs
FIRENZE	M.R. Guggenheim
FRANCISCAN	W.P. Burnham
GLENCARRY	Alex. Hay
GOTHAM	Thos. Grisdale
GRAPESIDE	H.K. Knetchel
HAUGHTY	Dorothea Keasbey
HAZELDEN	H.L. Jones
HILLSDALE	Connie Fennema
JOHNSTON	W.C.C. Johnston

BROOKWOOD	Miss Julia Chadwick
KASCIMO	Gus Moser
KING AND QUEEN	D.W. Wynkoop
KINGCOTE	Mr. & Mrs. T. W. King
KULSHAN	R.G. Gamwell
LIMERICK	Henry Rowland
MARCO POLO	Geo. Bardes and F. Kramschuster
MILL ROCK	Wm. B. Day, Jr.
NORWALD	H.A. Waldron
ATHENS	J.W. Matthews
ON-A-WAY	C.W. Cole
PEACHTREE	Robert N. Hughs
PREAKNESS	Robert K. Giffen
BEAU IDEAL	Mr. & Mrs. H.S. Fisher
RENWAR	W.H. Beals
RICHMOND	J.M. Seager
ROCKWELL	George Duncan
RUSHTON	George W. Bunnell, Jr.
SENN SENN	Mrs. F. Senn
SHREWSBURY	E.E. Backus and F.L. Wilson
SIERRA	E.M. Tibb
SILVER LAKE	P.B. & A.A. Hadley
SPARTAN	H.L. Edney
ST. CLARE	H.M. Draddy

STONY GROVE	H. Fleitman
SUPERB	L.A. Hockersmith
THE CREST	Mr. & Mrs. J.W. Thorne
THE PINES	R.E. Jones
TOKAYANO	W.C. Spencer
WESTOVER	J.L. Reifsnider, Jr.,
WILLOWHURST	Dr. & Mrs. M.T. Carey
WOODMERE	Dr. H. Goubeaud

The following applications for the transfer of Kennel names registered have been received, and as these applications are in order, we recommend that the transfers be made, and the records so changed.

TRANSFERS

CLIPDALE	from R.V. Buckley & D. Buckley to Daniel Buckley
CLONMEL	“ Jos. A. Laurin to Mrs. G.W. Bunnell, Jr.
PIEDMONT	“ R. N. Hughs to Mrs. S.E. Taylor
REVERE	“ Tom B. Middlebrooke to Miss Ellen Johnson
ROSECROFT	“ C.F. Neilson & H.R. Baldwin to C. F. Neilson and A.M. Sherwood
SADDLE RIVER	“ J.E. De Mund & L.M. Nichols to L.M. Nichols

The following cases have been placed with us for investigation, and we report as follows:

In the matter of the Bulldog

BRAEMAR ROWENA:

Braemar Rowena was entered in the Third Annual show of the Ladies' K.A. of Am. In the puppy clas, and application was made for her registration in the A.K.C. Stud Book. In both instances her date of birth was given as November 10, 1902.

Mr. J.M. Seager, a competitor at said show filed a protest upon the ground that the date of birth was erroneous, it being impossible to have been whelped upon that date as her dam was bred on August 21, 1902.

Your committee communicated with Mr. Luke Crabtree, who was the owner, of Rolyat (the Sire) at the time of the mating, and he replied to our inquiries as follows: "I once owned a bull bitch names Lady Beatrice, the same was served by Rolyat on the 21st and 22nd, August, 1902, and I sold her to Mr. R. Lobban of New Jersey. I received information afterwards that Lady Beatrice had four puppies to Rolyat.

Trusting the information is what you require,

Yours very truly,

Luke Crabtree."

Your Committee is of the opinion that Mr. Crabtree's statements as to the date of service should be accepted,

nothing to the contrary being alleged.

This committee cannot find any cases recorded where the period of gestation has extended 80 days, but Mr. Lobhan furnished us with a statement from his veterinarian as follows:

“Newark, N.J. May 13, 1905

Mr. Marcel A. Viti

Dear Sir:

Mr. R. Loban has shown me a letter from you of date May 11, in which you ask him to have his veterinarian make affidavit of the whelping of Breamar Rowena. It would be impossible for me to take affidavit as to Breamar Rowena as of course they were not named then. But I will take affidavit that I was in attendance of his birch and I have no doubt that the pup (Breamar Rowena) was one of the pups whelped; by my call book it was November 10/02.

Hoping that I have answered everything to your satisfaction,

I remain,

Yours,

Dr. E.D. Bachman”

We are of the opinion, however, that the veterinary is laboring under a mistake and therefore recommend

that the winnings be cancelled and the matter be referred to the Executive Board to investigate whether or not any fraud has been committed.

We have submitted our findings upon the assumption that the eighty day period of gestation is impossible, but if it can be demonstrated that such is not the case, we would recommend that the matter be referred back to this committee.

In the matter of the complaint of Jas. L. Little against B.F. Crout:

Mr. Little's complaint is that Mr. B.F. Crout registered a Cocker Spaniel under the name of Toots C, giving the dam in the application for registration as Newcastle Hurrah. The fact being that Toots C. was whelped before the registration of Newcastle Hurrah, her dam, which up to that time was not registered was known as Hurrah.

It is the opinion of your committee that the spaniel Toots C. was properly registered giving the dam as Newcastle Hurrah, that being the only recorded name by which the dam was ever known.

In the matter of the Fox Terrier "Tamanend Bard Wire":

This dog was exhibited at many shows and registered

by Mr. Earl B. Douglas as sired by Meersbrook Ned from Smallwood Vivacious. At the end of a show this dog was identified by Mr. Chas. W. Keyes as having been bred by him by "Meersbrook Bristles from Myosotis" and sold by him to Jos. Duckworth of Allentown, Pa. Mr. Douglas informed this committee that he had purchased the said dog from Mr. Duckworth who subsequently admitted to him that the pedigree furnished at the time of the sale was an improbably one. Mr. Douglas wanted a dog from "Smallwood Vivacious" which Mr. Duckworth had owned and the latter knowing this had substituted the dog purchased from Mr. Keyes for the other one which had died.

Your committee recommend that all prizes won by "Tamanend Bard Wire" together with his registration be cancelled and that said Jos. Duckworth be requested to appear before the Executive Board to show cause why he should not be disqualified for fraudulent conduct in connection with dogs.

In the matter of the Cocker Spaniel "Skippie":

This dog was exhibited at the last Westminster Kennel Club show by Mr. H.D. Ogden, the particulars given of the entry give the sire as "Annandale Riddle" and dam as "Annadale Regina," this entry was protested by Mr.

Moses Taylor, the owner of the Annadale Kennels, who stated in his affidavit that "Annandale Riddle" the sire given in the entry, was never bred to any bitch. Mr. Ogden in his defense states that he purchased Skippie from a Mr. T.K. Kelly, who got her from Archibald Lawson, the brother of the manager of the Annandale Kennels, and that he had every reason to believe that the pedigree was correct. Mr. Taylor has stated to your committee that he bred "Annandale Regina" to a dog called "Annandale Rye," but as he was not pleased with the appearance of the puppies he ordered all of them to be destroyed, which he thought had been done, but it was quite possible that one of the puppies had been saved and might have passed into the hands of Mr. Ogden.

Your Committee is of the opinion from the evidence submitted that the registration of the dog "Skippie" should be corrected, by the substitution of "Annandale Rye" as the sire, in place of "Annandale Riddle" and that the winnings made by "Skippie" prior to this date should be cancelled.

Your committee finds that there is no intention on the part of any one to defraud in this matter.

In the matter of the Irish Setter, "Red".

This dog was registered by Howard N. Casell, the

particulars were stated to be: Breeders, L. and S.A. Contoit; Sire, "Connaught" and Dam "Reddy". Mr. Louis Contoit protests this registration upon the ground that he owned both "Connaught" and "Reddy". That "Reddy" only had one litter to "Connaught" in which there were only three dogs, Ch. "St. Cloud III "75666, Dermond" 65023 and St. Cloud's Timothy, "69144. That "Connaught: and "Reddy" were owned by Mr. Contoit up to the time of their deaths. In his application for registration Mr. Cassell stated that he purchased the dog from Mr. C.T. Colehower who furnished him with pedigree as stated.

Your committee communicated with Mr. Colehower who informed them that "Red" was brought to his kennels by Mr. Wm. Wood, now of Chews Landing, N.J., in exchange for a beagle dog from "Lady Dorothy," the pedigree was given to him by his father.

Your committee therefore communicated with Mr. Wm. Wood but have heard nothing from him as yet.

Your committee is of the opinion that the stated pedigree is erroneous and that the registration should be cancelled together with any wins that "Red" may have made. We recommend that the Executive Board require Messrs. Colehower and Wood to show cause why action should not be taken against them for any misconduct in connection

with this transaction.

In the matter of English Setter, "Rumney Rocket".

Application for the registration of this dog was made by J.H. Brighbill, who stated that the name and address of the breeder was Mr. J.D. Wood, Wilkes Barre, Pa., this registration is protested by Mr. Wood upon the ground that the animal registered is not bred as stated.

Mr. Wood states that Mr. Brightbill had a litter of puppies on Sept. 12, 1903, on the same date that Mr. Wood's "Mapel's Queen B" whelped to Ch. Rumney Racket. That he loaned to Mr. Brightbill a puppy from the "Racket Queen B" litter to suckle Mr. Brightbill's bitch, that subsequently Mr. Brightbill wrote to Mr. Wood that his (Brightbill's) puppy had died; but a Mr. W.S. Kern in an affidavit filed, states that Brightbill acknowledged that it was Wood's dog that died and was carried away by the ashman. Your committee has had no explanation from Mr. Brightbill.

From the above facts your committee is convinced that the pedigree is fraudulent and should be cancelled and that the Executive Board should take cognizance of the matter.

In the matter of the registration of the Collie "Mason Clinker":

Application was made for this registration by Stephen Dunn who stated on the application blank that the dog was sired by "Wishaw Clinker" from "Lady Hutton." Mr. F. Poth was given as the breeder and Geo. Frank as the seller, the date of birth as December 12, 1902.

Mr. J. S. Walters filed a complaint upon the ground that the breeding could not be as stated and that "Wishaw Clinker" was in Scotland in 1902.

Mr. Fred Poth, the owner of "Lady Hutton" states that he had never bred any stock to "Wishaw Clinker" or has been out of his kennels without his knowledge. Mr. Dunn states that the pedigree in question was furnished him by the seller, and that in registering the pedigree he merely copies it. Mr. Geo. Frank states that he bought the dog from a coachman who gave him the pedigree, that he found out it was not right and so notified Mr. Dunn.

Your committee is of the opinion that the pedigree is not correctly stated, that the registration should be cancelled and the dog disqualified. We are of the opinion, however, that the matter should be investigated and would recommend that the Executive Board inquire into the motive and as to who is the guilty party.

In the matter of the application for the recogni-

Tion of Porto Rican Spaniels:

Your committee has considered the description as well as the illustrations and we are of the opinion that it has not yet been established that there are any distinct or recognized breeds of dogs on the island of Porto Rico.

Regarding the division of breeds into sporting and non-sporting divisions, your committee is of the opinion that if they are to be so divided it would recommend that they be allotted as follows:

-SPORTING-

Chesapeake Bay
Bloodhounds
Otterhounds
Foxhounds
Harriers
Beagles
Basset Hounds
Dachshunds
Greyhounds
Deerhounds
Russian Wolfhounds
Irish Wolfhounds
Setters

-NON-SPORTING-

French Bull Dog
Bull Dogs
Mastiffs
Great Danes
Newfoundlands
St. Bernards
Collies
Old English Sheep Dogs
Dalmatians
Poodles
Bull Terriers (As
Black & Tan Terriers (separate-
Toy Spaniels (ly divided

Retrievers	Japanese Spaniels
Spaniels Irish Water	Pekingese Spaniels
Spaniels Water, other than Irish	Yorkshire Terriers
Spaniels Clumber	Maltese Terriers
Spaniels Sussex	Italian Greyhounds
Spaniels Field	Chow Chow
Spaniels Cocker	Pomeranians
Pointers	Pugs
Fox Terriers	Schipperkes
Irish Terriers	Griffons Bruzellois
Scottish Terriers	Boston Terriers
Welsh Terriers	Chihuahuas
Dandie Dinmont Terriers	Foreign Dogs
Skye Terriers	
Airedale Terriers	
Bedlington Terriers	
Whippets	

Your committee desires the Association to consider the advisability of dividing the breeds into three sections as follows: Sporting, Non-Sporting and Terriers, believing that such a division might facilitate the judging of special prizes which is the cause of this matter having been referred to the Committee.

It would make fewer and more equal contestants

and could not in any way affect the standing of a breed as no matter how they may be divided for such show purposes their characters would remain the same.

SPORTING	NON-SPORTING	TERRIERS
Bloodhounds	French Bull Dogs	Airedale Terriers
Otterhounds	Bull Dogs	Bedlington Terriers
Foxhounds	Mastiffs	Black & Tan Terriers
Harriers	Great Dane	Bull Terriers
Beagles	Newfoundlands	Boston Terriers
Bassett Hounds	St. Bernards	Dandie Dinmont Terriers
Dachshunds	Collies	Fox Terriers
Greyhounds	Old English Sheep Dogs	Irish Terriers
Deerhounds	Dalmatians	Scottish Terriers
Russian Wolfhounds	Poodles	Skye Terriers
Irish Wolfhounds	Toy Spaniels, King Charles	
Whippets	Toy Spaniels, Blenheim	Welsh Terriers
Pointers	Toy Spaniels, Ruby	Yorkshire Terriers
Setters	Toy Spaniels, Tribolor	Toy Terriers
Retrievers	Pekingese Spaniels	White English Terriers
Spaniels, Irish Water		
Spaniels, Water other Than Irish	Japanese Spaniels	
Spaniels Clumber	Italian Greyhounds	
Spaniels Sussex	Chow Chows	
Spaniels Cocker	Griffons Bruzellois	
Chesapeake Bay	Pomeranians	
	Foreign Dogs	
	Pugs	
	Schipperkes	

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the prefixes and the transfer of kennel names be granted and that the recommendations contained in such report be taken up later under the head of General Business.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I am in receipt of four sets of minutes from the Pacific Advisory Committee, dated respectfully March 18th, March 27th, April 7th and April 18th, with 160 names of disqualified persons. The question is whether you want them read here or whether you want them read there or whether you will simply order them spread upon the minutes.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that they be spread upon the minutes.

Motion seconded and carried.

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C.

Held at the office of the Chairman, Mills Building,

San Francisco, March 18, 1905

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman; C.K. Harley, Dr. W.P. Burnham, J.P. Norman.

On motion the minutes were adopted as published in the American Kennel Gazette.

The mail vote of the Committee, electing the Portland Kennel Club to membership in the American Kennel Club was confirmed.

On motion to take up unfinished business, the papers relating the negotiations with the Secretary of the Western Kennel League, Mr. N.J. Stewart, were called up. On resolution, the Committee decided that no further steps would be taken in the negotiations, and the papers were ordered passed to file.

On motion it was resolved that the Clubs of the Coast were advised by this Committee of the desirability of keeping in touch with their respective delegates, so that the latter may be kept informed of the legislation needed, and the Secretary was instructed to convey this resolution to the Pacific Coast Clubs.

On motion, it was resolved, that the Clubs of the Coast, members of the American Kennel Club, be called upon to express to this Committee their individual opinion

as to the advisability of putting into force the concessions granted to the negotiator for the Western Kennel League, Mr. Norman J. Stewart, and to express what if any, other or alternative changes they would desire in the present management of Pacific Coast Kennel affairs.

Mr. W.E. Chute, Secretary of the Oakland Kennel Club, in a letter dated January 20th, 1905, advises that he is responsible for the error in entering Mr. Papst's Pointer, Mason's King, as "Topnotch Mason's King" and that the error at the San Mateo show was copied from his error at the Oakland show. The writer thought that consequently this committee should intervene to have the cancellation of the dog's win reversed. After some discussion, it was resolved, that the case is covered by the rule that the exhibitor is compelled to abide by his own errors, and that the Secretary of the Oakland Club made out the entry blank as the exhibitor's agent; the committee must therefore decline to interfere in the matter.

On the application of Frank J. Moore for re-instatement:

It was moved and seconded, that whereas the applicant is certified by the Portland Kennel Club to be a member in good standing, and whereas he states that the Salem Kennel Club, which held a show in December last under

rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, has since disbanded, and applies for re-instatement on the ground of belonging to a member of the application of the said Frank J. Moore for himself and associates is endorsed by the Portland Kennel Club, therefore be it Resolved, that the officials and clerks of the Salem Kennel Club, including Frank Turner of Victoria, who acted as Judge of the show, were disqualified by reason of having held a show under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, but that said officials and employees are reinstated except that such re-instatement does not apply to the judge of said show, Frank Turner of Victoria, British Columbia, who is and remains disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.

On the application of A.B. Jackson for re-instatement:

It was moved and seconded that whereas the applicant was Secretary of the Spokane Kennel Club, which held a show under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, after the resignation of the President of said Club to avoid disqualification, this club committee does not deem it advisable to grant such application, unless accompanied by the guarantee of allegiance to the American

Kennel Club, such as would be afforded by membership in a club a member of the American Kennel Club, or the application of the Spokane Kennel Club for admission to the American Kennel Club and of its officers, for re-instatement to good standing therein. Carried.

Re the amendment of Mr. Viti to the motion of Mr. Carnochan to disqualify all exhibitors at shows held under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, held anywhere in the United States, the amendment and motion having been referred to the Rules Committee at the annual meeting of the A.K.C.

It was moved and seconded, that the letter written by the Secretary of this Committee by direction of the Chairman be confirmed; that the object of this resolution having been stated by Mr. Carnochan to the upholding of the hands of this Committee, and the Secretary of this Committee having written as noted to the Chairman of Rules Committee, that any disqualification of the exhibitors at any show would not meet with the support of public opinion on the Coast, this Committee deems it within its deference, that any extension of the disqualification clause passed by this Committee on July 15th lat, be made applicable only to officials, judges and employees at

shows held under other than A.K.C. rules. Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary

SPECIAL MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, MARCH 27, 1905

Held at office of the Chairman, Mills Building, S.F.

Present: de Ruyter, (Chairman), Burnham, Carlton, Harley, Norman

The reading of the minutes was postponed to the next regular meeting.

Communications were read as follows:

From the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association, relating to negotiations with the Seattle Kennel Club.

Copies of letters between the President of the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association and the President of the Seattle Kennel Club.

Published interviews with the officials of the Seattle Kennel Club.

The Chair stated that the meeting was called for the purpose of considering what steps, if any, should be taken to protect the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association from the publicly announced intention of the Seattle Kennel Club

to hold a show at such time and place as would be likely to be most detrimental to the show of the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association, a member of the American Kennel Club.

After some discussion, in which all the members of the Committee participated:

It was moved and seconded, That whereas the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association, a member of the American Kennel Club, has since January last, announced its intention of holding a show in the month of April under the rules and auspices of the American Kennel Club, and

WHEREAS, the Seattle Kennel Club has made overtures of consolidation to the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association, which the latter has found impossible of acceptance, and

WHEREAS, the Seattle Kennel Club through its President has publicly announced its intention of injuring the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association by holding a show under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club during the week preceding the show to be held by the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association, and

WHEREAS, the holding of such show and the encouragement thereof would be conduct detrimental to the best interests of dogs and dog shows, and

WHEREAS, this Committee deems it to be its paramount

duty to protect such members of the American Kennel Club as are under its immediate jurisdiction from the prejudicial results of such action, Therefore, be it resolved, that the officials, paid and unpaid, of any show held west of the 110th degree of longitude under other than the rules of the American Kennel Club, be disqualified as provided in the resolution of this Committee passed in July last, and be it further.

RESOLVED, that all exhibitors at any show held during the month of April in Seattle under rules other than those of the American Kennel be and hereby are disqualified and that the officials and members of any club holding such show be and hereby are disqualified and be it further resolved, that the present officials and members of the Seattle Kennel Club be and hereby are disqualified in the event of any show being held.

Carried unanimously.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman

Secretary

SPECIAL MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
Held April 7th, 1905 at office of Chairman

Present: de Ruyter (Chairman), Carlton, Burnham, Norman,

Absent: Harley

On motion, the minutes of the meeting of March 18th were called up, read and approved.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The Chair stated that the object of the present meeting was to consider applications for re-instatement to good standing from person disqualified for holding shows in the United States west of the 110th degree of longitude under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary read the application of A.B. Jackson of Spokane. It appearing from the facts set forth to the Committee, in conjunction with this application, that Mr. Jackson had complied with the conditions laid down by this Committee at the time of his prior application, it was:

Moved and seconded, that A.B. Jackson be and hereby is re-instated to good standing in the American Kennel Club, and restored to the privileges thereof. Carried.

The Secretary read the application of Claude D. Bond of Spokane. It appearing from the facts set forth with

this application, that the applicant had complied with all requirements of this Committee, and with the rules of the American Kennel Club, it was Moved and seconded, that Claude D. Bond be and hereby is re-instated to good standing in the American Kennel Club, and restored to the privileges thereof. Carried.

The Secretary read the application of John M. Bunn of Spokane. It appearing from the facts set forth with this application, that the applicant had complied with the requirements of this Committee and with the Rules of the American Kennel Club, it was

Moved and seconded, that John M Bunn be and hereby is re-instated to good standing in the American Kennel Club, and restored to the privileges thereof. Carried.

The Secretary read the application of the Spokane Kennel Club, Inc. for admission to the American Kennel Club. The club having filed its constitution and list of officers in duplicate, and the same conforming to the rules of the American Kennel Club, it was

Moved and seconded that the Spokane Kennel Club be and hereby is elected to membership in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that the mail vote of this Committee electing the Southwestern Kennel Club to member-

ship in the American Kennel Club be and hereby is confirmed. Carried.

There being no other business before the meeting, the same was adjourned.

Attest

J.P. Norman

Secretary

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held April 18th, 1905, at office of Chairman

Present: De Ruyter (Chairman), Carlton, Burnham, Norman,

Absent: Harley

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

Communications:

From the Secretary of the Pacific St. Bernard Club, alleging that D.J. Sinclair stated to the Secretary of the P. St. B. Club that Chas. Newman had requested Mr. Olney of Pleasanton to allow the said Newman to exhibit Mr. Olney's St. Bernard, Teddy Roosevelt, as his own; that he, Newman, had everything "fixed" and was bound to win.

On motion the letter was ordered filed, pending the presentation of definite charges, supported by the necessary affidavits.

In reference to the concessions made to Mr. Norman J. Stewart, during the negotiations for

the acceptance of certain clubs into the American Kennel Club.

From the Seattle Kennel Club, strongly deprecating the granting of any concessions, and expressing unqualified satisfaction with the present management of Pacific Coast Kennel affairs, and with the methods thereof.

From the Portland Kennel Club, expressing identical sentiments:

From the San Mateo Kennel Club, expressing opinions coincidental with the foregoing.

From the Oakland Kennel Club to the same effect, and criticizing strongly the policy of granting such concessions;

From the President and Vice-President of the San Francisco Kennel Club, verbally and in writing, disclaiming any wish to put such concessions into force.

It was moved and seconded, that whereas the clubs in the jurisdiction of this Committee, who were members of the American Kennel Club at the time, that the said concession were made, have unanimously and unequivocally expressed their desire that the said concessions be not granted and that the management of Pacific Coast kennel affairs remain in statu quo, therefore be it

Resolved, that the concessions offered to Norman J.

Stewart, not having been accepted by the Clubs of the Western Kennel League, be and hereby are, at the unanimous request of the Clubs, members of the American Kennel Club, revoked and cancelled. Carried.

The official catalogue of the Dog Show of the Seattle Kennel Club being laid before the Committee, showing that the said club had held a show in Seattle on April 12, 13, 14 and 15, 1905, under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, in contravention of the resolution of this Committee passed March 27, 1905, it was moved and seconded that the individuals whose names appear in said catalogue be and hereby are disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club, according to the resolution in such case made and provided, and that the list of names hereto attached be the list of persons so disqualified and constitute an integral part of these records. Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned subject to call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman, Secretary

MR. CARNOCHAN: As Chairman of the Committee on Express Rates, which committees has not made a report since it was appointed, I would like to report the following letter:

“Dear Sir:

“In effect September 1, 1904, rate on dogs in crates or kennels by express is one and one-half merchandise rates.”

Signed by the agent of the American Express Company.

I think this is one step in the right direction and is very much better and simpler than the very complicated system of rates which was in effect before September 1, 1904.

THE CHAIR: As I understand, this committee is still in existence and doing more work?

MR. CARNOCHAN: If the delegates choose to keep us on. We are endeavoring to obtain a single flat rate.

THE CHAIR: If there is no objection the report will be accepted and the committee continued in existence.

GENERAL BUSINESS

The Secretary: I call attention to an apparent error at the late Chicago Kennel Club show in the judging of the pointer bitch classes, and I submit the correspondence in connection with the matter.

THE CHAIR: I think this is a matter that should be referred to the Executive Board.

Moved and seconded that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: In the matter of allowing show clubs to use their discretion in the matter of a date for the closing of entries, provided it is not fixed

later than seven days preceding the opening of a show, I would ask the American Kennel Club either to make a ruling or to refer the matter to the Rules Committee so that a new rule may be recommended that will do away with the present complication.

The Secretary read a letter from J. Sergeant Price Jr. in reference to the entry of S.P. Martin's Irish Terrier, "Edgecomb Ben." At the Buffalo Show, the win of said dog having been cancelled by the A.K.C. winning 1st novice, at Pittsburg Show, before the closing of the entries for Buffalo.

MR. VAN SCHAICK: I move that this award shall stand. The Dog was entered in good faith, and was entered before the award in the novice class was made. I think that award should stand.

Motion seconded.

MR. MORTIMER: Rule 13 seems to be perfectly clear in this matter. I do not see how it can be misunderstood in any way at all.

THE CHAIR: This is a very important matter. It seems to me it would be a very proper subject to refer to the Rules Committee. If it is a question of the rules why not have the Rules Committee decide it, and if they consider that under the rules this award should stand, we should act on their advice, or we could make a change in the rules in that regard if the Rules Committee so decided.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The rule is so perfectly plain that if, as stated, the Irish Terriers were judged on the second day of the Pittsburg Show, that win at

Buffalo must stand according to the rule. Therefore I would like to offer an amendment to the motion, that all wins at the Buffalo show that were disqualified in any class owing to the belief that all dogs were judged at Pittsburg on the first day be allowed to stand, and that the Rules Committee be asked to frame a rule on this subject.

Motion as amended carried.

MR. VITI: I move that the rules shall be altered so as to read that in case of the date of closing of entries falling within the dates of a previous show, the awards at that show shall not be counted.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill of disbursements reported by the Pacific Advisory Committee, amounting to \$36.35, was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: I now read the most remarkable letter I have ever received.

(Letter read).

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the matter be referred to the Executive Board for action, the Secretary preferring charges against this man.

MR. VITI: I move as an amendment that the equivalent of the check for \$3 be returned with that

Notice.

Motion as amended carried.

After a discussion lasting four hours, the report of the Rules Committee was adopted, amended or rejected as follows:

A dog is eligible for registration in the Stud Book under the following conditions:

- (1) American bred dogs whelped after Jan. 1st, 1906, must have registered parents. Lost.
- (2) Foreign bred dogs must have a pedigree of at least three generations, certified to by the Kennel Club of the country from which they are imported. Lost.
- (3) Dogs not eligible under Section I and II, must have won at least three first prizes in limit or open classes of their breed, under at least three different judges at recognized shows. Lost.
- (4) Dogs whelped prior to Jan. 1st, 1906, may be registered at any time under the rules in force up to that date. Lost.

NEW BY-LAWS

XVII. Any person or person acting in any official capacity paid or unpaid, including that of Judge, Secretary, Superintendent, steward, clerk of a show, or as an officer or member of a Bench Show Committee of any club, holding a show in the United States of America, under any rule antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, shall be disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

NEW BY-LAWS

With the exception of routine business no matters shall be brought up for discussion at any meeting, unless due notice has been given to the Secretary of the A.K.C. and by him sent to each delegate at least twenty days prior to the said meeting or unless a majority of the delegates present at a meeting consent to the consideration of a new subject. Carried.

AMENDMENTS TO THE RULES

Rule XII. Class No. 1

Add a new paragraph. Puppy classes must be judged not later than the second day of the show. Carried.

Class No. 2.

Substitute the following: The novice class shall be for American bred dogs only, never having won a first

prize at any recognized show, wins in the puppy classes excepted. Carried.

Class No. 5.

Add after the word "class" in the 12th line. – the words "under three different judges, excepting however a 5 point shows when two wins under different judges will be sufficient." Carried.

Paragraph No. 7.

Add new paragraph. "Shows that do not give money prizes in the regular classes, shall be rated at one half the schedule of points as designated above." This does not apply to shows held west of the 110th degree of longitude. Lost.

Rule IV.

Add the following words: "Except in the case of technical errors where fraud is not shown, in which case the Secretary shall impose a fine of \$2.00 for each entry."

Substitute for the present Rule XXIV, The Superintendent of any show cannot exhibit or officiate as a judge at that show. Carried.

Page XXI, Rule XVI. Section 4. Add the words "for each entry." Carried.

Same Rule, add new section. For all errors in the entry forms at shows where fraud is not shown -- \$2.00 for each entry. Carried.

that between the dates of Dec. 1st and Dec. 31st of each year, nominations for the officers of President and Vice-President, and the Chairman of each standing committee of this Association, may be filed with the Secretary of this Association by any delegate whose club is in good standing, and the said Secretary must publish said nominations for the above named offices in the Jan. issue of the American Kennel Gazette of each year. And at each succeeding annual meeting only such names as may have been nominated and whose nominations have been published in the preceding Jan. issue of the American Kennel Gazette, in the manner above set forth, can be nominated and no other nomination shall be permitted nor can any candidates other than such as have been announced be nominated.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the Rules Committee be instructed to report this matter back to the annual

meeting as an amendment to the Constitution of the Club.

MR. VITI: I move as an amendment that it be now adopted as a by-law of this Association.

Motion as amended carried.

It was moved and seconded that the Rules go into effect August 1, 1905.

Carried.

THE CHAIR: There are still some matters connected with the report of the Stud Book Committee to be acted upon.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the cases therein mentioned be referred to the Executive Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MORTIMER: I notice that the cocker spaniels and field spaniels are all registered together with no division, although they are a distinct and different breed.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the Stud Book Committee be instructed to report this matter of cocker and field spaniels back at the next meeting of this club.

Motion seconded and carried.

It was moved and seconded that the recommendation of the Stud Book Committee as to classification of dogs as sporting, non-sporting and terriers be adopted.

Carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

Held at its offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, New York City

Thursday, September 21st, 1905.

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, Presiding

PRESENT:

ASSOCIATE MEMEBRS

Dwight Moore

W.P. Earle

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB

J.H. Brookfield

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB

H.H. Hunnewell

AMERICAN DACHSHUND CLUB

G. Muss-Arnolt

ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB

J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

BULL TERRIER CLUB

Clair Foster

ENGLEWOOD KENNEL CLUB

Myron W. Robinson

IRISH SETTER CLUB

L.M. D. Mc Guire

JAPANESE SPANIEL CLUB

William J. Berg

LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB

Joseph M. Dale

MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB

C.F.R. Drake

NEW ENGLAND COLLIE CLUB

T.B. Middlebrooks

NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB

W.B. Emery

OAKLAND KENENL CLUB

S.C. Mastick

SPANIEL BREEDERS' SOCIETY

Marcel A. Viti

WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA

B.S. Smith

PHILADELPHIA POINTER CLUB

Osgood Sayen

CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB

A.D. Gillette

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Harry S. Spackman	Interstate Fair Kennel Club
Abram D. Gillette	Champlain Kennel Club
Clifford Drake	Stockton Kennel Club
J.L. Lockhart	Washington Kennel Club
S. Boyd Carrigan	Philadelphia Collie Club
A.M. Orr	Miami Valley Kennel Club
Arthur Letts	Southwestern Kennel Club
John W. Britton, II	Bull Terrier Breeders' Association
C.K. Harley	Pacific Sheep Dog Club
Richard Crocker, Jr.	Colorado Kennel Club
Monson Morris	Rockland County Industrial Association
W.T. Payne	Franklin Kennel Club

THE SECRETARY: Credentials have been filed from the Spokane Kennel Club, nominating Alexander H. Rutherford; Bryn Mawr Kennel Club, nominating Mr. Henry D. Riley; Keystone Beagle Club, nominating Mr. Wilson Barnard; Cedarhurst Kennel Club, nominating Mr. John G. Bates; Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Association, nominating Mr. William E. Stone; Passaic County Kennel Club, nominating Mr. Albert Ulrich. These credentials are marked by the com-

mittee “not approved.” The Passaic County Kennel Club’s nominee is the Secretary of the club. The Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Association’s nominees is the clerk of the club, which, I suppose, is the same as the secretary. The Cedarhurst Kennel Club’s nominee is the secretary of the club. The Keystone Beagle Club’s nominee is the secretary of the club. The Bryn Mawr Kennel Club’s nominee is the secretary of the club. The Spokane Kennel Club’s nominee is either an active or honorary member of that club, and upon those grounds the committee disapproved of their acceptance.

MR. MASTICK: I move that the Secretary include in his notification to these clubs whose credentials have been disapproved by the membership committee the reason therefore as stated at this meeting.

MR. VITI: I move that the minutes of the last meeting be accepted as published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this, my regular

quarterly report.

Since our last meeting there has been an addition to our roll of active members of nine clubs as follows:

Through the Pacific Advisory Committee:

PACIFIC SHEEPDOG CLUB

STOCKTON KENNEL CLUB

ST. CLARA COUNTY KENNEL CLUB

SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB

DESERT AGRICULTURAL & MANUFACTURING SOCIETY

By the Executive Board:

Interstate Fair Kennel Club

Miami Valley Kennel Club

Westchester County Fair Kennel Club

Wolverine Kennel Club

With these additions our roll of active members numbers ninety-nine clubs, all in good standing, and is the high water mark since the organization of this Association. Three applications for membership are now pending, and twenty-one clubs have filed credentials, both of which will be duly reported by your Membership Committee.

Twenty-eight applications for the registration of Kennel names have been submitted to the Stud Bok Committee, and its report will be presented for your action.

The Passaic County Fish and Game Protective Society has tendered its resignation as an active member. This club being in good standing I would recommend the acceptance of this resignation.

It also requests that its date deposit now in our hands be transferred to the Passaic County Kennel Club, provided same shall be elected at this meeting, and whose application will be reported upon by the Membership Committee.

The Rhode Island Kennel Club and the Texas Kennel Club both claimed dates and made the necessary deposit for shows to be held during this year. For good and sufficient reason these clubs will be unable to hold the shows contemplated, and respectfully requests that their deposits be transferred to cover shows to be held in 1906. As precedents exist where such requests have been granted, I respectfully recommend that the two requests referred to above, be granted.

The Collie Club of America has withdrawn Mr. Edwin J. Van Schaick, as its delegates, and I would request permission to remove his name as such delegate.

I beg to report the receipt of a bill for disbursements from the Pacific Advisory Committee, covering expenses from May 10th to August 31st, amounting to \$31.25.

This committee has a balance to its credit on account of deposits made with it by this Association, of \$13.65, I would therefore recommend that the balance now due said Advisory Committee of \$17.60 be ordered paid. Our Pacific Advisory Committee has held three meetings since the last report, namely, June 21, August 2, and September 6th, and minutes of the same have been filed with this office. It rests with this meeting whether said minutes shall be read or ordered printed as a part of the proceedings of this meeting without reading.

The Lynn Kennel Club has filed a notice that it has suspended J. Mc Guinness of Everett, Mass. for removing his dog from its show, without authority, and against the express direction of said club. It is alleged that a notice was sent to Mc Guinness, notifying him of time and place where a meeting of the Bench Show Committee would be held to consider his conduct, and giving him due opportunity to appear before said Committee in his own defense. Failing to take advantage of this opportunity he was duly suspended under the provision of the A.K.C. Rule. I would report that under our rules this suspension must either be removed at this meeting, or the penalty of disqualification must be imposed against the said Mc Guinness.

I beg to report for your information that the following cases will be submitted to the Executive Board, at its first meeting:

John G. Howland vs. Charles B. Pineo, misconduct in connection with dogs.

Adolphy Stucky vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer, misconduct in connection with dogs.

J.W. Brauer vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer, misconduct in connection with dogs.

C.G. Fowler vs. Franklin Kennel Club, misconduct in connection with dog shows.

Myron W. Robinson vs. Lynn Kennel Club, Appeal from action of superintendent of said club.

No doubt the delegates will recall that at the annual meeting the members were so numerous that there was not sufficient space in our meeting room to accommodate them. Several men obliged to occupy chairs in both the Secretary's office and the registration office, and I am informed that a few of the members retired for want of room. As above reported, our membership at present is very large, and likely to be increased at this meeting by at least twenty additional delegates, and I therefore believe that the need of a larger meeting room is a subject that should be thoroughly discussed, and I trust that this matter will receive due consideration at your hands.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Sec'y

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was read as follows:

"New York, Sept. 21, 1905

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1905, - - - - - \$16,714.95

Receipts from Jan. 1st to date, - - - - - 12,977.84

Total - - - - - \$29,692.79

Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date - - - - - 13,237.96

Balance on hand - - - - - \$16,454.83

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer"

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: The report of the Executive Board of July 20th was published in the July Gazette.

On motion the reading of the report of the Executive Board meeting was dispensed with.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

“New York, September 21, 1905

The Stud Book Committee of the A.K.C. begs to submit the following report:

The following list of applications for kennel names and transfers were received by us and as none of these names conflict with those registered, your Committee recommends that the names be granted to the applicants:

BARROWOOD	Mrs. F.T. Barrowman
BALBEC	John Howe
BEPPO BLUE	Mrs. Newton Bennington
BUCKS	Philip French
BURNLEY	W.B. Boorum, Jr. et al
CAVE ROCK	W.W. Spackman
CLIVEDEN	Mrs. C.E. Guyer
RELIANCE	John D. Faulkner
ELMBANK	W.F. Center
FOREST OAK	R.D. Williams
GLENBREEKIN FARM	Thos. Crimmins
GREENWOOD	A.B. de Campi
HATTON	Robert Irvine
TRELAWNEY	Dan G. Trench

VINEWOOD

Mr. and Mrs. W. J. Jenkins

WAHNETAH

V.E. Heywood

WESTLAND

M.C. Strickland

WYCHWOOD

Mrs. H.S. Oakley

Transfers

GLIMPSEWOOD from W.B. Boorum, Jr. to Mrs. W.B. Boorum

RUSHTON, from George W. Bunnell, Jr. to H.S. Collette

STOUGHTON form Caleb West to Caleb West, H.P. Melcher, E.F. West

Applications were also made for the registration of the names Boston and Aberdeen. Following the precedents of the club your Committee recommend that these two names be disallowed. Boston, because of the same reason which actuated the Kennel Club in disallowing the name New York, and Aberdeen, to conform with the precedent refusing the name of a breed.

Several other applications are in the hands of your Committee and will be acted upon after they have been published in the Gazette. Your Committee feel that all applications for kennel names and the transfers thereof should be published in the Gazette before they are acted upon so as to afford an opportunity for objecting to them if there be any cause for such action.

(Signed) Marcel A. Viti

Chairman”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I have here the minutes of the last three meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that the reading of such minutes be dispensed with and that they be spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

The minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of meetings held on June 21st, August 2nd and September 6, 1905, follow:

San Francisco, June 21, 1905

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Carlton, Burnham, Norman

Absent: Harley

On motion the minutes of the previous regular meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The minutes of the special meeting of May 10th were read and approved.

The Pacific Sheepdog Club having been elected by mail vote, the election was confirmed.

In re Ollard versus Portland Kennel Club: This is a claim for prize money offered to the handler bringing the largest number of dogs from Washington.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to refer the matter back to the appellant with direction to file sworn affidavit, accompanied by the usual deposit of ten dollars and a copy of the premium list of the Portland Kennel Club showing that the association made the alleged offer of the sum involved.

The following letter from C.K. Harley was read by the Secretary: San Francisco, June 13, 1905. Mr. J.P. Norman, Secretary Pacific Advisory Committee. Dear Sir: Owing to the fact that for the next two years my residence is to be in the City of New York, I hereby tender my resignation as member of the Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club, to take effect at once. Very truly yours, (Signed) Charles K. Harley.

It was moved and seconded that this committee regrets in the extreme that circumstances have compelled Mr. Harley to tender his resignation, and that in view of the same, this committee accepts the resignation with equal regret. Carried unanimously.

On motion it was resolved to take up the matter of filling the vacancy on the committee.

The Chair suggested that it would have the tendency to make this committee more representative of the Clubs of the Coast and would bring it more in touch which them if

this committee would give them the choice of selecting from a number of fanciers, persons gratae to the committee, the one who would be most acceptable to the majority of the clubs. The candidate receiving the largest number of votes from the club would be the one nominated to the A.K.C. for appointment. As the result of considerable deliberation, he would ask that the names of Frederick P. Butler, Walter W. Stettheimer and Norman J. Stewart be placed in nomination for submittal to the clubs, if such course were adopted by the meeting.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the suggestions of the Chair be adopted, and the Secretary be instructed to submit to the clubs the list of candidates as might be approved by the meeting.

The names of W.W. Stettheimer, Fred P. Butler and Norman J. Stewart were then placed in nomination.

It was moved and seconded that nominations be closed. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that the three names mentioned be submitted to the clubs for a choice. Carried.

A petition was then read from the Southwestern Kennel Club, asking that John Riplinger and others disqualified by reason of having held a bench show at

Seattle during the month of April, in contravention of the rules of the American Kennel Club, be re-instated to good standing. On motion, the consideration of the petition was postponed to the next meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman
Secretary

August 2, 1905

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Carlton, Burnham, Norman.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

On motion, the election by mail vote of the Stockton Kennel Club, the Santa Cruz County Kennel Club and the Santa Clara County Kennel Club were confirmed.

In pursuance of the resolution passed on June 21st, the Secretary having requested the clubs in the jurisdiction of the Committee to express their preference for one of the three gentlemen, Messrs. Fred. P. Butler, Norman J. Stewart, or Walter W. Stettheimer to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Mr. Chas. K. Harley, the following replied were received:

For Fred. P. Butler, Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association, Oakland Kennel Club, San Francisco Kennel Club.

For Walter W. Stettheimer: San Mateo Kennel Club, Pacific Sheep Dog Club, Portland Kennel Club, Spokane Kennel Club, Stockton Kennel Club, Southwestern Kennel Club, Santa Cruz County Kennel Club (Mr. Stewart having withdrawn his name), Santa Clara County Kennel Club, (Mr. Stewart having withdrawn his name).

There being eight votes for Mr. Stettheimer against three for Mr. Butler, it was:

Moved and seconded, that this Committee do hereby recommend to the American Kennel Club that Mr. Walter W. Stettheimer be appointed to fill the vacancy cause by the resignation of Mr. C.K. Harley. CARRIED.

Tucker versus Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association:

This is a complain from Dr. E.F. Tucker of Portland, dated July 24th, alleging that he had deposited with the S.D.F.A. the sum of five dollars to cover a protest and that no action and that no action had been taken thereof, and that he could elicit no reply from that association. It was moved and seconded that the secretary be instructed to call on the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association for an immediate action on Dr. Tucker's protest, or for a satisfactory reason for failing to take action thereon, the reply to be furnished within ten days from the date of the Secretary's letter, failing to receive which, this com-

mittee would take the necessary disciplinary steps. CARRIED.

Chute versus Morris:

Under date of July 25th W.E. Chute produces a communication published in a local paper, signed by Wm. J. Morris and dated Los Angeles, June 22, in which said Morris accuses complainant of endeavoring to prevent Morris' dog from competing for a special prize offered at the San Francisco Dog Show for the best Pointer owned on the Pacific Coast. The complainant denies the allegation and petitions the committee to cause the defendant to corroborate his accusations and to substantiate them or to suffer the usual penalty for conduct prejudicial to dogs and dog shows. It was

Moved and seconded that the Secretary be instructed to call on the said Wm. J. Morris to furnish proof of his accusations within ten days from date of advice, failing to receive which, this committee would resort to the usual disciplinary measures. CARRIED.

In the matter of the petition of the Southwestern Kennel Club for reinstatement of certain individuals disqualified for participation in a show held in Seattle in contravention of certain rules passed by this Committee, it was

Moved and seconded that no communication having been received from the disqualified parties seeking re-instatement to good standing, this committee regrets its inability to comply with the request of the Southwestern Kennel Club.
CARRIED.

The rules being suspended on motion by unanimous vote, it was moved and seconded that the by-laws of this committee be amended as follows: That this Committee hold a regular meeting on the first Wednesday of each month, the meeting place to be the office of the Chairman, unless otherwise stated by notice from the Secretary.

Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. NORMAN

Secretary

September 6, 1905

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Burnham, Stettheimer, Norman.

Absent: Carlton

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

The Secretary read the following communication: New York, Aug. 15, 1905. Mr. J.P. Norman, Sec. Pacific Ad-

visory Committee, Berkeley, Cal. Dear Sir: I have the honor to advise you that Mr. Walter W. Stettheimer has this day been duly appointed a member of your committee, to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Mr. C.K. Harley. The appointment is made by August Belmont, Esq., President A.K.C. by virtue of the authority given him in the constitution. I have notified Mr. Stettheimer of his appointment. Yours truly, American Kennel Club, by A.P. Vredenburg, Secretary.

Application from the Desert Agricultural and Manufacturing Society of Salt Lake City, Utah for membership in the A.K.C. was read. On the statement by the Secretary that the Society has filed an attested copy of a resolution adopted on August 7th by the Board of Directors embodying in the constitution a clause for the holding of dog shows, and had otherwise complied with the requirements of the American Kennel Club, it was moved and seconded that the aforesaid Society be and hereby is elected to membership in the A.K.C. CARRIED.

In the case of Chute versus Morris: Complaint of false charges of misconduct.

The defendant by his attorney, W.A. Alderson, seeks a further extension of thirty days, in which to file proof of the allegations. On motion, it was resolved that such extension be granted.

Tucker v. Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association, A Protest:

It appears from the complaint that this was an appeal filed with the bench show committee after the expiration of the seven days allowed for such appeal; the protest was overruled on that ground by the executive board of the defendant club; the complainant alleged that at the dog show of the S.D.F.A. held in April, 1905, the special prize, a silver cup, offered for the best American-bred Airedale Terrier was awarded to Colne Sandy, owned by W.F. Delabarre of Port Los Angeles, registered in the A.K.C.S.B., No. 78510, as being bred by Jo. H. Laurin of Montreal, Canada. Reserve was awarded to Dr. Geo. Newlands' Colne Lochiel, A.K.C.S.B., 81106, bred by the same breeder. The defendant club having refused to consider the protest, it was resolved on motion that it be instructed to return the deposit of five dollars to the plaintiff, pending the decision of this committee, the said deposit to be transmitted through this committee and it was further resolved, that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to take steps for the procurance of further information in the case.

In the case of G.C. Israel: A petition for the removal of disqualification.

The particular sets forth under date of August 8th at Olympia, Washington, that he was disqualified with many others for having exhibited at the dog show held in April, 1905, by the Seattle Kennel Club in contravention of the rules of the American Kennel Club as enunciated by the Pacific Advisory Committee, that he exhibited under a misapprehension and in ignorance of said rules, and that he is and always was loyal to the American Kennel Club, and for those reasons prays for re-instatement to good standing. On motion, it was resolved that said petitioner be re-instated to good standing on filing with the Secretary of this committee an undertaking that he will not, in future, exhibit at any dog show, that is not held under the rules and with the sanction of the American Kennel Club.

Burnham v. Lepman: A charge of fraud and misconduct.

This charge, brought by Dr. W.P. Burnham of San Francisco of against Horace G. Lepman of Chicago, that the plaintiff sent the defendant \$135.00 in payment of a collies bitch, that the defendant received the money of which proof is furnished, and that defendant has not to date delivered the bitch or returned the money, not has he made any other acknowledgement or return. The Secretary stated that he had sent defendant an attested

copy of the charge and had allowed him the customary time in which to make answer. On motion, the case was laid upon the table, awaiting defendant's answer or presentation at the next regular meeting.

Butler V.C.W. Buttles of Kansas City, a charge of fraudulent misrepresentation.

This is a charge brought by Fred. P. Butler of San Francisco that defendant advertised a setter dog for sale, nine months old, high class and well made, and that plaintiff bought the dog on those representations. On arrival the dog was found to be an exceedingly poor specimen of which fact plaintiff adduces corroborative testimony and accuses defendant of fraud and misrepresentation. The Secretary stated that he had sent defendant an attested copy of the charge and had allowed him the usual time in which to make answer. On motion, consideration of the case was postponed until the next regular meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. NORMAN

Secretary

On motion the resignation of the Passaic County Fish

& Game Protective Association was accepted.

The Passaic County Kennel Club, The Southern Beagle Club and the People's Poultry & Pet Stock Association were elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Mr. G.B. Post, Jr. was elected to represent the Southern Beagle Club, and Mr. Osgood Sayen was elected to represent the Philadelphia Pointer Club.

THE SECRETARY: The Rhode Island Kennel Club and the Texas Kennel Club ask for a transfer of their dates to cover shows to be held in 1906. The reasons given seem to be good and sufficient, that they could not hold their shows in 1905, and their applications are now before you.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that their request be granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Collie Club of America has withdrawn Mr. Edwin J. Van Schaick as its delegate, and I request permission to remove his name as such delegate.

It is moved and seconded that the Secretary be authorized to remove Mr. Van Schiack's name from the list of delegates.

Carried.

On motion the Secretary was authorized to pay the balance due the Pacific Advisory Committee of \$17.60.

THE SECRETARY: The Lynn Kennel Club has suspended a man by the name of J. Mc Guinness, of Everett, Massachusetts, for removing his dog from its show without their permission and against their express direction. They allege they gave him an opportunity to be heard, which he did not take advantage of, and thereupon they suspended him. It is now nor this meeting to either remove that suspension or change it to disqualification. It has been the custom in these cases where no good reason is shown to remove the suspension, to disqualify the man, and for him when he receives notice thereof, to appeal and appear before the executive board which will consider the case on its merits.

MR. MOORE: Isn't there a specific rule covering the removal of dogs from shows?

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE: This man removed his dog from the show about seven o'clock in the evening of the second day of the show, which was the closing day, against my express wish. The show did not close until ten o'clock. He said he did not care for the American Kennel Club or anybody else, he was going

to take his dog out.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the action of the club be Sustained and the man disqualified.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a resolution here offered by the Membership Committee. It is as follows:

“WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the Membership Committee that the status of a paid judge at dog shows and field trials be determined.

Be it resolved, that a paid judge shall be defined as any one who shall have received or agrees to receive any monetary compensation for services as a judge at any dog show or field trial. Any judge receiving money for expenses shall be considered a paid judge unless the amount simply covers his necessary and legitimate expenses; which shall consist solely of transportation fares from and to his home, and hotel charges during his stay at the show or trial.

Be it further resolved, that all judges except paid judges be and hereby are required to file with the American Kennel Club, within thirty days after the close of the show or trials, an itemized bill of his expenses provided the club at which he judged has or agrees to reimburse him for said expenses, and said reimburse-

ment accepted by said judge, and any judge who fails to file an itemized bill of expenses as above provided shall be considered a paid judge.”

MR. MOORE: I move that the resolution be referred to the Rules Committee for consideration.

Motion seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move the resolution be adopted.

MR. VITI: I second the motion.

MR. EMERY: The idea of the Membership Committee informing that resolution was simply to get instruction. We wanted to know how we should define a paid judge, because in these credentials we ask that question whether or not they are paid judges.

THE SECRETARY: As a member of the Rules Committee, it does not appear to me that that is a proper subject for that committee's consideration. If it was a change in the rules in any way, shape or form, then there is no question that it should be committed to the Rules Committee, considered by it and published in the Gazette before it could be finally acted upon at this meeting, but here is a definition only; it is not a rule; it is simply a definition for the assistance of the Membership Committee in.

the prosecution of its duties, so that it may know exactly where it stands. It asks this Association to define who is an what is a paid judge. It does not seem to me, as a member of the Rules Committee, that that matter should come before it.

MR. MOORE: Then I will withdraw my motion.

THE CHAIR: You cannot withdraw your motion. It is before the House. It will have to be voted on.

The motion was then put and lost.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Muss-Arnolt's motion to adopt the resolution is now before the house and is open to discussion.

MR. DALE: A professional or paid judge is not eligible, as I understand it, or a Secretary, to represent a club.

THE SECRETARY: Further than that, a man to represent a club must think enough of the club to become an active member of it, or the club must think enough of a man to make him an honorary member.

MR. FOSTER: Suppose one of us goes up to Canada and judges, and we do not choose to charge up any expense at all, every man who does that under this resolution would have to send word to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club that he was not charging his

expenses or be considered a paid judge.

THE CHAIR: The judge will have to send in word that he has not accepted any money.

MR. SMITH: This idea of sending in word to the American Kennel Club within thirty days would only occur where a man had received his expenses. Many of us go all around the country and judge and do not charge any expenses, but the object of this is simply to make a fine distinction between the one and the other.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think the matter would be much simpler if there was included in the resolution a provision compelling the show's Secretary, when he sends in the catalogue, to send in the list marked "amateur judge" or "paid judge". If the show's Secretary is compelled to note whether or not a judge is paid, it will simplify the matter considerably.

MR. SMITH: That is the idea of that resolution, to determine what an amateur judge is. There are amateur judges that will go from here to Hoboken, for instance, and judge at a show and who will send in an itemized account of their expenses amounting to \$50.00 or \$75.00. He is an amateur judge, but how

his expenses can amount to as much as that is a puzzle to several of the show Secretaries. Mr. Muss-Arnolt's idea of having the Show Secretary send in a catalogue marking the judge paid or unpaid would still give the judge who was supposed to be an amateur, the same privilege.

MR. VITI: It seems to me that this wording answers the objection of Mr. Foster, "Provided the club at which he judged has or agrees to reimburse him." Where you pay your own expenses, and do not ask to be re-imbursed you do not have to file any statement.

MR. FOSTER: I happen to think of an instance. The people up in Toronto asked me to come up there and judge bull terriers, and they told me to let them know what my expenses would be and they would reimburse me. I told them I was not a professional judge, and was going to their show anyhow, and that I would not charge for any expenses. Those people would probably tell you they had agreed to pay my expenses, while I would say I had not charged them any expenses.

MR. MC GUIRE: The American Kennel Club will have to determine whether the charges are excess-

ive or not.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: That should be left to the show Secretary to determine; he is the man that ought to know.

MR. MOORE: I agree with the suggestion that the Secretary of the show should be compelled to send in a list of his paid and unpaid judges, but I fail to see any necessity for the rule at all.

MR. MC GUIRE: This matter has been threshed out in the Amateur Athletic Union. A man is either an amateur or professional, and this very resolution that you are discussing now has raised a lot of questions. You cannot draw the line as to what one man's expenses would be. I remember five years ago among base ball teams it was customary for the members of the teams to play ball at certain summer resorts and a great many of them received their railroad expenses, which amounted to \$400.00 or \$500.00; but they were still amateurs. This is the same question, nothing different.

MR. SMITH: For the very reason that the gentleman gives I think that it has become the duty of the American Kennel Club, or the delegates, or some committee, to determine what an amateur judge is, inasmuch as it has been a very much disabused privilege

by several people who pose as amateur judges going around to different shows and saying they would act as judges provided their expenses were paid, and when the show comes to settle the bill for their expenses, they are found to be greatly in excess of what they should be. I am saying this for the benefit of several of the delegates here who seem to be in favor of adopting this resolution.

THE SECRETARY: I can tell you of two instances, and both men are gentlemen amateur judges who would not under any consideration accept remuneration for judging. One of them went from New York to Philadelphia. We all know that the excursion rate is \$4.00, and a dollar for a drawing room seat there and back makes \$6.00. He stayed there four days, which he had a right to do, because he has a right to stay until the close of the show for the reason that he is a judge until the close of the show. He paid about the highest rate for hotel expenses, \$7.00 a day, which was \$28.00, plus \$6.00 for railroad transportation, making \$34.00 altogether. Every dollar that he received in excess of \$34.00 was paid for his services to that club. He put in a bill for expenses \$75.00, and he claims he is strictly an

amateur judge. In another case, in Pittsburgh, the receipted bill showed \$75.00 charged, and yet \$30.00 was more than a generous allowance, for the man only lives a little ways from Pittsburgh.

MR. DALE: I claim there are enough gentlemen in the dog world who will go around and judge without any remuneration at all. It is one or the other.

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE: I am in favor of passing the resolution if you will change it to read that the Secretary of the club or the superintendent will make the return. I move as an amendment that the return be made by the Secretary of the club holding the show or superintendent.

MR. MC GUIRE: I move an amendment to that amendment making it obligatory upon the Secretary of the show to file with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club a statement of all moneys paid to judges at shows. Any man who is paid in excess of his railroad transportation expenses will be considered by the American Kennel Club a professional judge.

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE: I should be willing to pay a reasonable hotel bill.

MR. MC GUIRE: A man who has no objection to accepting his hotel expenses should have no objection

to becoming a professional.

MR. SMITH: That question was brought up by one of the members of the committee when we framed this resolution who assumed the case of a man being sent from here to Denver to judge, and the man could not afford to pay his railroad expenses and unless he were allowed to receive that amount the compensation he could not judge at that show, and therefore his being an amateur would prevent him going.

MR. MC GUIRE: That applies to the amateur in every line of sport. If a man wants to remain an amateur he must necessarily be in a position to afford to remain such. If he is not and does not care to become a professional, let him refrain from judging. You have got to draw the line and you have not to draw it very close.

Mr. Mc Guire's proposed amendment was put to a vote and lost.

MR. MOORE: I move that the resolution be referred to the Rules Committee.

Motion seconded and lost.

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE'S proposed amendment was also put and lost.

MR. MC GUIRE: I move that the resolution be laid on the table.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Emery offered the following resolution:

Be it resolved, that a paid judge shall be defined as any one who shall have received or agrees to receive any monetary compensation for services as a judge at any dog show or field trial. Any judge receiving money for expenses shall be considered a paid judge. And be it further resolved, that the Secretary or Superintendent of all show giving clubs shall within ten days after the close of its show forward to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club a list of all judges who have or are to receive any monetary consideration for expenses or otherwise.

Resolution seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There is only one other matter that I have referred to in my report, and that is the question of quarters for the delegates. A great many of the delegates present to-day know the trouble that they had at the last two meetings to obtain a seat or even to get within the walls of this office, and I think it is a question that ought to be discussed to-day whether we should appoint a committee or whether the Secretary or any of the officers should undertake to see what arrangement can be made to in-

crease our floor room for meeting purposes. I am told by the superintendent of this building that he can accommodate us with more room. He has stated that he is so anxious to keep us in this building that he will make such an offer that we cannot afford to go and look elsewhere.

MR. MC GUIRE: I move that the Chair appoint a committee to take up the matter of obtaining larger quarters for the meetings of the club.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such committee Messrs. B.S. Smith, Dwight Moore and A.P. Vredenburgh.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh

REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1905

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, Presiding

PRESENT:

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB

AMERICAN DACHSHUND CLUB

AMERICAN POMERANIAN CLUB

AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB

BOSTON TERRIER CLUB

BULL TERRIER BREEDERS' ASSOCIATION

CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB

DUQUESNE KENNEL CLUB OF WESTERN

PENNSYLVANIA

FRENCH BULL DOG CLUB OF AMERICA

GREAT DANE CLUB OF AMERICA

INTER-STATE FAIR KENNEL CLUB

IRISH SETTER CLUB

IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA

JERSEY CITY KENNEL CLUB

Dwight Moore

W.P. Earle

J.H. Brookfield

H.H. Hunnewell

G. Muss-Arnolt

F.A. Stedman

H.K. Bloodgood

F.H. Osgood

John W. Britton, II

Abram D. Gillette

G.M. Carnochan

Richard H. Hunt

O.H. Albanesius

H.S. Spackman

Lawrence M.D. Mc Guire

Singleton Van Schaick

George M. Mc Carthy

LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS	Edward Brooks
MADISON ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION	E.L. Jones
MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB	C.F.R. Drake
NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB	Jas. W. Appleton
NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB	W.B. Emery
ROCKLAND COUNTY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION	Monson Morris
RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB	Dr. J.E. De Mund
SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB	R.P. Keasbey
SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA	Theo. Offerman
SEATTLE DOG FANCIERS' ASSOCIATION	James Watson
SPANIEL BREEDERS' SOCIETY	Marcel A. Viti
THE LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA	James Mortimer
TOY SPANIEL CLUB OF AMERICA	S.L. Goldenberg
WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA	B.S. Smith
WESTMINSTER KENNEL CLUB	Harry T. Peters
PORTLAND KENNEL CLUB	E.J. Van Schiack
WISCONSIN KENNEL CLUB	Charles W. Keyes
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB	John G. Bates
SPOKANE KENNEL CLUB	S.C. Mastick
BROCKTON DISTRICT KENNEL CLUB	Dr. George W. Ryan
Philadelphia Collie Club	S. Boyd Carigan

The following named clubs were duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club: Northshore Kennel Club, Wisconsin Kennel Club, Haverhill Kennel Club, The Old English Sheep Dog Club and the Southern Kennel Club. Southern Ohio Kennel Club, Colorado Springs Kennel Club.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

PORTLAND KENNEL CLUB	Edwin J. Van Schiack
WISCONSIN KENNEL CLUB	Charles W. Keyes
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB	John G. Bates
KEYSTONE BEAGLE CLUB	Thomas Cadwalader

BRYN MAWR KENNEL CLUB	Robert E. Strawbridge
VALLEY FAIR KENNEL CLUB	Robert C. Bacon
TAUNTON KENNEL CLUB	John H. Church
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB	Edward Hance, Jr.
SANTA CLARA COUNTY KENNEL CLUB	Henry S. Kipp
SPOKANE KENNEL CLUB (INCORPORATED)	S.C. Mastick
CENTRAL BEAGLE CLUB	Thomas S. Arbuthnot
BROCKTON DISTRICT KENNEL CLUB	Dr. George W. Ryan
PEOPLE'S POULTRY AND PET STOCK ASSOCIATION OF FORT WAYNE	Professor B.C. Von Kahlden

The credentials of Mr. Albert Ulrich to represent the Passaic County Kennel Club were voted upon, and after an inspection of the ballots, the Chair announced that Mr. Ulrich had failed of election.

The reading of the minutes of the last meeting was on motion, dispensed with, and they were adopted as published in the Gazette.

Mr. Vredenburgh read his quarterly report as follows:

Secretary's report. New York, Dec. 20, 1905

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this, my regular quarterly report:

I have received since our September meetings, seven applications from clubs for admission to membership, and

credentials from fourteen clubs appointing delegates to represent them in this Association, all of which have been duly approved by the Membership Committee and will be submitted to you at this meeting for action.

All matters received by me that belong to the Stud Book Committee have been duly referred to that Committee which report at this meeting. The Executive Board held a session yesterday, and completed its labors this morning, and its report will be duly presented to you.

I beg to report the receipt of the resignation of the Canonsburg Kennel Club, and as this club is in good standing, would respectfully recommend the acceptance of its resignation.

I beg to report the receipt from the Pacific Advisory Committee, minutes of their meetings held in San Francisco, October 4th, November 1st, (special), November 18th, and December 6th. It rests with this meeting whether these minutes shall be read or whether you will order them printed as part of the proceedings of this meeting, without taking up your time in reading same. I will present or you consideration a communication from the delegate of the Rhode Island K.C. in reference to a petition from the "Rhode Island Japan Plumed Club" asking that the so-called Japanese Plumed Spaniel be placed upon our list of recog-

nized breeds.

I beg to submit a bill of disbursements from the Pacific Advisory Committee covering a period from September 6th to November 30th, amounting to \$37.10, and would recommend that the same be ordered paid.

The Rules under which we are now acting directs me to impose a penalty of \$2.00 against every exhibitor for technical errors, in making entries in dog shows. This rule supersedes the old one where I was directed to cancel the winnings of the dog who has been wrongly entered at a show. I have been obliged to impose a great many \$2.00 fines, and have collected a very great percentage of the fines so imposed. I would now ask for instructions as to what would be the proper course to pursue in order to enforce the payment of these fines from such persons as do not respond to our bills rendered.

Under the present rule the novice class is open only to American Bred dogs; since this rule went into effect, my attention has been more strongly attracted to the fact that notwithstanding the provision of an old rule that exhibitors must state on their entry form the date of birth, breeder and names of sire and dam if known, that exhibitors fail in a great measure to give these particulars on the show entry form, and therefore have been

compelled to assume that the particulars were unknown to the exhibitor. The absence of these particulars do not furnish me with any data by which I can determine whether the dogs so entered in the novice class are eligible to that class or not, and I have therefore assumed that they were not eligible, and have cancelled the winnings of such dogs. If after such action the exhibitor can furnish me with such information as would prove that the dogs were American bred, I have gladly reinstated such dogs, and given them credit for the wins that I had cancelled. I caused the notice to be published in the Gazette of November 30th, cautioning exhibitors to give the required data, and suggested that as the novice class was open to American bred dogs only, the entries therein should contain the same details as an entry in the puppy class, namely, that the date of birth, name of breeder, and name of sire and dam, must be given. Since this notice has appeared, it has occurred to me that in case these particulars should not be known to the exhibitor, that a statement on the entry blank, same to be published in the catalogue of shows, that the dog in question was bred at such as place, within the limits of the United States, that I could safely accept such a statement as proof that the dog was American bred. I would therefore respectfully request for an ex-

pression of opinion from the delegates on this subject for future guidance.

While on this subject of American bred dogs, I would like a ruling whether the nationality of a breeder could determine the nationality of the dog; in other words, if an American should purchase a bitch in any foreign country, and after he became the possessor of same bitch, should direct that she should be bred to a dog in the same country, and the bitch shipped to this country, whelping here, whether the puppies are American bred. Heretofore such puppies would be considered American born, but foreign bred. I think this is a question that should have an official interpretation.

We have celebrated the "coming of age" of this Association and it gives me pleasure to congratulate the Association on its strong, healthy condition, with a membership on ONE HUNDRED AND TEN regularly organized clubs, with a record of FIFTY-NINE SHOWS within the year of 1905, with increasing interests taken in well bred dogs, throughout the United States, and with the solid Pacific Coast, under our care, surely it is an occasion to feel proud of our work, and to express our appreciation to the breeders and exhibitors, and to the Press, who have all combined and helped to attain such splendid results.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was read as follows:

New York, December 21, 1905

To the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly report:

Balance on hand January 1st, 1905 - - - - - \$16,714.95

Receipts from January 1st to date - - - - - 18,364.42

Total - - - - - \$35,079.37

Disbursements from January 1st to date --- - - - - 17,194.53

Balance on hand - - - - - \$17,884.84

I beg to report that all bills for dues to Associate Members and Active Members, and for advertising accounts, were mailed to the proper persons on November 29th, last, with very gratifying results.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Executive Board was read, and is as

follows:

HELD DECEMBER 20th, 1905

Meeting called to order at 11: AM with the following members present:
H.H. Hunnewell; H.K. Bloodgood; Marcel A. Viti; J.W. Appleton; G. M.
Carnochan; W.B. Emery.

Absent: August Belmont, William G. Rockefeller
H.H. Hunnewell in the chair

The following business was presented and acted upon:

J.E. Howland vs. Chas. B. Pineo: Re misconduct in connection with
dogs. A.C. Wilmerding appeared for the plaintiff, stating that his principal was in
the far West, and therefore was unable to be present and requested a
postponement of the case until the next meeting of the Board, which was duly
granted.

Adolph Stucky vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer: Re misconduct in connection with
dogs. F.S. Stedman appeared in behalf of plaintiff, and stated that the defendant
had finally made a settlement satisfactory to Stucky. It was thereupon
ORDERED, that as said settlement had not been made until after the charges
had been preferred and notice had been sent to Kramerer, to that effect, that said
Kammerer be and hereby is suspended.

J.W. Brauer vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer: Re misconduct in connection with dogs. Ordered, that Brauer be and hereby is directed to return to Kammerer the Boston Terrier bitch, received by him from Kammerer at once and that said Kannerer be and hereby is directed to return to Brauer the original purchase price within thirty days after the receipt of said bitch, in default of which Kammerer shall be disqualified.

J. O'Hara, Jr. vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer: Re misconduct in connection with dogs. Kammerer stated that this action should be had against the Anthony Kennels, as the transaction was had with said kennels. The case was therefore laid on the table until the next meeting, the Secretary was directed to call upon O'Hara, to furnish further particulars.

Arthur L. Scollay vs. Merrimack Valley K.C.: Re misconduct in connection with dog shows, Ordered, that the Merrimack Valley K.C. be directed to open the case within thirty days, giving Scollay, et al at least five days notice as provided for in the rules, the meeting to be held at such an hour as will give all parties in the case a chance to be present. In default of which the club will be suspended.

Arthur L. Scollay et al vs. Merrimack Valley K.C.:

Re appeal from the decision on protest. This appeal was laid on the table, pending the result of the charges by Scollay in the preceding action.

Mrs. E.O. Bacon vs. Brockton District K.C.: Re appeal from decision on protest. The protest was made against a Cocker Spaniel, alleged to be over weight, and whose owner declined to allow the dog to be weighed. The appeal is not sustained, for the reason that there was no limit of weight stated in the premium list, and that an American Spaniel Club judge did not officiate.

American K.C. vs. E.A. Holbrook: Re misconduct in connection with dogs. Holbrook registered a Boston Terrier, receiving a registry receipt, of the dog named a Duke B. He afterwards sold this dog to Mr. Champion, and delivered with it said registry receipt which had been changed after leaving this office so that the dog's name appeared as "Duke 2nd." Said Champion entered this dog at the late Boston Terrier Show, as "DUKE 2d":, and was compelled to pay a fine of \$2.00, for entering a dog as a registered dog under a different name, from its registered name. It is the sense of this Board that no intent to defraud appears. Holbrook not being able to account for the change in the receipt is hereby censured.

The appeal for immediate reinstatement is denied. Ordered, That the present disqualification of Mc Guinness shall expire July 1, 1906.

The Board adjourned to meet Thursday morning at eleven o'clock.

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file – TRANSFER

December 21, 1905

M.W. Robinson vs. Lynn Kennel Club: Re protest.

Protest cannot be considered as the A.K.C. has no original jurisdiction in the premises and the protest of Robinson to the Lynn Club having been withdrawn by him, no appeal can be taken.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and is as follows:

The Stud Book Committee begs leave to report as follows: Applications have been made for the granting of the following kennel names. They have all been published in the Gazette, and as none of them conflict with any already registered, we recommend that they be granted:

KENNEL NAMES GRANTED:

AGAWAM	Dr. G.W. Ryan, J.D. Snyder, Frank Dondero	
Alstead	M. Bullock & C.M. Lunt	
Ascot	J.W. Brooks	Thorncroft, J.R. Thorndale
Bald Spring	Mr. & Mrs. L.E. Stoddard	Toyland, J.L. Bader
Bala	Dr. F.C. Benson Jr.	Whitemarsh, Thomas Cadwalade
Bernese	Aquilla Webb	Windom, E. Russel Jones
Birchfield	Russell Grinnell	
Bonniehurst	S.D. Ross	
Bonnie-Side	Melvin H. Cooley	
Bramello	Dr. N.F. Feury	Permission has been asked
Cornwall	James H. Rashleigh	to transfer the name
Electric City	K.C. Spencer	Brynwood from Mr. & Mrs.
Gaelic	John Cadoo	C.H. Armstrong, to M.H.
Glencairn	John J. Donohue	Armstrong and it is
Glenartney	A.D. L'Esperance	recommended that the transfer
Hampshire	W.K. Peaseley	be allowed
Harvale	L.W. Smith	
Hill Hame	R.S. Edson	
Hommock	Clarence Sackett	
Inchkeith	Alec Balfour	
Minto	S.W. Renfro	
Irwinton	James A. Duckworth	
Pilgrim	Fisher Ames, Jr.	
Rananna	Robert Newlyn	
Ridgefield	James Kavannagh	
Ridgetop	R.B. Baker	
Saint Hubert	Clement Guion II	
Silk City	J.M. Schlanker	
Summerdale	C.H. Greenfield	

Mr. John W. Minturn has applied for the name "Rockcliffe" and desires to cancel the names "Bismark" which he now has. Your Committee believes that he should be allowed to cancel his present name and that afterwards Rockcliffe be granted to him.

In the matter of the application for the name Shoe Town, your Committee is of the opinion that this should not be allowed as it would conflict with the name Show City which is already registered, and make confusion.

In the matter of the kennel name "Otley", your Committee reports that application for the same was made by H.J. Dowds, and that after the same was published in the Gazette, W.J. Burgess filed a protest stating that he had used the name "Otley" for his own dogs, but as he never registered it and as Mr. Dowds' application was filed first, your Committee thinks the name should be granted to Mr. Dowds.

Your Committee recommends that Roseneath terriers and Papillions be added to the breeds already recognized.

In the matter of the rough-coated St. Bernard, Nadar. This case is one where in Messrs. Cugley & Mullen, of Philadelphia, sold a St. Bernard puppy to Mr. E. Graizely, of Delanco, N.J. In his complaint, Mr. Graizely states that he was given the pedigree as sire, Friar Tuck, out of Princess Bonnie, at the time he bought the dog, and that

Julius Pormack was given as the breeder, that he subsequently found out that said Pormack has not owned either the sire or the dam, and also learned that Fred. P. Olin, of Reading, Mass. was the owner of the sire.

The date of birth of the puppy was stated as April 28, 1903, but Mr. Olin informed Mr. Graizely that Friar Tuck was bred in August 1902 to Princess Bonnie, owned by George F. Lord, of Norwich, Conn., and that this was the last bitch to which Friar Tuck had been mated.

Mr. Graizely further informed the Committee that he found out from Mr. G.V. Glebe that three of the puppies born in November, 1902 as a result of the mating above referred to, had been sold to Messrs. Cugley & Mullen, and that Cugley & Mullen had informed him that those puppies had all died.

In answer to the foregoing allegations, Messrs. Cugley & Mullen replied: "Sometime in the early part of 1903, we bought from Julius Pormack, of Philadelphia, a litter of St. Bernard pups, which were sold to us with a certain pedigree. One of these pups we sold to a certain E. Graizely, of Delanco, N.J.

Several months later Mr. Graizely called on us and informed us that one of the parents of his pup had been dead some time before his pup was whelped.

We assured Mr. Graizely that this fact was unknown to us and that we would investigate and in the event of his pedigree not being correct, we would take his pup off his hands and refund purchase price, also any cost that he may have incurred since purchasing same.

We notified the American Kennel Club to hold up the registration and started an investigation.

Upon interviewing Mr. Pormack we found that he owned a Friar Tuck-Princess Bonnie bitch, which he had served with a dog without any breeding. When selling the pups to us he in his ignorance simply gave us the pedigree which he got with his ignorance simply gave us the pedigree which he got with his bitch supposing he was doing the proper thing. Upon receipt of this information we informed Mr. Graizely of the facts in the case and gave him instructions to return the dog to us, which he did and we in turn reimbursed him as per our agreement.”

Your Committee communicated with all the parties referred to and from a careful consideration of the evidence recommend that the registration of the said dog, and any winnings be cancelled, and that the Secretary be directed to prefer charges of improper conduct against Cugley & Mullen.

The attention of your Committee has been directed to the fact that at the Show of the Miami Valley Kennel Club,

held Sept. 25, 1905, an entry was made in classes 18 and 19 by John L. Wood. The breed of the dog was a pointer, and his name was Shywood. On the entry blank, in the space for the S.B. number, there was written A.K.C.S.B. 89,072. There is no pointer named Shywood registered in the Stud Books, and Stud Book #89,072 is the registry number of a bull terrier. Mr. Wood was communicated with on October 27, 1905, and so far no reply has been received. Your Committee would therefore recommend that the Secretary be directed to prefer charges of dishonorable conduct in connection with dog shows.

In the matter of the bull dog, Penrythe Nell.

William H. Mulford entered the bitch Penrythe Nell #90,455 at the show of the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club, held in September last. The sire was given as Choctaw and the dam as Shamrock Nell. Edwin R. Dockstader, the owner of Choctaw questioned this breeding, stating that Shamrock Bell had never been bred to his dog. Mr. Mulford explained that he had purchased Shamrock Bell from J. Hope, of Philadelphia, a dealer in dogs and that at the time of purchase Hope told him that the bitch was in whelp to Choctaw. The bitch subsequently whelped and Penrythe Nell was the sole puppy. Mr. Mulford for the reasons stated, gave the pedigree of Choctaw, both in registering and

showing her.

In answer to your Committee's communications, Mr. Hope replied denying that he said the bitch had been bred to Choctaw, and stated that he said that she had been bred to a dog in his store which he thought was by Choctaw. Mr. Mulford denied that Mr. Hope made any such statement.

Your Committee recommend that the registration and all prize winnings be cancelled and that the Executive Board be requested to investigate the transaction.

In the matter of the Boston terrier, Hartman's Peg, 75539:

This bitch was registered by J.W. Hartman and was said to be sired by Tip from The Belle. Mr. A.J. Owens protested the registration alleging that the pedigree was fraudulent, that the dam of the bitch registered, was of unknown pedigree and was bred to Little Ned owned by him, who was sired by Tip from The Belle, and that it was Little Ned's pedigree that was used fraudulently. Your Committee communicated with the parties in interest, but after receiving one letter from Mr. Hartman, he removed and your Committee has since been unable to locate him, and the case has been held in abeyance while the Committee has been attempting to communicate with him. There seems to be no question as to the impropriety of the pedigree, and your Committee feels

that the guilty parties in this transaction should be severely dealt with, and recommend that the case be referred to the Executive Board so that charges of fraudulent conduct may be made against Messrs. Hartman and Tuttle.

It is also recommended that the registration and prize winnings of Hartman's Peg, 75,539 be cancelled.

In the matter of the Collies Fisher Boy 73,525 and First Choice 74,439:

Mr. Joseph A. Cane protested these registrations upon the ground that Queen Mad the stated dam was not sired by Moreton Loyal as given on the application blank. There seems to be no doubt that the pedigree is a fraudulent one. Both of the dogs in question were sold by a William Radford, Falls of Schuylkill, Philadelphia. One of them was sold to Mr. Edwin Harrison and the other to Frank P. Smith. Your Committee recommends that the pedigree be cancelled and that the Executive Board prefer charges of fraudulent conduct against the said William Radford.

In the matter of the bull dogs Rodney Smacher and Bryn Mawr Rosador. Rodney Smasher was registered as whelped May 19, 1902, Breeder, C.G. Hopton, and Bryn Mawr Rosador as whelped July 19, 1902, Breeder N.J. Hess. The sire and dam are both the same and they were from the same litter.

your Committee communicated with both Messrs. Hopton and Hess and your Committee is of the opinion that the date of birth should be July 19, 1902. The dam was in the custody of Mr. Hess at the time she was whelped, but she was still owned by Mr. Hopton, and consequently he should be the breeder. There was no fraud alleged, and your Committee recommends that the particulars be corrected as above.

In the matter of the Irish setter General Stark 55,947 and Spartan 56,688: General Stark was said to be by Alstead 22,627 from Stella, 19932, breeder A. Johnson, whelped August 18, 1896. He was registered by Mr. John Sanbry, and the seller was named as a Mr. Jabboe. Spartan was registered by James N. Cande, with the same pedigree, date of birth and seller.

The protest was filed by Mr. C.P. Hubbard, of Cass County, Iowa, who stated in his affidavit that in February, 1892, he purchased the bitch Stella IV, 19,932, and that while in his possession she was bred to Alstead upon two occasions, whelping November 1, 1892, and May 15, 1893, and that said bitch died in the possession of the deponent at Broken Bow, Neb., early in the Fall of year 1893.

Your Committee is of the opinion that the said registrations should be cancelled and that the Executive Board

be requested to prefer charges against the parties furnishing the pedigrees. Your Committee has for some time been endeavoring to communicate with the persons stated to be the breeder and seller but without success. Letters addressed, following the directions on the registration blanks having been returned.

In the matter of the registrations of Ingleside Chief Powhatten and Montebello Eva, both sired by Sandor von Inn from Lola. The date of birth of the first is given as August 30, 1900, while that of Eva is December 15, 1900.

Your Committee communicated with the owner of the Kennels who explained that all the records were in the charge of a former Superintendent with whom there had been a most decided disagreement, prior to his dismissal, that the records he maintained were inadequate, and incomplete and they had little to go upon.

That Ingleside Chief Powhatten was sold by the said former Superintendent during his term and that there was no record of his birth and that Eva was registered by data found after the discharge of the former Superintendent.

No evidence of fraud or fraudulent intent has been shown to the Committee, but your Committee is of the opinion, that the pedigree should be cancelled.

In the matter of the prefix Marlborough:

Mr. Alfred G. Clark who is the registered owner of said name complains that it has also been used by Mr. Charles R. Joseph, of Chicago. Mr. Clark contends that as the prefix is registered in his name he is entitled to have it protected and any one prevented from using it. Mr. Joseph stated that he was not aware that the name was registered when he adopted it, and that, having found out it was owned by someone else, he was quite willing to abandon it and your Committee thinks that if he desists from all its further use, that no action should be taken.

This is the first case of the kind which has come before the Kennel Club, and your Committee thinks it would be well to establish the practice that where a kennel name has been registered by any one, its use by any one else would be deemed conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the Kennel matters.

Of course it is well established that no one can use a registered name for the purpose of registration or exhibiting in that name, but it does not seem that this protection is ample, and they recommend prefix for kennel purposes should be proceeded against.

In the matter of the Boston terrier Gold Money 71,284,

and Gipsy B. 71,285:

These dogs are said to be by Sullivan's Punch out of Princess, and whelped September 22, 1892. One was registered by Mr. George Buckingham and the other by Mrs. J. Montgomery Douglas. In the application form, the breeder and the seller of both dogs are respectively James Irving and Fred. E. Rice. Messrs. Schenck and Sullivan, the owners of Sullivan's Punch protest that no bitch was bred to their dog during the month of July 1902. Mrs. Douglas stated that Gold Money had been sold to her by Fred T. Thomas, New York City. Your Committee held a number of meetings in this case for the purpose of hearing testimony and also communicated with all the witnesses. Mr. Fred. Rice testified that he bought the litter from James Irving, of Cambridge, Mass., and that he would procure from him further evidence of the authenticity of the pedigree and asked that the matter be held in abeyance to give him a further opportunity to do so. To this your Committee acceded, but the evidence has not been produced as yet. Mr. Rice explained that he personally delivered the dog to Mrs. Douglas, that Fred. Thomas works for him and that the dogs are advertised for sale in Mr. Thomas' name.

Your Committee recommends that both the registrations be cancelled as the pedigrees have not been substantiated,

and that the Executive Board investigate the matter to ascertain if any fraud has been committed.

In the matter of the bull terrier Sylvia, 76,552. This bitch was registered with a full pedigree of three generations, which it is alleged is fraudulent. Your Committee communicated with a number of person who were stated to have knowledge of the fact that the sire, Irish Mike, was dog with no pedigree, but what is popularly known as a "fighting dog". Your Committee is of the opinion that the bitch in question is unpedigreed, and therefore should be cancelled and that the Executive Board be requested to prefer charges of fraud against J.W. Sullivan, who registered her.

In the matter of the bloodhound Bell Gibson, #68,732:

This dog was registered by Mr. J.B. Harald, Brookston, Indiana. The complainant, Mr. Roger D. Willaims, objects to the pedigree stating that it discloses that the animal is not a bloodhound; that the dam of the sire, Fanny and both her parents are foxhound also. This case has been held under advisement, as your Committee has been unable to receive any answer from Mr. Harald, and before concluding the case desired that he should be heard from, but as they have now waited some time, they recommend that the pedigree

should be cancelled, and that the matter be sent to the Executive Board so that charges may be preferred against Mr. Harald.

In the matter of the bloodhound Fanny K:

This dog was registered by Mat. Keirl and said to be by Warrior, 52,824 from Songstress, 42,450. Mr. J.L. Winchell, the owner of the alleged sire and dam has protested the registration as being fraudulent, he never having mated them near the time necessary for the dam to have whelped in March, and further than that that he never sold a dog to Mr. Keirl who stated in his application for registrations that he purchased it from Mr. Winchell. This case like the former one has been in the hands of your Committee for some time. Your Committee felt that as the charge was such a serious one, they were particularly anxious to communicate with Mr. Keirl before reporting on the matter, and although we have written him frequently, we have not received any reply from him frequently, we have not received any reply from him and now recommend that the registration be cancelled, and that the matter be referred to the Executive Board, so that charges of fraud may be preferred in the case.

The Committee would recommend that in cases of protest the complainants be required to furnish all original letters and papers bearing on the case at the time of

filing the complaint.

In many cases considerable correspondence reaching over many months is necessary to exhaust all means of obtaining the facts and for this reason cases frequently remain in the hands of the Committee which could be disposed of quite promptly if the parties would file all their evidence at the time of making complaint.

In the matter of the registrations of Field and Cocker Spaniels your committee reports that no argument has been offered in support of the division and they feel that until need for a change in a custom which has been in force so long has been shown, no action should be taken. And invite expressions of opinion from the breeders and owners of these breeds.

Signed, Marcel A. Viti, Chairman

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the report be accepted and the recommendations there in contained be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

GENERAL BUSINESS

THE SECRETARY: I beg to report the resignation of the Canonsburg Kennel Club.

On motion the resignation was accepted.

THE SECRETARY: I have the minutes of four meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee, containing the usual routine of business.

Following are the minutes:

REGULAR MEETING PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C., Held at Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, Cal., Oct. 4, 1905

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman; H.H. Carlton, Dr. W.P. Burnham; W.W. Stettheimer and J.P. Norman.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

G.C. Israel: Application for re-instatement.

In a communication dated at Olympia, September 15th, the applicant undertakes and agrees that he will not again exhibit at any dog show in the United States that is not held under the rules and with the sanction of the American Kennel Club. It was moved and seconded that in consideration of the foregoing agreement, the said G.C. Israel be, and is hereby restored to good standing in the American Kennel Club. CARRIED.

J.S. Kloeber: An application for reinstatement.

In a communication dated at Green River, Hot Springs,

Wash., September 12th, Dr. J.S. Kloeber applies for reinstatement to good standing, having been disqualified for participation in a Bench Show held at Seattle by the Seattle Kennel Club contrary to the rules of the American Kennel Club. In consideration of the fact that the said applicant is endorsed by the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association, it was

MOVED AND SECONDED that the said Dr. J.S. Kloeber be reinstated to good standing in the American Kennel Club on filing with the Secretary of this Committee an agreement to refrain from exhibiting at any dog show in the United States that is not held under the rules and with the sanction of the American Kennel Club. CARRIED.

Chute vs. Morris: A complaint of false charges and misconduct.

The defendant, by his attorney, W.A. Alderson, under date of September 30th at Los Angeles, files a request for further extension of 30 days in which to present his testimony on the ground that he is awaiting a statement and affidavit from Judge Ashton in England. There being no objection from the appellant who was present, it was

RESOLVED, that the extension of 30 days be granted to the defendant.

Burnham vs. Lepman: A charge of fraud and misconduct

The defendant having filed no answer except a telegram dated at Chicago, September 25th, 1905, reading as follows:

“J.P. Norman, Berkeley. Answer only mailed to-day through clerical error. Please grant continuance.

(Signed) Horace G. Lepman.”

It was moved that discussion of the case be postponed until after the other matters before the meeting had been disposed of. Seconded and CARRIED.

Tucker vs. Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association: A protest.

The case having been continued from the last meeting for the purpose of enabling the Secretary, under resolution, to investigate the breeding of the protested dogs, which were given by the A.K.C.S.B. as being bred in Canada, the Secretary reported that he had received from the defendant the check for five dollars filed by the appellant in support of his protest. It appeared from the evidence presented by the plaintiff, which was confirmed by the defendant, and by the official catalogue issued by the defendant, that the Trophy for best American bred Airedale Terrier offered by Mrs. J.P. Norman, was awarded to W.F. Delabarre's dog, Colne Sandy, 78510, Catalogue No. 196, breeder, Joe A. Laurin, by Colne Luckey Baldwin ex Colne Walton Flynway, with reserve to Dr. George Newland's

Colne Lechiel, 81106, Catalogue No. 192. Breeder, Jos. H. Laurin, by Champion Colne Luckey Baldwin out of Colne Wilhelmina. On this evidence it was

MOVED AND SECONDED that the said Trophy having been offered for an American Bred Terrier, and having been awarded to a dog bred in the Dominion of Canada as shown in the Stud Book of the American Kennel Club, it be therefore

RESOLVED that the said award be cancelled for the reason of its having been made to a foreign bred dog, and be it further

RESOLVED THAT the protest of the appellant be and is hereby sustained. It is ordered that the said W.F. Delabarre be instructed to return the said Trophy to the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association within thirty days from date, the said Association to retain the Trophy until their next dog show, when it is to be offered against under the same conditions, it being impossible to ascertain the dog next in order to the reserve dog. It is further ordered that the deposits of the appellant made with the defendant Association be returned to them. CARRIED.

Butler vs. Buttles: A complaint of fraudulent misrepresentation. Fred. P. Butler, the complainant, presents an affidavit with corroborated testimony to show that C.W.

Buttles of Kansas City sold him an English setter dog advertised as follows:

“DASHING DAN. (Dan Thiers-Dashing Duchess). A beautiful young dog of combined bench and field quality, and will win; ideally marked white, black, tan and ticked. He is of the Druid type, narrow skull, low hung ears, very long, square muzzle, dark eye and short straight tail, good size, very stylish, nine months, price \$50.00, worth \$100,000.”

The evidence presented by the plaintiff contained statements by Edward J. Creeley, D.V.S., certifying to a broken tail. By John Bradshaw, recognized judge, asserting that the dog is a very poor specimen of the breed, and by Philip Mr. Wand, a recognized judge of the breed, declaring that the dog differed from the advertised description in almost every essential point, and that he was very poor specimen of the breed. Under date of September 20th, the defendant denies the plaintiff's allegation, and asseverates that the description of the dog was accurate. He denied that any break existed in the tail, but asserted that the rectangular formation at the end of the tail was not due to a break, but was inherited from his dam Dashing Duchess, who has been a bench winner al over the Eastern States. He denied that the

dog was light of eye as charged, and further accused the plaintiff of misrepresenting facts to this Committee, accompanying the statement of the plaintiff were copies of photographs of the dog, taken at the request of the plaintiff, and duplicates of these copies accompanied the statement of the defendant. The defendant acknowledged that the dog was "out at elbows" as shown in the photograph, but this fact was not made the basis of the plaintiff's charge or a part thereof.

It was elicited from the above mentioned witnesses presented by the plaintiff on inquiry by members of the Committee, that not only was the dog in question a poor specimen, but that he was not worth more than a very small portion of the price paid for him. Mr. Bradshaw and Mr. Wand both stated that a dog of the English setter breed conforming the defendant's advertisement, could be bought for the price paid to the defendant for an inferior specimen. It was

MOVED AND SECONDED that whereas Mr. C.W. Buttles of Kansas City, Mo., advertised an English setter dog Dashing Dan by Dan Thiers out of Dashing Duchess in the terms above stated and

WHEREAS, the said dog on the testimony of expert

judges is proved to be without bench or field qualities, thick in skull, long crooked tail, light eye, not stylish, and on account of his faulty conformation, slow and lumbering in gait, therefore be it

RESOLVED that the said dog does not conform to the advertisement, and that Mr. C.W. Buttles has been guilty of misrepresentation, and be it further

RESOVLVED that the said C.W. Buttles be and hereby is suspended until he refunds to the plaintiff \$50.00 paid as purchase money, and the further sum of \$11.50 expended for express charges to San Francisco by the plaintiff, and the defendant is further ordered to deposit with the plaintiff the further sum of \$11.50 to be applied on the prepayment the express charges for the return of the dog to Kansas City, Mo., these payments to be made within the space of thirty days from date of this resolution. CARRIED.

Stockton Kennel Club vs. Harris: A complaint of false charges and misconduct.

This is a complaint made by the Stockton Kennel Club in a duly attested statement of the President thereof, Frederick N. Vail, dated OCotber 3rd, 1905, and an unversified statement dated September 29th, 1905, which latter was accompanied by two copies of the Stockton Mail, a daily newspaper issued in the City of Stockton of the

issue of September 29th, 1905. The issue contained an interview alleged to have been had with Edward F. Harris, in which the latter is represented to have stated that "Crooked work was being performed in the Stockton Kennel Club", inferring that the judges and officials of the bench show then being held by the Stockton Kennel Club were guilty of such crooked work. Denial of the charge was entered by the defendant in person, together with statement of other persons corroborating the denial. Counter-affidavits were presented by the Stockton Kennel Club including the reporter who conducted the alleged interview with the defendant, confirming the accuracy of the report.

It was resolved that Mr. Harris be invited to state his ground for making the alleged charges against the Stockton Kennel Club.

Mr. Harris then made a statement to the effect that he understood that the cup offered by the Stockton Laundry was offered for the best pointer, regardless of sex, and was put up by the Kennel Club for the best pointer dog; that he understood that the pointer bitch Stockton Belle had been placed over the dog Scotch Joe in a special competition but that he now found he had been misinformed as to that circumstance; that he had just risen from a sick bed,

and was naturally nervous and excitable, and that he intended no reflection whatsoever on the honor or integrity of any of the officials or judges of the Stockton Kennel Club. Furthermore the defendant asserted that he had not been rightly quoted in the alleged interview published in the Mail of the 29th, and he denied positively having charged the members, officials or judged of the Stockton Kennel Club with any crooked word or dishonest acts. In view of the defendant's statement, as above outlined, and of the expressed willingness of the President of the Stockton Kennel Club, Mr. F.N. Vail to accept the same as a sufficient disclaimer of the interview published, it was

MOVED AND SECONDED that the Committee go into Executive Session. After some discussion of the evidence presented, it was MOVED AND SECONDED that WHEREAS it has been proved to the satisfaction of this committee that defendant has been misquoted in the Stockton Daily Mail of September 29th, and whereas the defendant expressly and explicitly disclaims any intention whatsoever to reflect on the honor or integrity of the judges, officials, or members of the Stockton Kennel Club, and WHERAS defendant states he was misinformed as to the fact of the pointer bitch Stockton Belle having been placed in competition over the pointer dog Scotch Joe, therefore be it

RESOLVED that this Committee do accept the denial and disclaimer of the defendant as to the accuracy of the interview printed in the Stockton Daily Mail of September 29th, 1905, and find that the charges alleged to have been made against the judges, officials and members of the Stockton Kennel Club were baseless in fact and foundation, and were not made by the defendant, and the case is therefore ordered dismissed. CARRIED.

Burnham vs. Lepman: On motion this case was taken from the table. It was MOVED AND SECONDED that

WHEREAS the defendant has filed no answer to the complaint and his telegram of September 25th had not been followed by the arrival of the defense, therefore be it

RESOLVED that the consideration of this case be deferred to a special meeting to be held on October 18th next, and that the Secretary be instructed to advise the defendant that unless his evidence be presented on or before the date named, the case would be decided by default. CARRIED.

Mr. A. Wolfen then requested permission to address the Committee. He sated that he had sent a dog to the Stockton Bench Show, and the dog had not been judged for specials for the reason that it had arrived after the time set by the Kennel Club as the limit for receiving dogs. The dog had, however, been judged in the regular class.

Mr. Wolfen was advised by the Chairman that the matter would be laid before the Committee only in the shape of a protest from the decision of the Bench Show Committee of the Stockton Kennel Club.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned on motion to 18th.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, NOV. 1, 1905.

Present: de Ruyter, Chairman; Burnham; Stettheimer; Norman.

Absent: Carlton

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

Chute vs. Morris: The defendant having been granted an extension of thirty days, wherein to file his reply, and there being a remainder of three days of the extension, it was resolved to continue the case until the next regular meeting.

Burnham vs. Lepman: There being no reply from the defendant, the case was taken up. It appeared from the affidavits presented that Dr. W.P. Burnham had answered an advertisement of the defendant in Field and Fancy, and had agreed to buy the Collie bitch, Brookmere Kelpie, for the sum of \$135.00. He had forwarded \$50.00 on March 17 as an earnest of his intentions, and on April 5th the balance of \$85.00. Defendant had not acknowledged the receipt of the money, although advices from the postal authorities telegraphed the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee to grant continuance, as papers had been mailed that day. No papers had been re-

ceived from defendant. The appellant not participating in the proceedings, it was Moved and seconded, that whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this Committee that Dr. W.P. Burnham of San Francisco paid one hundred and thirty-five dollars to Horace G. Lepman of Chicago in two payments, namely, on March 17th, 1905, fifty dollars and eighty-five dollars on April 8th, 1905, in payment for the Scotch collie bitch, Brookmere Kelpie, advertised by Mr. Lepman in the Dog Fancier and in Field and Fancy, the said bitch to be shipped to the appellant in time to be shown at the San Francisco Dog Show, held on May 10, 11, 12, and 13, 1905 and

WHERAS it has been proved that the defendant received the said sums of money and did not acknowledge receipt and did not ship the dog as agreed, and

WHEREAS the defendant has failed to make answer to the charge of fraud and misconduct preferred by the appellant, and

WHEREAS the only reply made by the defendant to the communications from this committee has been a telegram dated at Chicago, September 25, 1905, reading as follows: "Answer only mailed to-day through clerical error, please grant continuance. (Signed) Horace G. Lepman."

AND WHERAS said telegram has not been followed by

any further communication from the defendant, therefore be it RESOLVED that Horace G. Lepman is proven guilty of fraud and misconduct as charged, and be it further

RESOLVED that the said Horace G. Lepman be and hereby is suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club, and be it further RESOLVED, that unless the said Horace G. Lepman has refunded to the appellant, Dr. W.P. Burnham, the sum of \$135.00 now fraudulently withheld by the defendant before the expiration of the term of suspension, the defendant, at the expiration of the term of suspension, the defendant, at the expiration of the sixty days, shall be and hereby is permanently disqualified. CARRIED.

Communications relating to the general good of kennel interests on the Pacific Coast were read and discussed.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest

J.P. Norman

Secretary

SPECIAL MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
SAN FRANCISCO, NOVEMBER 18, 1905

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Burnham, Stettheimer, Norman

Absent: Carlton

The chairman stated that the meeting was called to consider communications from the Southwestern Kennel Club, the San Francisco Kennel Club, the San Mateo Kennel Club and the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association relative to the disqualification imposed on certain individuals connected with a bench show held in Seattle in April last by the Seattle Kennel Club, under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, and contrary thereto.

The following communications were read by the secretary:

"San Francisco, Nov. 4, 1905, Mr. J.P. Noman, Secretary Pacific Advisory Committee, Berkeley, Cal....

Dear Sir, - At a meeting of the San Mateo Kennel Club held to-day, I was directed to request you to kindly submit to your committee the following resolution passed by the Executive Body of the San Mateo Kennel Club:

Whereas at the Seattle Kennel Club Show held in April last in contravention of the A.K.C. rules, all parties connected with same suffered disqualification, and whereas it is the

conviction of this body that the majority of participants at said show exhibited in the interest of the Fancy, without knowledge of the penalty to follow, and whereas the punishment imposed has sufficiently fulfilled its purpose as a severe lesson to the disqualified fanciers, Be it resolved that the secretary of this club be directed to communicate with the secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee and respectfully petition above Committee to favorably reconsider the disqualification imposed. Very respectfully Yours, D.J. Sinclair, Secretary San Mateo Kennel Club, Philip C. Meyer, President attest.”

Seattle, Wash., Noember 9, 1905. J.P. Norman Esq. Sec. Pacific Advisory Committee, Berkeley, Cal. – Dear Sir.- By direction of the Executive Committee of the Seattle Dog Fanciers Association, I am directed to advise you, that after giving the matter of the reinstatement of the disqualified exhibitors at the recent bench show of the Seattle Kennel Club due consideration, it is the desire of this association that such disqualification be removed. The best interests of dogdum in this locality suggested that a move in this direction is the proper one, and the Seattle Dog Fanciers Association is only too pleased to concur in any such movement. By order of the Executive Committee. Chas. McAllister, Secretary.”

“San Francisco, Nov. 10th, 1905.

J.P. Norman Esq. Sec. Pacific Advisory Committee, Berkeley, Cal. Dear Sir – Please note that at a meeting of the San Francisco Kennel Club held on the 6th inst., a resolution was passed instructing the secretary to inform you that it was the sense of the Board of Directors, that it would promote peace and harmony in Pacific Coast Dogdom and tend to increase the influence and popularity of the American Kennel Club on the Coast, if the Advisory Committee, in any manner, which in their judgment seems proper and fit, would remove the sentence of disqualification, which now hangs over the officers and exhibitors at the last show of the Seattle Kennel Club. The Board of Directors earnestly urge that your Committee take this action at as early a date as possible, in order that the disqualified owners and exhibitors may have an opportunity to show their dogs at the various shows to be held in the near future. Very truly yours, Fred. P. Butler, Secretary Treasurer, San Francisco Kennel Club.”

It was moved and seconded, that

Whereas this Committee has already granted petitions for reinstatement to good standing in the A.K.C. of individuals, who were disqualified for participating at the Seattle Kennel Club show held during April 1905 in contravention

of the rules of the American Kennel Club; the said petitions having been accompanied by a signed undertaking not to participate or exhibit at any future show in the United States held under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, and Whereas communications have been received from the Southwestern Kennel Club, asking for reinstatement of the individuals disqualified by reason of having participated in the Seattle Kennel Club show in April 1905, and

Whereas similar communications have been received from the San Mateo and San Francisco Kennel Clubs, and

Whereas the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association has in the interest of peace and harmony made the broad recommendation of reinstatement of all disqualified individuals, who are so on account of connection with said show, Therefore be it

Resolved, that all individuals disqualified for connection with the Seattle Kennel Club show held in April 1905 be and hereby are given the opportunity to secure reinstatement by applying to this Committee within sixty (60) days from date of this resolution; the applications to contain a declaration to the effect, that the applicant undertakes that he will not participate or exhibit at any future show held in the United States under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club,

And be it further.

Resolved that the secretary of this Committee be and hereby is instructed to send a copy of this resolution to the secretary of every kennel club under the jurisdiction of this Committee, and to each and every individual disqualified for participation at the said Seattle Kennel Club show.

CARRIED.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

J.P. NORMAN

Secretary

REGULAR MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
AT OCCIDENTAL HOTEL, SAN FRANCISCO, Dec. 6, 1905

PRESENT: J.E. De Ruyter, Chairman; H.H. Carlton, Dr. W.P. Burnham, W.W.
Stettheimer, J.P. Norman

The minutes of the last regular meeting, held Nov. 1, were read and approved.

The minutes of the last special meeting, held Nov. 18, were read and approved.

The secretary submitted an invitation from the American Kennel Club to be present at the celebration of the twenty-first anniversary of the foundation of the American Kennel Club. It was MOVED and seconded that the Committee regrets its inability to attend the function, but extends its hearty and sincere congratulations and good wishes to the parent body on the auspicious occasion, and requests Mr. Charles K. Harley to attend the celebration as the official representative of this Committee and to repeat in person to the Executive Officers of the American Kennel Club the good wishes already enunciated in this resolution. And it is also MOVED and seconded that the secretary be and hereby is instructed to convey these resolutions to the American Kennel Club and to the representative of this Committee, Mr. Charles K. Harley.
CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The secretary submitted a communication from the Spokane Kennel Club, dated Nov. 20th, asking for the reinstatement of the individuals disqualified for participation of the Seattle Kennel Club held in April 1905. It was resolved that the letter be placed on file, and that it was the sense of this Committee that such letter should be accepted as a further endorsement of the action taken by this Committee on the 18th of November last.

Applications for reinstatement, accompanied by an undertaking not to exhibit again under other than A.K.C. rules were received from the following individuals, disqualified for participation in the show held by the Seattle Kennel Club in April 1905; Thomas Plimley, Victoria; Wm. B. Bailey, Seattle; W.F. Hall, Victoria; W.H. Drummond, Seattle; Frank Higgins, Victoria; H.G. Wilson, Victoria; Dr. J.S. Klolber, Green River, Hot Spgs.W. It was moved and seconded, that the foregoing six applications be and hereby are reinstated to good standing in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

TUCKER V. SEATTLE D.F.A.

Under date of Nov. 25, 1905, the secretary of the Seattle Dog Fanciers Association advises that Delabarree had returned tot eh Association a cup, but not the one, of which

the award had been protested by Dr. E.F. Tucker; on motion, it was RESOLVED, that the matter be postponed to the next meeting, in order to give Mr. Delabarre an opportunity to show that he was acting in good faith.

On motions, it was RESOLVED that the meeting be constituted a public one for the hearing of protests and appeals.

CHUTE V. MORRIS

This was an appeal filed by W.E. Chute with the Committee, asking for the punishment of the following letter in a local sporting paper, issued at San Francisco on July 1, 1905; Los Angeles, June 22, 1905. Editor -- Dear Sir: In your issue of May 20th, the special awards at the San Francisco dog show gave Mason's King as the winner of the cup for "Best American-bred Pointer owned on the Pacific Coast." This cup was awarded to my bitch, Faith, and the cup is now in my possession. I would not trouble you about this correction, only there seems to be a concerted effort to withhold the credit of this win from my dog. One kennel (?) paper, which devoted its entire space to this show and giving all the awards, accidentally omitted this one. (and this one only). Mr. Chute the ring steward, marked up the award book giving this special to

Mason's King, and when I asked to be allowed to compete for the cup, I had to call Mr. Ashton's attention to it personally, before I was allowed to bring my dog into the ring. Mr. Chute tried to argue the question, until finally Mr. Ashton told him there was no comparison between the dogs. While I do not consider it any honor to beat this dog, I do not wish any of my dogs to rest under the stigma of having been beaten by Mason's King. I know that this error was not made by your paper, but I hope you can see your way clear to rectifying it and oblige,
Yours sincerely, William J. Morris."

Mr. Chute in his written complaint alleges that he did not write up the judge's awards, but that they were written up by the judge himself. He said that he told Mr. Morris that the dogs had already been judged by Mr. Ashton, who has awarded the special immediately after the termination of the regular classes. Mr. Chute asked that Mr. Morris be punished for the reflection on his character and integrity thus published, if the committee found the charges to be baseless, or that he himself be disqualified, if the charges were sustained.

In his reply to the complaint, Mr. Morris denied that he had any intention whatsoever of aspersion the honor of the appellant, and said, that had he known Mr. Chute so

understood his letter, he would have written to him personally, disclaiming any such intention. He had written to Judge Ashton for corroboration of the events alleged to have transpired in the ring, but had received no reply.

The case has been postponed for a space of ninety days, at the request of the respondent.

Mr. Chute, who was present at the meeting, tendered in evidence, a letter from Tom Ashton, dated at Leeds, England, Oct. 27, 1905: In reply to your letter, I beg to say that you did nothing to influence me in nay way to Frisco show or any other place; if you had, I should at once have reported you to J.P. Norman. Your respectfully, Tom Ashton.

It was moved and seconded, that such portions of the appellant's complaint as referred to personalities or to sporting periodicals be stricken therefore. Carried.

Mr. Chute was called on to support the complaint: He said that he has been connected with dog shows for many years, and had never had any charge made against him, that affected his integrity. He denied marking up the judge's book, and said that he had endeavored to get Mr. Morris out of the ring, as the award in question had already been made and marked by the judge from recollection of the comparative merits of the best dog and the best bitch, who

had only just been judged. Mr. Ashton had asked him the number of the dog handled by John Bradshaw, and on being told, entered that number in his book. The appellant stated that the work of judging was being impeded by many frivolous interruptions, and it was at the request of the judge as well as in the normal exercise of his duties as ring steward that he exerting his best efforts to expedite the business of awarding the specials. Mr. Morris had really forfeited his right to claim the privilege of bringing his dog into the ring again, as he had known when the pointer specials were bring judged, and had not then claimed that right.

After considerable discussion, in which the sense of committee was clear, that there was no ground for believing that Mr. Chute's intention were at all to his discredit, it was MOVED and seconded, that whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this committee, that Mr. Chute's actions at the San Francisco show held in April last were dictated by his desire to do his duty to the Club employing him as official ring steward, and that such actions were not in any way prejudicial to the best interests of dogs and dog shows, and

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this Committee that Mr. Chute did not write up the entries in the

judge's book, but that they were made by the judge himself, and whereas the respondent, William J. Morris, in his answer to this complaint, expressly disclaims any intention of reflecting on the character and integrity of the appellant, William E. Chute, therefore be it

RESOVLED, that this Committee finds that the charges as published in so far as they affect Mr. Chute are without foundation and are the result of a lack of information and be it further

RESOLVED, that his Committee disapproves the action of Mr. Morris in publishing in print a charge against Mr. Chute, based on ignorance of the real circumstances of the case, and finds that Mr. Morris was misled by appearances and acted without due investigation, and be it further resolved, that

As Mr. Morris disclaims any intention of reflecting on the honor of the appellant and the article in question makes no such direct charge, the complaint be and hereby is dismissed.

CARRIED.

BROADHEAD V. BAHLER

This is a charge of fraud and misconduct brought by Mrs. T.H. Broadhead of Los Angeles against Mr. M. Bahler

of Leavenworth, Kansas. The appellant alleges, that in June last, she bought from the respondent an English Toy Spaniel bitch named Fay, the said bitch being guaranteed to be in whelp and otherwise sound. The bitch was to be due to whelp ten days after arrival at Los Angeles, but being examined and found empty, whereof the appellant produced a veterinary surgeon's certificate, she was shipped back to Leavenworth three days after arrival. The bitch was moreover found to be suffering from eczema, as stated in the certificate produced in evidence. The appellant prayed for return of the purchase money, namely fifty dollars paid for the bitch, as well as four dollars and 50 cents expressage from Leavenworth to Los Angeles, and for a further sum of five dollars and 50 cents paid to the express company for the return charges, the respondent having failed to pay them, and having in fact refused to do so. It was further alleged that the respondent threatened to charge appellant for the keep of the bitch. It was further alleged that respondent had cut off feathers from around the hind quarters of the bitch on account of the skin trouble. Letters from the respondent were offered in evidence. The respondent in rebuttal, alleges that he sent the bitch out before he had received the purchase money, in compliance with the wish of defendant, and that such

action showed him to have faith in the soundness of the bitch, and that she was as represented by him to the appellant; that she was sound when returned to him by the appellant as shown by accompanying veterinarian's certificate, and that she was sound at the present time as shown by accompanying certificate from another veterinary; that she was sound at the time of breeding, as shown by the letter from the breeder, Mr. Chas. R. Joseph of Chicago, the owner of the dog, Ashton Conqueror, to which she was bred; that she has been bred to that dog, as evidenced in breeder's certificate; that the reason of her not whelping was the loss of the whelps owing to the severe strain of the journey to Los Angeles and back without a rest; that the appellant had not given her a chance to prove that she was in whelp, having sent her back ten days before she was due. The respondent, although he did not consider himself bound to do so, had offered the appellant to breed the bitch against to any ten dollar stud dog she might name, and if this offer were not accepted, would charge appellant fifty cents per week for the keep of the dog.

The appellant presented in evidence a letter from C.R. Joseph, alleging that the bitch was considered by his kennel man too fat to breed successfully at the time she

was brought to his kennels and mated to Ashton Conqueror.

It was MOVED and seconded, that whereas no fraud had been proved and the defendant had to all appearances acted in good faith, therefore be it RESOLVED, that the case be and hereby is dismissed. CARRIED.

RODGERS V. STOCKTON KENNEL CLUB

This was an appeal filed by F.J. Rodgers of San Francisco against the Stockton Kennel Club.

The appellant alleged that a cup was offered by the San Francisco Kennel Club for the best BULLDOG, male or female, at the Stockton Kennel Club's show held in September last. That said cup was won by his bitch, Girtford Goody, by reason of her having been awarded the reserve for best dog in the show; that said cup was awarded in error to F.C. Meyer, for his dog, Endcliffe Baron, which had not met the appellant's bitch in competition for said cup.

The Stockton Kennel Club answered that the cup was awarded to Mr. Meyer in error through lack of experience in dog shows, and it would be returned by Mr. Meyer, demand on him having been made. The appellant produced in evidence of his claim that his bitch had been awarded reserve for best in the show, the written testimony of Chas. Lyndon, one of the judges of said show, and the oral testimony of

John Bradshaw, there present, another of the judges of said show. Both stated that they participated in the award to Girtford Goody of the reserve for best in the show.

It was moved and seconded, that

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this Committee that the building bitch, Girtford Goody, owned by the appellant and entered by him at the Stockton Kennel Club show held in September last, had been awarded reserve for best in show, and whereas said award carried with it of necessity the award of best in the class for bulldogs, and

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this committee, that the cup for best Bulldog was offered for best of the breed regardless of sex, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Stockton Kennel Club be and hereby is ordered to deliver said cup to the appellant, with the modification, that Mr. P.C. Meyer be and hereby is allowed to return the cup direct to Mr. F.J. Rodgers, the appellant, it being known to this Committee that Mr. Meyer would be desirous of having this courtesy extended to him, as soon as the ownership of the cup should be decided by the Committee.

CARRIED.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned.

ATTEST:

J.P. NORMAN

Secretary

THE CHAIR: If there is no objection the reports of the Pacific Advisory Committee will be published in the Gazette.

THE SECRETARY: I present for your consideration a communication from the Rhode Island Japan Plumed Club, asking for a recognition of the dog called the Japanese Plumed Spaniel, and that the same be placed upon our recognized list.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The bill for disbursements from the Pacific Advisory Committee from September 6, 1905, to November 30, 1905, amounting to \$37.10, was, on motion, ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to know what course to pursue to collect fines imposed on exhibitors for technical mistakes. Provision is made that we shall impose a penalty of \$2 for every technical mistake in place of the old plan of cancelling the winning of the dog. A great majority of the fines have been paid, but there are those who pay no attention whatever to the bill that we have forwarded to them, and I would like instructions from this meeting relative to the collection of these fines,

and if they are not paid, what discipline should be imposed.

DR. OSGOOD: I would like to ask the Secretary if it is not indicated on his circular what penalty would be imposed if the amount is not paid.

THE SECRETARY: It is, but I have no authority in the matter.

DR. OSGOOD: I move they be suspended until the fine is paid.

Motion seconded.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I think that is a bit too harsh. I should like to amend that by saying that nay wins of dogs exhibited by such persons be disqualified until the fines be paid.

Amendment seconded.

THE CHAIR: The only objection to that is, if he does not win he can go on and show his dogs without paying a cent.

THE SECRETARY: The American Kennel Club has passed a rule directing me to impose a fine under certain conditions, but they have not passed any rule by which a man can be punished if he does not pay that fine.

DR. OSGOOD: With the permission of the mover of the amendment, I would like to change my original motion to read that if the fine is not paid within sixty days

the exhibitor so fined shall be suspended until said fine is paid. Seconded and CARRIED.

MR. ALBANESIUS: I move that the notification from the Secretary to these exhibitors be by registered letter.

THE SECRETARY: I want to ask instructions regarding the novice class. In a few words, there are many exhibitors who fail to give any data in connection with their entries in the novice class, in the absence of which I have been obliged to assume that they were not eligible, and have cancelled their winnings. I suggest that it will be sufficient instruction to me, if exhibitors, not knowing the sire and dam and breeder, or date of birth of the dog, will state on their entry form, and that be published in the catalogue, that the dog was whelped at such a place within the limits of the United States.

MR. PETERS: I make a motion that in the novice class the name and address of the breeder must be given when required by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club; otherwise the dog be disqualified.

MR. VITI: It seems to me the name and address of the breeder and date of birth of the dog is what we want,

because a man might subsequently move to this country after having been in China where the dog was born. He could come over here and bring the dog with him. That surely could not change the nationality of the dog.

MR. CARNOCHAN: With regard to this name of breeder and with regard to the matter of not giving particulars, don't you think that it would be entirely satisfactory that we should not ask more for the novice class than for the puppy class? We require certain things of the puppy class; why not require those same things of the novice class. If the exhibitor produces satisfactory proof to the Secretary that his dog is American bred, I think the win of that dog should be reinstated. I do not see why we should make any exception in favor of the man who makes an error in the novice class any more than we do in reference to the puppy class.

DR. OSGOOD: It seems to me that if we require the date of birth and the name of the breeder in the puppy class--- that was the motion that I was going to make, that we require the same thing of the novice class, the name of the breeder, the date of birth and sire and dam, and I would move an amendment that we require the same for the novice class in the entry blank that we do of the puppy class --- if the sire and dam of the breeder are not known,

the dog is not eligible as an American bred dog.

MR. CARNOCHAN: That is the rule as it stands now. I suggest that eh exhibitor be allowed the privilege, after his dog has been disqualified, or coming here and producing evidence that the dog is American bred, in which case his dog would be reinstated. I am perfectly willing make that as an amendment.

MR. VITI: The puppy class requires the name of the breeder and sire and dam if known, and date of birth. The name, John Jones, does not indicate whether that man is an Englishman or an American. I think we should establish some hard and fast rule of proving that the dog is American bred.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Do I understand that it is the intent of the delegates here to have the Secretary ferret out every case of American and un-American bred? I had an idea that when an exhibitor signed his name to his entry blank, where it stated novice class --- American bred dog – the Secretary would take exhibitors' statement of that fact until a complaint was made alleging to the contrary.

MR. PETERS: I think that the present entry blanks are inadequate as to the novice class as we have it to-day because it calls for an American bred dog, and all we have to give is the name of the breeder, the sire and dam and date

of birth. The Secretary would never know from any entry is the novice class that he ever sees whether that dog is American bred or not unless he happened to know the sire and dam and breeder. Therefore, I think, the entry blanks should give the name and address of the breeder at the time of the whelping of the dog, or something of that sort, so it could be determined.

DR. OSGOOD: I think my amendment is weak in that it does not name the location of the breeder, and I would consent, if the seconder will withdraw that, to change the wording of my amendment.

MR. MORTIMER: I think probably the majority of the delegates would be perfectly satisfied with the Secretary's notification given in the last publication of the American Kennel Gazette, but it has been stated that these rules are to be modified to a certain extent, so that now we do not know quite where we stand. I am in favor of the rule as stated by our Secretary in the last Kennel Gazette, that the rules applying to the puppy class should apply to the novice class, and I think that would be all that was required.

On motion Dr. Osgood's proposed amendment was withdrawn.

THE CHAIR: The motion before the house is Mr.

Peters' original motion that the name and address of the breeder be given at the time of whelping.

MR. EMERY: Don't you think it would be better to have the date and address and location of the bitch whelping? I do not think the name of the owner qualifies the fact as to where the puppies are whelped.

Chairman Hunnewell resigns the Chair to H.K. Bloodgood.

MR. PETERS: I would like to change my motion to read: That upon the request of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club in all cases where any doubt exists, the breeder must at once furnish the Secretary of the American Kennel Club with the name and address of the breeder of the dog at the time that the dog was bred.

Motion seconded.

MR. MOORE: I move to amend Mr. Peters' motion that the entry be compulsory; that all the particulars given in the entry blank be furnished, and failing that, the win is cancelled subject to appeal.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to ask Mr. Moore how ever that would decide that the dog entered was an American bred dog?

MR. MC GUIRE: Why can we not deal with that the same as with any other technical error that occurs? Why make a special rule for that? The win is disqualified and the man has to furnish proof that the dog is American

bred. If he enters a dog that is not American bred, he violates a rule that is printed on the blank. The only thing to do is to make a rule, making it compulsory that all dogs entered in the novice class -- that the entry blank be filled out in full, otherwise the dog is not eligible to the novice class.

MR. PETERS: My motion is that the entry blanks remain as they are at present for all shows, and that we assume that exhibitors who enter the novice class, on reading the rules, know the dog entered must be American bred, and that he has entered the dog as American bred; that whenever a question arises, upon notification by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, they will be compelled to furnish to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club the name and address of the breeder at the time the dog was whelped.

Motion carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have only one more matter to bring to your attention. I would like it to be determined whether the rule as to American bred dogs can be interpreted in this way, that if an American purchases a bitch in any foreign country, and after it becomes his property, if he sees fit to mate that bitch to a dog in any foreign country, and the bitch comes to this country and whelps,

whether the nationality of the breeder will determine whether the dog is American bred or foreign bred.

MR. BROOKS: Do you want the dog to follow the flag?

THE SECRETARY: At present that would be an American born dog, but a foreign bred dog. The present rule is that a bitch owned in this country and sent to any foreign country to be bred and returned to this country to whelp, her progeny shall be considered American bred. Now, whether that rule means, if an American living in this country purchases a bitch on the other side, we change the present rule because she cannot be sent to a foreign country and is bred there, after he becomes the owner, and then the bitch comes to this country and whelps, whether the nationality of the breeder will determine whether that dog is not only American born, but also American bred.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to add to what Mr. Vredenburgh has said by reading Rule 7: "The breeder of a dog is the person owning or leasing the bitch at the time of her being bred. A bitch owned in this country and sent to any foreign country to be bred and returned to this country to whelp, the progeny shall be considered as American bred."

There the rule stops: there is nothing in that rule, except by inference, to prevent a person's buying a bitch in a foreign country, breeding her to a dog in that foreign country, bringing her to this country to whelp and her progeny being considered as American bred, the inference being that this being the only case stated, all other cases must be foreign bred dogs. It seems to me that the fact that the bitch being brought here to whelp, the bitch being owned by an American before she is bred, that that person has done all in his power, has fulfilled every possible requirement that he can to have those puppies American bred, and on that line I would like to make a motion that such progeny as stated by Mr. Vredenburgh in his statement be considered American bred dog.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: That is, there are two facts which will determine whether the dog is American bred or not; in the first place, the breeder must be an American, and in the second place, the bitch must whelp in this country.

MR. MORTIMER: I think this would be a good opportunity of deciding what constitutes as American bred dog. We have ever since I knew anything at all about dog shows, or ever since the inauguration of specialty clubs, a number of clubs, some of whose members reside in Canada, and although

the specialty clubs usually donate their special prizes for American bred dogs, Canadian bred dogs have invariably been allowed to compete for those specials, and for that reason I have assumed that a Canadian bred dog was an American bred dog. I have so assumed for years past. I do not know whether there has been any rule passed to the contrary or not.

MR. VITI: I do not see why any discrimination should be made against Mexico, for instance, but it seems to me that an American bred dog must be a dog both born and bred in America, and that his sire and dam should both be here. I think the rule of one of our members, the Bull Dog Club, on the subject, covers the ground so that there cannot be any dispute at all. The rules should be in the interest of having the very best stock in America.

MR. MORTIMER: Those Canadian members are breeder essentially. They have come down here a great many times, and they have won our special prizes that have been offered by American specialty clubs. I think if we define the American bred dog as those only born in the United States, we are working a very great injury to the Canadian members of our different American specialty clubs, and for that reason I would like to ask a definition of what an American bred dog is.

MR. PETERS: I move that an American bred dog, as it is now termed, shall be a dog bred on the continent of North America.

Motion seconded.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I can go back a good ways in connection with this matter. I was on the committee that went to London to endeavor to procure international relations between the American Kennel Club and the English Kennel Club. That matter was entirely settle; everything was done. We were to be recognized by the English Kennel Club, as the paramount power on the continent of North America, and we were to recognize them as the paramount power with the rest of the world. The document, I believe, is somewhere in the archives, and has on it the signature of three members of the American Kennel Club and three members of the English Kennel Club, and that international agreement which would have been for the very best interests of kenneldom all over the world, was blocked by our very good friends in Canada. They said, we are not going to be considered a part of the United States; we are going to hold our own shows and abide by our own rules. They have since affiliated with the kennel club of England. Therefore I see no reason why they should be treated any better than our English broth-

ers. I see no reason why they should have special favors if we decide that a class is to be limited to American bred dogs in the sense of born in the United States and bred in the United States. I see no reason why a colony of Great Britain should have any other rights than the parent body in England. For that reason I am opposed to admitting Canadian dogs and considering them in any way American bred.

THE SECRETARY: I have a recollection of matters occurring in connection with this matter long before those stated by Mr. Carnochan. I was a member of the Rules Committee. On two occasions the Canadian Kennel Club came here and knocked at our door and wanted recognition. They appointed a committee of three; we appointed a committee of three, and we met in New York. We came to a conclusion satisfactory to all the members of the joint committee. We signed that conclusion. They went back to Canada. Canada repudiated the agreement. They wanted all the recognition and gave nothing in return. That was the first slap in the face that we received from our friends, the Canadians. The second time was when the Canadian Kennel Club appointed their Executive Board, giving them full power to act, to come to some agreement of reciprocity with the American Kennel Club. The Hamilton

Club of Canada forced that issue and they invited me to come and meet them.. I went, representing the American Kennel Club alone, and was pitted against members of the Executive Board of the Canadian Kennel Club. We had a session for two days. We came to an agreement that I was very glad to sign and which they signed. There was one article that said that this agreement would be rescinded on six months' notice. This was in July. The Canadian Kennel Club held its annual meeting in September and repudiated the act of its Executive Board which it had given full power to act, and served us with the six months' notice, which we did not take advantage of and said the agreement would cease on receipt of our letter. That was the second time. The third time is the time Mr. Carnochan refers to when we had this agreement signed with great ceremony on parchment, where the English Kennel Club, in its preamble, said that the American Kennel Club is the paramount power on the Continent of North America, and they repudiated that preamble by accepting Canada as an affiliated club, so that Canada would not show any allegiance to the American Kennel Club. Why should we show any partiality to Canada or to Canadian breeders. That was one thing the committee had in mind in passing the rule concerning the novice class, that we should strike out Canada from

one class. If the specialty clubs have so many Canadian members, it is very easy to arrange their specials by announcing prizes for the best dog bred in the United States or Canada. Why do you say that a Canadian dog should be an American bred dog, when it is a foreign country? When an exhibitor takes his dogs there he has to pay his duties and go through the same red tape that he would in coming from Manchester or Liverpool. They have to give a certain pedigree and deposit it with the port of entry before they will allow a Canadian dog in this country. It is another a different flag. It is a foreign country. When we say American, we mean that part of the America that would control the United States, within the limits of the United States.

MR. PETERS: I cannot see why we should grind all these old axes, but I suppose the reason we made the rule barring their dogs out of the novice class was because we thought they could breed better dog than we can. We are all equal here and I think we ought to have that class equal, and if we are going to use the term "American bred", I should favor that they be allowed to compete with us.

MR. MORTIMER: I do not think this is a question of dog politics at all. I have no doubt that those gentlemen who are present who were engaged in that controversy with the English Kennel Club may feel aggrieved, but as a mat-

ter of precedent for twenty years past Canadian dogs have always been recognized at our American shows as American bred dogs, and they have always competed for every special that was offered. For that reason I claim it would be only right and proper that Canadian bred dogs should be admitted.

MR. MC GUIRE: Have we anything which tends to shows that it would be agreeable to the Canadian people to have their dogs designated as American bred? They might make a very violent objection to it. They have repudiated a couple of agreements they have entered into, and here, without any apparent request from them, without any seeking on their part, without even a representative from their club, it is proposed that we designate their dogs as American bred.

MR. MORTIMER: In answer to the gentleman who just spoke, the proof that the Canadians are very glad to come down here if the fact that they come in large numbers every year; the fact that there is a large number of Canadian members in every specialty club in existence is this country to-day.

MR. MC GUIRE: Even that fact does not give us the privilege of claiming their dogs as being American bred,

nor does it compel them to accept our making them American bred dogs.

THE SECRETARY: The Pacific Advisory Committee has an agreement with the American Kennel Club that any act by it shall be binding unless that act is appealed from and set aside on that appeal by the American Kennel Club. This very question has been determined by the Pacific Advisory Committee in this case. There was a special offered for the best American bred dog. The prize was awarded to a dog that was bred by Mr. J.A. Laurin, of Montreal, Canada. That was appealed from; it was brought before the Pacific Advisory Committee and the appeal was sustained upon the ground that it was a foreign bred dog. We have got to set that aside, and how we can do it without an appeal I cannot see.

MR. MORTIMER: I think this quotation by the Secretary is a very fine illustration of the tail wagging the dog.

Mr. Peters' motion that an American bred dog as it is now termed, shall be a dog bred on the Continent of North America, was then put and lost.

MR. VITI: I move that American bred dogs are dogs bred and born in the United States of America excepting the cases governed in Rule 7 of the rules governing dog

shows.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

-----:o0o:-----