

ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS  
OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, MONDAY,  
FEBRUARY 18, 1901.

Vice President Brooks in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Members

American Pomeranian Club  
American Spaniel Club  
Boston Terrier Club  
Bull Dog Club of America  
Chicago Pet Dog Club  
Collie Club of America  
Columbus Fanciers Club  
Dog Owners' Protective Association  
    Of Cincinnati  
Duquesne Kennel Club  
French Bull Dog Club of America  
Great Dane Club of America  
Irish Terrier Club  
Airedale Terrier Club of America  
Ladies Kennel Association of  
    Massachusetts  
Mascoutah Kennel Club  
Metropolitan Kennel Club  
New England Beagle Club  
New England Kennel Club  
Rhode Island Kennel Club  
San Francisco Kennel Club  
Scottish Terrier Club of America  
St. Bernard Club of California  
Welsh Terrier Club of America

A.C. Wilmerding  
G.W.H. Ritchie  
F.S. Stedman  
Marcel A. Viti  
C.F. Clarkson  
Tyler Morse  
Frank R. Story  
James Watson  
J.M. Taylor

Charles W. Rodman, Jr.  
G.M. Carnochan  
F.J. Bristol  
G. Muss-Arnolt  
A. Van Schaick  
A.D. Cochrane

Henry Jarett  
C.F.R. Drake  
H.T. Foote  
John Caswell  
Edward Brooks  
W.C. Codman  
C.B. Knocker  
J.B. Brazier  
J.L. Arden  
B.S. Smith

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The report of the representatives of the club appointed to open and canvass the votes received for the officers and delegates of the associate members, to hold office for the ensuing year was read, as follows:

New York, February 6, 1901

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB;

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, James Watson, representing the President of the American Kennel Club, and H.T. Foote, representing the President of the associate members of the American Kennel Club met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for the officers and delegates of the associate members of said American Kennel Club, to hold office for the term of one year from February 19, 1901, or until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of associates entitled to vote to be 190; total number of votes cast 99, total number of votes scattering 19; total number of irregular votes and thrown out, 1;

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the associate members for the year ending in February, 1902, to wit;

President, H.K. Bloodgood

Vice President, Dudley W. Waters

Secretary, L.A. Biddle

Delegate (1), A.C. Wilmerding

“ (2), W.W. Caswell

“ (3), G.W.H. Ritchie

Signed, Jas. Watson,

Representing the President A.K.C.

H.T. Foote,

Representing the President Associates, A.K.C.

Attest:

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secretary, A.K.C.

The report of the Membership Committee was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file. It follows:

New York, February 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club;

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee, held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting on applications for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the secretary, your Committee would respectfully report:-

Applications from the Airedale Terrier Club of America and the Pan-American Exposition Association have been received, and the same being in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution, it is recommended that said applications be approved and said clubs duly admitted to active membership. The following credentials of delegates are on file and duly approved, and their acceptance recommended.

Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts, appointing Mr. Henry Jarrett.

Scottish Terrier Club of American, appointing Mr. J.B. Brazier

Bull Terrier Club of America, appointing Mr. F.H. Croker

St. Bernard Club of America, appointing Mr. D.E. Waters

Brunswick Fur Club, appointing Mr. R. Crompton

Airedale Terrier Club, appointing Mr. A.D. Cochrane

Respectfully submitted,

H.T. Foote

Singleton Van Schaick

C.B. Knocker

The following named gentlemen were elected as delegates to represent the following named clubs:

Henry Jarett to represent the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts.

J.B. Brazier to represent the Scottish Terrier Club of America.

F.H. Croker, to represent the Bull Terrier Club of America.

D.E. Waters to represent the St. Bernard Club of America.

R. Crompton to represent the Brunswick Fur Club.

The following named clubs were elected to membership in the America Kennel Club:

Airedale Terrier Club of America.

Pan-American Exposition Association.

Mr. A.D. Cochrane was elected as the delegate representing the Airedale Terrier Club of America.

The Secretary then read his quarterly report, which, on motion, was accepted and placed on file, and is as follows:

New York, Feby 16, 1901

To The AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,)

Gentlemen-

Since my last quarterly report, two applications for membership have been received, and application for the registration of nineteen (19) kennel names have been filed. These matters have been referred to their proper Committee, which will report their recommendations at this meeting.

I beg to report the reception of the following resignations:

Dec. 28, 1900, New Orleans Fox Terrier Club

Dec. 31, 1900, St. Louis Kennel Club

Both of the above clubs were in good standing on the dates that their resignations were received at this office, and I recommend that said resignations be accepted.

The Capitol City Kennel Club of Washington, D.C. filed a notice of its disbandment. I would therefore recommend that said club be ordered dropped from membership.

The following charges have been duly filed and will be referred to the Executive Board for investigation.

Frank J. Lenoir vs Rhode Island State Fair Association, for unpaid prizes at show of 1899.

Boston Terrier Club vs. Rhode Island Kennel Club, for providing classes for Boston Terriers contrary to the standard adopted by the said Boston Terrier Club.

These matters do not come under your jurisdiction, but are hereby reported for your information, in compliance with instructions laid down at a former meeting.

Appeals for reinstatement to good standing have been filed by H.F. Peck, Akron, Ohio, and J.E. Miller, Atlanta, Ga. The former was disqualified June 1894 as an officer of a club that defaulted in prizes. The latter, was disqualified Nov. 1900, by virtue of his suspension by the Atlanta Kennel Club for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of dog shows. These matters will be referred to the Executive Board for its action.

At a meeting of the Committee on Constitution and Rules, held January 21, 1901, certain amendments were recommended, and in accordance with the Constitution duly published in the January issue of the American Kennel Gazette. These amendments are now before you for action at this meeting.

This meeting is the annual meeting of the Club, at which time the terms of office of the President, Vice President and the Chairman, and the members of the several standing committees will expire. A new election must be held to fill said offices.

The following clubs are in arrears for dues for 1901, and therefore, in accordance with Art.X. Sec. I., shall forfeit all right to representation in this Club, and any club so in arrears may be suspended or dropped.

American Pet Dog Club  
Austin Kennel Club  
California State Agricultural Society  
Chicago Pet Dog Club  
Cleveland Kennel Club  
Columbus Fanciers' Club  
M.A.A.C. Rod and Gun Club  
Northwestern Kennel Club  
San Francisco and San Mateo Agricultural Association  
St. Bernard Club of California  
Vermont Kennel Club  
Vicksburg Fox Terrier Club  
Washington Kennel Club

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburg, Sec'y

The Treasurer's report was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file. It is as follows:

New York, Feby 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club;

Gentlemen:

I hereby beg to submit my quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1901 -----	\$ 8,735.00
Receipts from Jan. 1, 1901 to date	<u>2,377.24</u>
Total	\$11,112.24

Disbursements since Jan. 1, 1901 -----	<u>883.56</u>
--	---------------

Balance on hand	\$10,228.68
-----------------	-------------

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer

The election of officers and committees being next in order, Mr. Viti nominated for President Mr. August Belmont.

It was moved and second that the nominations be closed.

Carried.

On motion the secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Belmont as President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.

The secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Belmont was duly declared elected President.

Mr. Rodman nominated Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., for Vice President.

Nominations seconded.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I would like to state that Mr. Brooks has been the Vice President of the American Kennel Club for a number of years, and while it was not stated by the gentleman who nominated his successor, I thin it is only due to Mr. Brooks that it should be publicly stated that Mr. Brooks withdrawn as a candidate to succeed himself. He feels that he cannot give the time required to the office, and for that reason he thought it was in order for another gentleman to be elected in his place.

MR. RODMAN: I was going to say that in putting Mr. Hunnewell's name in nomination for the office of Vice President I had been informed that Mr. Brooks had declined a renomination. Therefore I placed Mr. Hunnewell's name in nomination. I desire further to have it placed on the rev[c]ord that it is the sentiment of this organization that Mr. Brooks' withdrawal as a candidate be accepted with regret, and I move that a vote of thanks be expressed

to Mr. Brooks for his efficient and at the same time faithful services to the American Kennel Club during his term of office as Vice President.

Motion seconded and unanimously carried.

MR. RODMAN: I move that the secretary be instructed to cast a ballot for Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., as Vice President of this club.

Second and carried.

The secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared Mr. Hunnewell elected Vice President.

THE SECRETARY: The next business is that of electing the standing committees. The first is the Stud Book Committee. The retiring members are Marcel A. Viti, Chairman; C.B. Knocker and Charles W. Rodman, Jr.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I nominate the chairman of that committee to succeed himself.

Second.

Moved and second that the Secretary be instructed to cast a ballot for Mr. Viti's election as chairman.

Carried.

The secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared Mr. Viti elected.

It was moved and second that the present members of that committee, Messrs. Knocker and Rodman, be nominated to succeed themselves as the members of that committee.

Carried.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot

For their elections.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared them elected as such members.

The Secretary: Next is the Committee on Constitution and Rules. The retiring members are H.K. Bloodgood, Chairman; James Watson, Charles W. Rodman, Jr. and Henry Jarett. Mr. Bloodgood being a member of the Executive Board now by virtue of his election as President of the Associate Members, will not be a candidate.

Mr. Carnochan was nominated as chairman of the Committee.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. Rodman. He being the senior member of that Committee is my reason for nominating him.

Nominations seconded.

Two candidates being in nomination for the office of chairman of the committee, the delegates proceeded to ballot, which resulted in the election of Mr. Rodman by a vote of 14 to 3.

Messrs. Carnochan, Jarett and Watson were nominated as members of the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

Nominations seconded.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for their election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared them duly elected.

Mr. VREDENBURGH: The next is the Committee on Field Trials and Coursing Meetings. The retiring Chairman is J.W. Appleton.

Moved and second that said chairman be nominated to succeed himself.

Carried.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for his election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared him elected as such chairman.

The retiring members were declared duly elected to succeed themselves under the rules.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Finance Committee is at present composed of A.C. Wilmerding, Chairman, James B. Blossom and G.M. Carnochan.

Mr. Rodman nominated Mr. Wilmerding to succeed himself as chairman.

Nominations seconded.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for his election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the chair declared Mr. Wilmerding duly elected as such chairman.

Messrs. J.B. Blossom and G.M. Carnochan were nominated to succeed themselves as members of the Finance Committee.

Nominations seconded.

The Secretary was, on motion, directed to cast a ballot for their election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared them duly elected as members of such committee.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The retiring members of the Membership Committee are Messrs. H.T. Foote, Chairman, Singleton Van Schaick and C.B. Knocker.

Dr. Foote was nominated to succeed himself as the chairman of the committee.

Seconded.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for his election as such chairman.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared Dr. H.T. Foote duly elected.

Messrs. Van Schaick and Knocker was nominated to succeed themselves as members of the Membership Committee.

Seconded.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for their election, and he having stated that he had cast such ballot the Chair declared them duly elected as such members.

At this point Mr. Brooks resigned the Chair to Mr. Bloodgood.

The report of the Executive Board was read as published in the Gazette, and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was then read as follows:

In the matter of E.D. Brown's attempt to secure the registration of pedigrees of certain English Setters. As there was no proof forthcoming, that the said pedigrees were fraudulent, it is recommended that the matter be dismissed.

In the matter of the registration of the Boston Terrier "Bunker Hill II".

This dog is registered, dam, Vogel's "Bessie", and dam's dam, as "Belle", (Manley's). Dam's grand sire "Ned", dam's grand dam, "Snuffles". The complaint was made by E.J. Vogel. He filed an affidavit stating the he owned the dam "Bessie" and that she was out of Gallagher's "Nellie" and not Manley's "Belle". Your Committee sent a copy of this affidavit to F.G. Bixby, who sold the dog in question, and made an affidavit of pedigree upon which the dog was registered. In reply thereto, Mr. Bixby has furnished a counter-affidavit stating that he gave the dam's dam as Manley's "Belle", in view of the said Vogel having identified a photograph of Manley's "Belle" as a bitch he knew as Gallagher's "Nellie". He said that upon the identification and believing that Gallagher's "Nell" and in writing the pedigree. There is nothing to show that Bixby did not act in good faith in writing the pedigree as aforesaid.

In the matter of the attempt of E.R. Baker to secure a fictitious pedigree for English Setter, in this case, E.R. Baker of Smethport, Pa. wrote to Mr. Henry Klee, of New Castle, Pa., that he had an English Setter bitch which he wished to breed but that she had no pedigree, and asked if Mr. Klee could do anything

For him in the way of looking up her breeding. He gave her age as eight years, and her name as "Shadow"; he further stated that Mr. Klee could change her name if necessary and it would not hurt her to make an alteration in the age; he also stated that the only thing that must remain as it is, is the fact that she is black and white, and that she is a bitch, that those facts could not be changed. He further requests Mr. Klee to destroy his letters and asked that the same be done with his. In reply to this request, Mr. Klee promptly wrote him that he deemed it his duty to forward the letter to the A.K.C., which he thereupon did.

Your Committee wrote Mr. Baker informing him that complaint had been made to the A.K.C., of his attempt to secure a false pedigree for his bitch, and giving him an opportunity to be heard in his defense. In reply thereto, he wrote confessing having written the letter, to Mr. Klee, but stated that at the present time he was not at liberty to give any reasons but if we could wait until the proper time came, we could hear from him and he would surprise us with his reasons for writing the letter, but that things had not just shaped themselves for a complete disclosure to the A.K.C., Your Committee feels however, that the time has arrived for at least punishing Mr. Baker for his attempt to procure a false pedigree, and they therefore, recommend that he be disqualified.

Applications have been made for the registration of the following  
Kennel names, none of which conflict with any already registered, and your  
Committee therefore recommend that they be granted.

Aelwold	Charles Wheeler and Richard M. Elliot
Back Bay	John F. Murray
Bloomfield	George C. Thomas, Jr.,
Bonnimoor	A.F. Nelson
Brandywine	Mr. and Mrs. Richard F. Perkins
Charles Gate	J.H. Horrigan
Colonial	H.M. Bissell and C.A. Elliott
Foxboro	Lincoln E. Pettee
Glenwood	Philip C. Meyer
Gaydon	W. Scott Cameron
King-Lillis	J.J. King and J.J. Lillis
Linden	S. Hewes Mattson
Remlik	Willis Sharpe Kilmer
Salimonia Valley	Foust and Foust
Wampanaug	W.S. Hawley
Westbury	R.D. Winthrop and Miss M.K. Bird
Westridge	Mrs. E.W. Dwight
Wynwood	Miss Elizabeth Wood and William R. Wood

Marcel A. Viti,  
Chairman, S.B. Committee

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and  
recommendations therein contained adopted.

The report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules was read as published, and on motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Special Committee was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file, and the recommendations therein contained adopted. It follows:



MR. VREDENBURGH: That is all with the exception of the amendments to the Rules, which I read:

RULES GOVERNING DOG SHOWS.

An additional rule No. XXII in place of the present rule XXII, to read: In default of the payment of prize moneys within sixty days of the last day of a show, the officials of such show, except paid employees, shall be suspended or disqualified for such time as the American Kennel Club shall determine. No claim against a club for unpaid prizes shall however be valid unless filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club within six months of the last day of the show held by such club.

Change the present Rule XXII and make same XXIII.

RULES GOVERNING CLUBS HOLDING SHOWS.

Amend Rule V by adding thereto

“Excepting, however, that all claims against a club for unpaid prizes shall be outlawed after the expiration of six months from the last day of the closing of such show.”

To amend the resolution under which the value of winner classes are determined by adding to the clause relating to Specialty Clubs:

“No specialty club can delegate its right to any other club or person to hold or manage a show in its name.”

Signed,

H.K. Bloodgood,

Chairman

MR. BROOKS: What is the object of that last statement?

MR. VREDENBURGH: It is for this reason: The value of a winner's win at a show held by a specialty club confined to its own particular breed of dogs counts four. The idea is to prevent a small specialty club that is not a member of the American Kennel Club from holding a show under the auspices of a specialty club in the same breed, and thereby get four points in winners classes.

MR. VITI: Why not say that no show club or member shall delegate its rights to any individual or individuals. I make that as an amendment, that no active members of this association can delegate its right to another.

MR. RODMAN: As a member of that Committee I would date that while I have no objection to the amendment, it seems to me that the amendment as presented in the report covered that point. I will concede that your proposed amendment is broader in phraseology, but I think the amendment as reported by the committee reaches the same thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: (Mr. Bloodgood) As Chairman of that committee I accept the amendment.

The question was then put on the amendment and carried.

The amendment as amended was then put and carried, the same being: "No active member can delegate its right to any other club or person to hold or manage a show in its name."

MR. VREDENBURGH: The first amendment to the rules is an additional rule to Rule XXII: "In default of the payment of prize

Moneys within sixty days of the last day of a show, the officials of such show, except paid employees, shall be suspended or disqualified for such time as the American Kennel Club shall determine.” So far that is already a rule in force. Added to this is the following: “No claim against a club for unpaid prizes shall however be valid unless filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club within six months of the last day of the show held by such club.”

MR. BROOKS: I move the amendment be adopted.

Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is to amend Rule V of the rules governing clubs, in order to harmonize with the amendment just adopted, by adding: “Excepting, however, that all claims against a club for unpaid prizes shall be outlawed after the expiration of six months from the last day of the closing of such show.”

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move the amendment be adopted.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the resignations of the St. Louis Kennel Club and the New Orleans Kennel Club were accepted. And the Capitol City Club dropped from the roll.

The charges preferred by Frank J. Lenoir against the Rhode Island State Fair Association for unpaid prizes at show of 1899, and the charges preferred by the Boston Terrier Club against the Rhode Island Kennel Club, were sent to the Executive Board under the rules.

The appeals of Mr. H.F. Peck and Mr. J.E. Miller for re-instatement were also referred to the Executive Board under the rules.

MR. VREDENBURGH: In regard to the clubs in arrears for dues, it has been our custom heretofore after reporting these clubs at the annual meeting to pass a resolution granting them 30 days from the date of this meeting within which to pay their dues, and if not paid by that time the Secretary is instructed to strike their names from the roll.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that 30 days from the date of this meeting be given them within which to pay their dues, failing to so which the secretary be instructed to strike their names from the roll.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that 30 days from the date of this meeting be given them within which to pay their dues, failing to do which the secretary be instructed to strike their names from the roll.

Seconded and carried.

A communication from Mr. Edwin H. Morris was received and filed.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburg

Secy

REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD  
AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, THURSDAY,  
MAY 16<sup>TH</sup>, 1901.

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Members	A.C. Wilmerding
American Fox Terrier Club	H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Dachshund Club	Dr. C. Motschenbacher
American Spaniel Club	Marcel A. Viti
Dog Owners' Protective Association Of Cincinnati	C.W. Rodman, Jr.
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania	G.M. Carnochan
French Bull Dog Club of America	F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America	G. Muss-Arnolt
Irish Terrier Club	S. Van Schaick
Metropolitan Kennel Club	H.T. Foote
National Beagle Club	J.W. Appleton
New England Kennel Club	Edward Brooks
Pointer Club of America	George Jarvis
Rhode Island Kennel Club	W.C. Codman
The Ladies Kennel Association Of America	James Mortimer
Welsh Terrier Club of America	B.S. Smith

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette and placed on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:

New York, May 15<sup>th</sup>, 1901

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting on applications for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your Committee held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting on application for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your Committee

Would respectfully report: An application for the American Eng. Setter Club has been received, and the same being in accordance with the provisions of the constitution it is recommended that said application being approved shall be duly granted and the said club admitted to active membership. The following credentials had been filed, is duly approved and its acceptance recommended: Texas Kennel Club, appointing Dr. George W. Clayton as its delegate.

Respectfully submitted,

H.T. Foote, Chairman

C.B. Knocker

Dr. George W. Clayton was duly elected as delegate to represent the Texas Kennel Club.

The American English Setter Club was duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, May 16<sup>th</sup>, 1901

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report the receipt of one application for membership; four applications for the registration of kennel names, and the receipt of credentials appointing a delegate to represent the Texas Kennel Club. These matters have been referred to their proper committees, which will report their recommendations at this meeting.

I will submit reports from the Executive Board and the Pacific Advisory Committee in their order.

It gives me pleasure to report the reception of letters of acceptance from Mr. August Belmont and Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. of the offices of President and Vice President of the Kennel Club to which they were duly elected at our last meeting.

The following charges have been duly filed and will be referred to the Executive Board for investigation, and now reported to you for your information in compliance with instructions laid down at a former meeting: S.O. Smith vs. E.R. Wilbur. Jr. for misconduct in connection with dogs.

I also beg to submit an appeal from R.E. Westlake, affecting the winners class in Pointers at the Baltimore Show of 1899.

I have to report that I was directed by the Executive Board, at its meeting held April 23<sup>rd</sup> last to disqualify the officers of the R.I. State Fair Association for non-payment of a prize of eight dollars to F.J. Lenior. Rule V of the rules governing clubs states: "The premium list must contain the dog

show rules of the American Kennel Club, also a list of the officials under whose management the show is to be held, and who shall be held responsible for the payment of all prizes, in default of which the said officials shall be suspended or disqualified." In my examination of the premium lists of the said R.I. State Fair Association I found the announcement that the show would be held under the management of the R.I. Kennel Club, followed by a list of its officers and a Bench Show Committee composed of its members. I advised Mr. W.C. Codman, President of the R.I. Kennel Club, of the above facts. I will submit his letter of reply which appears to be a protest, and the statement that his club did not in any way assume any responsibility in the management of the show. I have therefore deferred making any disqualification pending instructions from you.

At the last Boston Show I found that the management had divided several classes contrary to rule, and I have therefore been obliged to cancel such classes with all of the awards made therein. I am advised that the New England Kennel Club will instruct its delegate to ask for your action in this matter.

I will submit at this meeting a communication from Mr. S.C. Hodge, in reference to his connection with the Pet Dog Club Show of 1899 and of his disqualifications in consequence of the non-payment of prizes and other matters connected with the Club.

In will also submit for your consideration a communication from the delegate of the Collie Club in reference to the action of the Mascoutah Kennel Club, in changing one of its judges who

Was present at the show and ready to fulfil his engagement.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburg

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, May 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I hereby beg to submit my quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand on June 1<sup>st</sup>, 1901 ..... \$8,735.00

Receipts from Jan. 1<sup>st</sup>, to date ..... 4,988.97

Total, ..... \$13,723.97

Disbursements since Jan. 1<sup>st</sup>, 1901..... 4,641.69

Balance on hand..... \$9,082.28

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Executive Board was read as follows:

Held April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 1901

Present: August Belmont, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., H.K. Bloodgood,  
A.C. Wilmerding, M.A. Viti, H.T. Foote, C.W. Rodman, Jr.

Absent: J.W. Appleton

Chairman: H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. followed by August Belmont.

The following cases were presented and disposed of:

Camilo E. Pani :  
Vs. : Re misconduct in connection with dogs  
George M.A. Fecke :

Whereas Pani purchased the R.C. St. Bernard bitch "Grand Duchess," upon the condition that she must be in whelp before shipment, agreeing to pay therefore \$175; and

Whereas Fecke sold said bitch agreeing to the stipulation that she was in whelp, and that he received a draft for the purchase money and thereafter shipped said bitch to said Pani; and

Whereas, said bitch was received by said Pani and kept by him for one week after she was due to whelp, and failing to whelp or showing any signs of being in whelp, returned said bitch to said Fecke and demanded the return of the purchase price; and

Whereas, said Fecke received said bitch and failed to respond to several letters from said Pani, and likewise failed to return said purchase price; and

Whereas, said Pani waited nearly three months for a satisfactory settlement without success, it is hereby

Ordered, that George M.A. Fecke be given thirty days from the date of this meeting to return the purchase price fixed at \$175 for the St. Bernard bitch "Grand Duchess." In default of which the Secretary of the A.K.C. is hereby directed to disqualify the said George M.A. Fecke.

Atlantic K. C. :  
vs. : Re appeal for re-instatement  
J.E. Miller :

Appeal not granted.

E.D. Brown. Re appeal to reconsider resolution of reprimand.

Appeal not granted.

H.F. Peck Re appeal for re-instatement.

Said Peck, one of the officers of the Akron Poultry and Kennel Club, was disqualified June 3, 1894, for defaulting in the payment of a prize to W.L. Washington, at its show in 1894. Subject to a ruling of this Board that no appeal for reinstatement will be considered unless based upon the fact that all claims for prize money has been paid. The appeal was not granted.

S.C. Hodge. Re proposition for the payment of the unpaid prize money of the A.P.D.C. show of 1899. For similar reason that prevailed in the case of H.F. Peck, as above, this Board declines to discuss the proposition.

Philadelphia Dog Show Ass'n :

Vs. : Re appeal for re-instatement

E.H. Morris :

This matter was laid over for consideration at a later meeting, said Morris to be given ample notice of the time and place of the meeting at which his case will be heard.

A.K.C.

Vs. Re misconduct in connection with dog

Mrs. F. Senn

Shows extending from 1897 to 1901. This matter was laid over pending an examination of all of the original entry forms made by Mrs. Senn during the time.

Boston Terrier Club :  
:  
Vs. : Re Boston Terrier classification  
:  
R.I. Kennel Club :

This matter was laid over until a later meeting and the Secretary was directed to afford an opportunity to the delegate of the Boston Terrier Club to be present at the hearing.

N.E. Kennel Club :  
:  
Vs. : Re appeal from suspension  
:  
Shirley Marston :

Whereas, the N.E. Kennel Club suspended Marston for forcibly removing his dog from its show, and for an assault upon one of its employees; and

Whereas, Marston's appeal for re-instatement showed no good reason why it should be granted, it is therefore

ORDERED, that Shirley Marston be and hereby is disqualified under the rules.

F.J. Lenoir :  
:  
Vs. : Re unpaid prizes at show of 1899  
:  
R.I. State Fair Ass'n :

Whereas, the Secretary of the R.I. S.F. Ass'n makes no

Other defense than the statement "no funds and out of business," it is therefore  
Ordered that the officials of the R.I.S.F. Ass'n at the show of 1899 be and  
hereby are disqualified under the rules.

Application for a ruling. Re, can a dog only shown in the Senior Class compete  
in the winners class? In this case the Verona Kennels entered its Collie, Old Hall  
Admiral in a Senior class only, and as the winner of first competed and was  
accorded first in the winners class, said win was cancelled by the Secretary of  
the A.M.C. for the reason that a Senior class was not one of the regular classes  
specified in the rules.

Ordered, that the action of the secretary in cancelling the winners award of  
the Collie Old Hall Admiral hereby is confirmed.

The following clubs were dropped from membership for non-payment of  
dues for 1901, as per resolution of the A.K.C. Feb. 18, 1901.

A.P.D. Club	Northwestern Kennel Club
Austin Kennel Club	San Francisco and San Mateo Ag'l Ass'n
California State Fair Ass'n	St. Bernard Club of California
Columbus Fanciers' Club	Vicksburg Fox Terrier Club

The Columbus Fanciers' Club having subsequently paid its dues, on  
motion said club was re-elected to membership.

The Secretary of the A.K.C. was directed to send final

bills to all associates in arrears for dues for 1901, and to drop all such associates that failed to pay dues within thirty days from the mailing of said final bills.

Resolutions:

Moved that a committee of five, including the Chairman, be appointed by the Chair to consider the advisability of recognizing the standards of Special Clubs in connection with dog shows so far as weights and measurements are concerned. The members of the Committee are Messrs. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., Chairman; M.A. Viti, J.W. Appleton, G.W.H. Ritchie and A.P. Vredenburgh.

Moved that the Committee on Constitution and Rules be requested to consider an amendment so as to provide that the winners of firsts in all classes except local classes that may be opened, at dog shows, shall be eligible to compete in winners classes. Carried.

Applications for Kennel names:

Upon recommendations of the Stud Book Committee the following applications for kennel names were considered and the same ordered registered:

“Buckeye” to James Follan

“Red Brook” to Miss Lucille Alger

“Carleton” to Edward H. Carle

“Sabine” to F.H. Farwell

“Clonmel” to O. Dufresne

“Sunshine” to M.D. MacNab

“Exmoor” to E.A. Weimer

“Van Dyke” to Mrs. Wm. Allerton

And Theo. Jaeger

“Waveland” to Ernest L. Jones

“Grenwold” to H.L. Rice

“Winterhur” to Henry F. DuPont

Signed

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the said report was accepted and placed on file.

The Stud Book Committee reported as follows:

May 16<sup>th</sup>, 1901

Applications have been made since their last report for the registration of the following kennel names, and as they do not conflict with any names already registered, they have been approved by your committee and their allowance recommended.

“Buster” S.W. Adams, Chicago, Ill

“Tioga” W.P. Austin, Mansfield, Pa.

“Waterside” T.P. Mallorie, Tunis Mills, Md.

“Waverly” George Dunn, Woodstock, Canada

In the matter of the breeding of the Cocker Spaniel Eclipse Patsey or MacKie, according to the statements of the owners of the alleged sire and maternal grand dam of the dog in question the pedigree is fraudulent.

The dog was offered for registration by Charles T. Cornman, Carlisle, Pa. He did not write the pedigree however, he having bought the dog from F.G. McIntosh, Frankling, Pa., from whom he received the pedigree with the dog. Mr. McIntosh in turn purchased the dog from Mr. A.G. Rigby, Independence, Iowa. Mr. Rigby has

Informed the Committee that the dog and the pedigree were sent to him by a cousin of his who was then living in Chicago, and who informed him that he had purchased the dog from a dealer in the latter city. Mr. Rigby however has lost the name and address of this dealer. He furnished the Committee with the name and last address of his cousin from whom he obtained the dog, Mr. E.E. Johnson. Your committee wrote Mr. Johnson at his address, Nagasaki, Japan, on March 29<sup>th</sup>, 1901, but as yet hardly sufficient time has elapsed in which an answer could be received. The Committee believe that all of the above named persons are quite innocent of fraud, as they accepted in good faith the pedigree furnished them, but they believe from the evidence of the owners of the alleged sire and maternal grand dam that the pedigree is incorrect and should not be registered.

In the matter of the Boston Terrier Bunker Hill II.

The accuracy of this dog's breeding was questioned some time since by E.J. Vogel, who stated that the breeding of the Grand dam (Nellie) was not as given. In answer to this Mr. Boxby, who made out the pedigree, stated that Mr. Vogel had identified a photograph of Nellie as being the same bitch as he knew as Belle, and consequently he gave the latter's breeding. Since your Committee reported upon the case at the annual meeting Mr. Vogel has filed an affidavit denying that he ever so identified a photograph, and alleges on the contrary that the said photograph was not of Nellie. The matter therefore narrows down to a question of veracity between the two parties, there being simply oath against oath, and no corroborative proof or circum-

Stances offered by either side, each of the parties reflecting upon the credibility of the other. Your Committee therefore feel that the order to determine the matter it should be held in abeyance until either of the parties can produce some further proof.

Your Committee have also been furnished with an affidavit in which the accuracy of the breeding of the bitch Belle is denied. This is furnished by the owner of the alleged dam. Your Committee have sent a copy of the affidavit to Mr. Boxby, and when his answer is received your Committee will report upon the case.

A request has been received from Mr. H.L. Atkins for the registration of a bitch as a pointer which admittedly contained Setter blood. Following the decision in a similar case which was confirmed by the A.K.C. your committee declined to allow the said bitch to be registered.

Respectfully submitted,

Stud Book Committee.

On motion the said report was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was read as follows:

Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, A.K.C.

March 11<sup>th</sup>, 1901

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman. H.H. Carlton, M.C. Allen, J.P. Norman  
Absent: A.J. Allen

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

Communications read: From A.P. Vredenburg, dated December 20<sup>th</sup>, 1900, advising that the request of this committee for an extension of powers had been referred by the A.K.C. to a special committee of five with instructions to report at the annual meeting of the A.K.C. Letter ordered to file.

From A.P. Vredenburg as follows:

“New York, February 20<sup>th</sup>, 1901

Mr. J.P. Norman, Secretary  
Pacific Advisory Committee,  
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that at the meeting of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, held on the 18<sup>th</sup> inst., the Special Committee appointed to consider your appeal for greater powers, reported with its recommendation, which was received, ordered filed and its recommendation duly adopted, to wit:- “That the Pacific Advisory Committee of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB be accorded authority to act as the agent of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB in the following matters, and all such acts to be subject to appeal to, or to correction by, the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

1<sup>st</sup>. Receiving applications for admission to members in the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

2<sup>nd</sup>. To approve of show dates.

3<sup>rd</sup>. To approve of classifications.

4<sup>th</sup>. To fix the value of winners classes.

5<sup>th</sup>. To receive and transmit all listing fees.

All communications from and to clubs or exhibitors to be transmitted through the Pacific Advisory Committee. The office of Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee to be honorary. Nothing in aforesaid recommendations shall be construed so as to impair or interfere with any rule of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, or with any existing agreement between the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB and its Pacific Advisory Committee.”

Yours truly,

American Kennel Club

By A.P. Vredenburg, Secretary”

Letter ordered filed and adopted as part of the constitution of this Committee.

A discussion then ensued on the methods to be employed in using the extended powers of the Committee in such a manner as to remove the discord existing on the Coast in kennel affairs, and

It was moved and seconded that the Chair appoint a committee of one to draft a letter according to the sense of the Committee as expressed in the discussion, such letter to be sent to the different specialty clubs and all the show giving clubs on the Coast, with the object of inducing there to hold their shows under A.K.C. Rules; the committee to report at the adjourned meeting. The resolution was carried and the Chair appointed M.C. Allen a committee of one to draft the said letter. On motion the meeting adjourned to Tuesday, 19<sup>th</sup> inst. To give the committee of one the time necessary to draft the report.

J.P. Norman,

Secretary

Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, A.K.C.  
March 19<sup>th</sup>, 1901  
Adjourned from the 11<sup>th</sup> inst.

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman. M.C. Allen, J.P. Norman  
Absent: H.H. Carlton, A.J. Allen

The Secretary stated that the absence of Mr. A.J. Allen was due to a family affliction, in the death of his wife, and it was resolved that the sympathy of his fellow members of this committee be extended to him.

The committee on communications submitted a report, which was laid on the table by resolution, to be considered as unfinished business.

The Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club applied for the approval of winners class in Scottish Terriers; on the statement that Puppy, Novice, Limit and Open Classes had been opened in the breed for each sex, it was

Resolved, that this Committee do hereby approve a winners class for Scottish Terriers in the premium list of the Fifth Annual Bench Show of the San Francisco Kennel Club.

It was moved and seconded that this committee do meet regularly on the third Tuesday of each month. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that settlements of receipts and disbursements with the American Kennel Club be henceforth made quarterly, instead of semi-annually, as heretofore, subject to the approval of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

The report of the Committee on Communications was then taken from the table and considered as unfinished business. After lengthy discussion and emendation by the Committee as a whole, the following letter was drawn up as being the sense of the entire committee, and it was

Moved and seconded that the following letter be sent to every show giving organization on the Coast, and also to every Specialty Club, as embodying the views of this Committee on the speediest and best manner of promoting harmony in kennel affairs.

Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

J.P. Norman  
Secretary

San Francisco, March 19<sup>th</sup>, 1901

To the Officers and Members of the  
Pacific Coast Kennel Specialty Clubs  
Gentlemen:

The Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club hereby calls the attention of your club to a few facts, in the consideration of which will be found food for thought and opportunity for profit.

It is the desire of this Committee to serve kennel interests by uniting all clubs under the auspices of the American Kennel Club. In the recent past, this has been not only difficult but impossible, on account of the A.K.C. method of governing Coast Clubs and Coast Shows. The points of objection urged in this behalf have now been so far removed, that this Committee feels justified in asking general support of the A.K.C. on this Coast and the holding of all Coast kennel shows under A.K.C. rules.

The Pacific Advisory Committee came into existence with certain powers, deemed by many prominent kennel enthusiast insufficient to overcome objections of long standing to A.K.C. rule on the Coast. In time the Committee came to realize the inadequacy of these powers to meet the requirements of the situation. The result was an appeal to the A.K.C. for additional powers, which would constitute the Committee the absolute agent of the A.K.C. in all kennel matters in the extreme West. This request was considered by the A.K.C. at its annual meeting in New York on February 18<sup>th</sup> last, and resulted in the granting of all powers asked. An official communication from the A.K.C. conveyed notice of the adoption of the following recommendation:

“That the Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club be accorded authority to act as the agent of the American Kennel Club in the following matters, and all such acts to be subject to appeal to, or correction by, the American Kennel Club.

- 1<sup>st</sup>. To receive applications for admission to the American Kennel Club.
- 2<sup>nd</sup>. To approve of show dates.
- 3<sup>rd</sup>. To approve of classification.
- 4<sup>th</sup>. To fix the value of winners classes
- 5<sup>th</sup>. To receive and transmit all listing fees.

All communications from and to Clubs or Exhibitors, to be transmitted through the Pacific Advisory Committee.”

These added powers supplement the original authority of the Pacific Advisory Committee to hear and determine all protests and appeals arising in this jurisdiction.

The effect of the action taken at New York is to give the Kennel Club of the Coast absolute “Home Rule”, the one all important point, for which the Clubs or the Coast have striven during many years. It seems to the Committee, that by this action, there has been effectively removed all good reason for continued opposition to A.K.C. control of Coast kennel affairs. The Committee would respectfully call this fact to the attention of your Club and request that your members consider the manifold

Advantage of an A.K.C. affiliation and the holding of future shows under A.K.C. auspices and control, which will insure harmony of wins, a condition of special importance in view of the fact, that the A.K.C. cannot recognize any wins, past or future, not gained under its own rules.

“Home Rule” has been the one all important right for which Coast Clubs have contended. To all kennel organizations on the Coast this right is now assured. Arrangements for shows may now be made with the Committee direct, thereby removing the objection urged on account of the time required to communicate with New York. Under the present arrangement, the Advisory Committee is to all intents and purposes the A.K.C. on the Pacific Coast.

It is constituted the Court, in which all Coast matters are to be considered and determined, and more than this the Coast surely cannot ask. The Committee feels that kennel interests can best be served through the A.K.C. We adopt this means to invite the co-operation of your club, and in similar manner of all other Club interested in Pacific kennel affairs. The Committee, as now constituted, represents no faction or special interest. It has but one object in view – the best interests of Coast dogdom. Should a vacancy hereafter arise in the Committee, through death, resignation, or otherwise, all A.K.C. vacancy. The Committee will certify to the Clubs a list of eligible candidates, from whom choice may be made, and the candidate receiving the highest vote will be certified to the American Kennel Club as the one who should be appointed to eh place.

Good government is absolutely necessary to kennel club success in the holding of shows. We feel that this government can best be supplied through the A.K.C. A consideration of the facts above outlined, we are satisfied, will lead your club to the same conclusion. Bearing in mind the best interest of Dogdom on the Pacific Coast, we urge these facts to your attention and request that your Club take steps to hold its future shows under the auspices of the A.K.C. An union of Coast Clubs on these lines means much for the future of kennel interests, and we feel safe in counting on your co-operation and support.

Respectfully Submitted,  
The Pacific Advisory Committee  
of the American Kennel Club  
J.P. Norman,  
Secretary

J.E. deRuyter,  
Chairman

On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Vredenburg: At the Baltimore show of 1899 the report came to us giving the pointer "Daisy Bell" as winning first in the winner's class, and "Belle Westlake" as winning the reserve. In looking over the report we found that in the open classes "Belle Westlake" won over "Daisy Belle", and in the winners class, the following class, the judge gave the beaten dog first, and the dog that won over the beaten dog reserve. Mr. Watson, who was then with the American Kennel Club, wrote to Mr. Oldham, who was superintendent of the show, and asked for an explanation of such judgment. Mr. Oldham wrote back that it was a mistake; that the winner should have been "The Widow" and the reserve "Belle Westlake" a dog that won first in the light weight limit class. Mr. Westlake appeals from that decision, and he says he has been the greater part of two years trying to straighten the matter out. I have a mass of correspondence here, in some of which Mr. Oldham says that "Daisy Belle" did win first and "Belle Westlake" second, and when they applied for the judge's book Mr. Riggs, the secretary of the club, said he did not know the books were, but he thought that Mr. Oldham had them; and Mr. Oldham says he has not got them and promised to send me a written statement. He sailed for England yesterday. I sent over to the ship to get this statement from him, and he sent word back that he was not able to get it as he could not find the judge's book, and has was quite certain it was down at Baltimore. In the certified catalogue under Mr. Oldham's correction it is stated that "The Widow" was given first; "Belle Westlake" was given reserved in the winners. In their respective classes

the judge reserved that, “Belle Wes[t]lake” beating “Daisy Belle” in the open class, and the question is, can a judge place a beaten dog first in the winners class over the dog that beat it in the open class.

Mr. Mortimer moved that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Vredenburgh: In the absence of the judge’s book how am I to understand it? Am I to reinstate “Daisy Belle” as 74 as originally marked on the catalogue?

Mr. Brooks: Isn’t the marked catalogue supposed to be a transcript of the judge’s book?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes.

Mr. Brooks: Failing to get the judge’s book you have got to stand on the marked catalogue. In a case of this sort we ought to let the award stand as it has been recorded in the marked catalogue until we can bring proof from the judge’s book that that catalogue is wrong. I make a motion to that effect.

Mr. Rodman: I ask that this matter be laid over until the next meeting, giving the aggrieved party the opportunity of obtaining the judge’s book, and in case of his failure to obtain it at the next meeting of the American Kennel Club then to allow the marked catalogue, which is the best evidence, to stand. I put that in the form of a motion, that the matter be laid over until the next meeting of the American Kennel Club, affording the owners of the two dogs an opportunity to produce the judge’s books at said meeting.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburg: The next matter in order is that of the Rhode Island State Fair Association. The stake at issue is \$8. I write to the Secretary of the Rhode Island State Fair Association, and he sent me word back that they had gone out of business; that they had no funds and consequently they could not pay the \$8. The Executive Board directed me to disqualify the officers. The rule is very plain. It says that the show must publish in the premium list its bench show rules and the officers under whose management the show is held, and such officers will be held personally responsible for the payment of all prizes after sixty days have elapsed, and if they did not pay them in that time they should be disqualified. When I turned to the premium list to get the names of the officers I naturally supposed I was going to find the names of the officers of the Rhode Island State Fair Association, but very much to my astonishment I found in the premium list a special announcement: "The bench show to be held in connection with the 79<sup>th</sup> Annual Rhode Island State Fair Association on October 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup>, 1899, will be held under the management of the Rhode Kennel Club Bench Show Committee." Then follows the names of such committee and officers. I did not want to proceed to disqualify the officers of the Rhode Island Kennel Club without some explanation, and I wrote to Mr. Codman, whose reply have, and which I will read.

Mr. Mortimer: I would like to know whether the Rhode Island Kennel Club knew that its name was being used in the premium list.

Mr. Codman: No, it did not. The State Fair Association had run numerous shows, and they did not amount to much for the last few years, but they thought they would hold another and apply here for a charter and pay for their license, and it was run entirely under the license of the Rhode Island State Fair Association. Mr. Perkins came and asked us to help him out and give him some assistance on the understanding that we were to have nothing to do with the management of any of the financial business, or anything whatever. What we did we did as a matter of courtesy to help make the show a success, and gave them our name. They printed that list unknown to us; they had no authority to do that, and we had a written agreement from Mr. Perkins that we were not to be responsible for any financial business whatever. We were not consulted about the management; we were never consulted about the judges or anything at all. We merely loaned them the stewards and they put those name down.

The Chair: What do you mean by lending them your name?

Mr. Codman: That we would assist them in any way we could as a matter of courtesy, which we did. We were never consulted about the management of the show.

Mr. Viti: When did you first learn that your name appeared in connection with the management of the show?

Mr. Codman: When the catalogue came out.

Mr. Viti: Did you see it in the prize list?

Mr. Codman: Yes.

Mr. Viti: Did you complain against it?

Mr. Codman: We complained but that would not alter it.

Mr. Rodman: Who paid the fee for the show?

Mr. Vredenburg: The State Fair Association. The Rhode Island Kennel Club, so far as we were concerned, had nothing whatever to do with that show until I was directed to disqualify the officers of the Rhode Island State Fair Association, and, as I have said, when I came to get the names of the officers under whose management the show was held I found it stated in their premium list that the show would be held under the management of the Bench Show Committee of the Rhode Island Kennel Club.

Mr. Appleton: I understood Mr. Codman to say that he had a written agreement with the State Fair Association that the show was not to be under their management.

Mr. Codman: That we were not to have anything to do with the financial end of it. We made a stipulation to that effect before we said we would help them out or give them our name. If \$8 will fix the matter up I will pay it, but there may be other claims arising out of this same transaction.

Mr. Viti: I understand you loaned them the use of your name on the condition that you would not be financially responsible?

Mr. Codman: Yes. We did not see that printed. We made it a stipulation that we were not to have anything to do with the management. Mr. Oldham was the whole management of the show,

Your charter and license were granted to them; it was not granted to us, and we had nothing whatever to do with it; it was not given under our charter.

The Chair: The only evidence we have is this premium list. We have to go on what evidence we have, and this is the evidence.

Mr. Rodman: Before we take action on this matter I think it would be well to understand thoroughly the position of the American Kennel Club; there may be other claims against this organization, and if those other claims are presented the same ruling must apply as applies now, and for that reason I think it is well to have it thoroughly understood before the motion is made and carried. I only suggest this so as to place the American Kennel Club in a position which is perfectly in compliance with its rulings.

Mr. Codman: I have nothing more to say. You make the ruling that we are responsible, although I do not see how we can be responsible, because it was not held under the management of the Rhode Island Kennel Club; it was under your charter, under your own license.

The Chair: You understand our position, which is that until we have evidence that this is erroneous we will have to stand on this premium list?

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I think we ought to give Mr. Codman an opportunity to get this agreement with the State Fair Association which he says they have. If the President of Rhode Island Kennel Club would furnish the agreement it might let them out entirely.

The Chair: The Secretary acted according to the rule. The only question is, are there other claims that will arise.

Mr. Rodman: Have we as a body representing the American Kennel Club the right to defer or prevent the action of the Executive Committee being carried out?

The Chair: No.

Mr. Rodman: As I understand it, the Executive Committee has directed the Secretary to disqualify the officers who managed that show pending the payment of those claims. Is that correct?

Mr. Vredenburg: Yes.

Mr. Rodman: Then I move that this dog show committee or the officers of the Rhode Island Kennel Club be permitted to deposit with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club the amount of the claim pending the filing in this office by the President of the Rhode Island Kennel Club the written agreement which he had with the Rhode Island State Fair Association, or any other evidence relative to the management of the show held in 1899.

Mr. Brooks: I second the motion.

Carried.

The Secretary reported the receipt by him of a communication from Mr. S.C. Hodge, asking for removal of disqualification in connection with the non-payment of prizes by the American Pet Dog Club.

Mr. Rodman: I move the Chair that this communication is out of order. If it is to be treated as an appeal, as I understand the rule, it goes to the Executive Board. If it is not an appeal, what is it. There I move it is out of order.

Mr. Carnochan: I move to amend that motion that it be referred to the Executive Board for answer.

Mr. Rodman: I accept the amendment.

Dr. Foote: I offer an amendment to the amendment that Mr. Hodge be informed that upon depositing sufficient funds to liquidate the indebtedness of the American Pet Dog Club for unpaid prizes with the Treasurer of this club his application for re-instatement will be considered.

The amendment to the amendment was lost.

The motion as amended, that the communication is out of order, and that it be referred to the Executive Board for action, was carried.

Mr. Vredenburg: I have a communication here from the Collie Club. In substance it states that Dr. Niven, of Canada, was invited to judge spaniels with other breeds at the Chicago show. He accepted, and, as I understand it, he went to the show ready and prepared to judge all the classes that had been assigned to him. He then found that without his knowledge they had taken the spaniels from him and given them to Mr. Mortimer, and had so announced in their premium list.

Mr. Mortimer: I think you are mistaken there, if you will pardon an interruption. The facts are these: Dr. Niven, of London, Canada, was invited to Judge spaniels at Chicago, and he accepted the invitation, and his name was published as the judge in the premium list as well as announced in the press. Some exhibitors who did not feel inclined to have their dogs judged by Dr. Niven, wrote to Mr. Wakem, Chairman of the Bench

Show Committee, telling him that they would not show under Dr. Niven but that they would show under some other judge, mentioning my name. Mr. Wakem, as I am informed by him, write to Dr. Niven asking him to have his name withdrawn as judge of spaniels, and Dr. Niven consented, and these exhibitors who were unwilling to show under Dr. Niven made their entries with the understanding that I was going to judge the spaniels at Chicago. The Bench Show Committee of the Mascoutah Kennel Club did not write me or inform me or hint to me in any way that they wished me to judge spaniels, and I went on to Chicago not knowing that it was to judge spaniels at all, but when I got there I ascertained from some exhibitors that I had been appointed judge of spaniels and my name appeared in the catalogue. I at once sought out Mr. Wakem and told him that I could not under the circumstances accept that position, that Dr. Niven was down to judge them, and that many entries had been made with the understanding that Dr. Niven was going to judge them, and that no notice had been given to the public, and that I declined to judge these spaniels. The following morning I saw Dr. Niven when he arrived from Canada and shook hands with him and talked with him on the subject, and told him that I would not judge spaniels, and he said neither would he. Dr. Niven knew all about it because he was written to by Mr. Wakem about it and Dr. Niven agreed to withdraw his name, but no announcement was made of that fact; that is, no public announcement. A communication to the Spaniel Club is not a public announcement. I hadn't the slightest idea that they had me down to judge spaniels until I arrived on the ground at the building in Chicago.

The Chair: You were to judge other dogs there?

Mr. Mortimer: Yes.

Mr. Carnochan: I think we ought to have some sort of a motion in regard to this, and I move that the officials of the Mascoutah kennel Club be censured for changing their judges without making public announcement of their action.

Motion seconded and carried, Mr. Viti voting no.

The Secretary: The next matter is in regard to the New England Kennel Club's violation of the rules. In their premium list they advertised that they would divide classes by sex providing there were four entries of each sex made. I find that they divided the open class, blood hounds, the open class in mastiffs and the puppy class in pointers. They did not have the necessary number; they would have four dogs and three bitches, or they might have five dogs and three bitches, but at no time did they have the necessary number that they provided for in their rules, so I was compelled to cancel the classes that I considered were illegal. I notified the New England Kennel Club, and they in my presence instructed their delegate, Mr. Brooks, to come here and make an appeal from that ruling on that point.

Mr. Brooks: I wish to appeal from that decision on this ground: When we were making up the entries after the premium list was out Dr. Lougest, the only exhibitor in our section of the country, said he would guarantee the entries if we would divide those open classes, so the secretary in making up the catalogue did so. I will admit it was carelessness in not seeing that the doctor did not have in four of each sex. He had four in one

Case and three in another. He was the only exhibitor; there were no other exhibitors; nobody is hurt by it at all, and in fact the club as a loser because we were offering double the amount of money and not getting the corresponding amount of entries, and while it was a direct infringement on the rules of the American Kennel Club, we did not do it in a fraudulent way; in fact we were injuring ourselves, and we thought that this Association would probably let the matter go. In regard to the pointer classes, in the pointer puppies, there the exhibitors got together and they went to the Bench Show Committee and said they wanted these classes divided, and the superintendent of the show gave the authority if they were all willing; nobody was to be hurt by it, and we offered extra prize money for the division. Although technically we admit we violated the rules of the American Kennel Club we have worked no injury; we have not disappointed and exhibitor; we did not decrease our premium list, but in fact we added to it. The harm that has occurred will occur to our treasury and not to anybody else. You are not hurting the New England Kennel Club if you sustain the ruling; the exhibitors get their money. If some one would come along and say: [ " ]If I had known those classes were to be divided I would have gone in" he probably would have appealed to you. He cannot say that. He had a chance to go in there on the gamble of their being four or five more entries. No such question has come up, and we do not consider that we have done anything more than a slight violation of the rules.

Dr. Foote: I move the club be censured.

Mr. Brooks: My motion is that the awards stand as made by the judges at the New England show.

Dr. Foote: I offer an amendment that the Club be censured for its action.

The Chair: Do you accept the amendment, Mr. Brooks?

Mr. Brooks: I will accept that amendment.

Motion carried and seconded.

Mr. Mortimer: I move that the Secretary be instructed to notify exhibitors of the disqualification of the wins of their dogs.

Mr. Carnochan: I move as an amendment that the Secretary notify the various exhibitors of the loss of their wins.

Amendment seconded and lost.

The original motion was carried.

Mr. Rodman: I move that a committee be appointed by the Chair to consider the advisability of incorporating the American Kennel Club.

Seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such committee Messrs, Rodman, Viti and Vredenburgh.

Mr. Viti: I move that a committee of seven be appointed to consider the advisability of the American Kennel Club holding a show.

Seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such committee Messrs. Vredenburgh. Viti, Croker, Watson, Rodman, Muss-Arnolt and Hunnewell.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secy.

REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1901

.....

In absence of the President and Vice-President of the club, Mr. Charles W. Rodman, Jr., was elected as Chairman of the meeting.

P R E S E N T :

- Associate Member.....G.W.H. Ritchie
- American Spaniel Club.....Marcel A. Viti
- Brunswick Fur Club.....R. Crompton
- Collie Club of America.....James Watson
- Dog Owners' Protective Association of  
Cincinnati.....Charles W. Rodman, Jr.
- Duquense Kennel Club of Western  
Pennsylvania..... G.M. Carnochan
- French Bull Dog Club of America.....F.J. Bristol
- Great Dane Club of America.....G. Muss-Arnolt
- Ladies' Kennel Association of  
Massachusetts.....Henry Jarrett
- Mascoutah Kennel Club.....C.F.R. Drake
- Metropolitan Kennel Club.....H.T. Foote
- National Beagle Club.....Jas W. Appleton
- Pointer Club of America..... George Jarvis
- San Francisco Kennel Club.....C.B. Knocker

The Ladies Kennel Association of

America.....Jas. Mortimer

Welsh Terrier Club of America.....B.S. Smith

The report of the Membership Committee was read, and on motion accepted. It is as follows:

New York, September 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club :

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, the application of the Colorado Kennel Club for admission to membership in the A.K.C. was duly considered, and your Committee recommend the admission of said Club to active membership.

Respectfully submitted

H.T. Foote, Chairman

C.B. Knocker

Membership Committee

The Colorado Kennel Club was then duly elected a member of the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, as follows:

New York, September 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report that the Middlesex Agricultural Association applied for admission to the America

Kennel Club, under date of August 10<sup>th</sup>, and as said Club intended holding its Show, September 24<sup>th</sup>-27<sup>th</sup>, 1901, it was requested that a mail vote be taken upon said application, to enable the Club to distribute its prize list containing our rules. Pursuant to precedent, I requested said mail vote by the Executive Board, and the same was unanimous in favor of the admission of the Club.

I also beg to report an application from the Colorado Kennel Club, for membership. Also fourteen applications for the registration of Kennel names. These matters will be fully reported upon by the proper Committees to which they were referred.

By a vote of the Pacific Advisory Committee, the Sixth District Agricultural Association of Los Angeles, Cal., was duly admitted to membership, date were assigned to it and its classification approved. The above action by the Pacific Advisory Committee, is recognized by the A.K.C. by virtue of the power recently given to said Committee.

At our last meeting a vote of censure to the Mascoutah Kennel Club was passed. This has occasioned quite some correspondence, and resulted in an explanation of the question upon which the censure was based. In a letter dates August 31<sup>st</sup>, 1901, from John L. Lincoln, the Secretary of the Club, although written in his individual capacity, he requests that at this meeting something will be done to exonerate the Club. In this connection

The Collie Club of America, advises us through its Secretary that its delegate had no authority to act in its behalf, and requested me to make a correction in the next issue of the "Kennel Gazette". I will say for your information that the complaint upon which we acted was signed "James Watson, Delegate the Collie Club", and I so advised said Collie Club, and added that it was not within my power to make any correction whatever, even should one be necessary, as the matter has passed out of my hands, and had become the property of the Club. While on this subject, it may not be out of place for me to say that Mr. Watson was quite certain that he had made the complaint as an individual and not as a delegate, and was surprised when I exhibited to him the letter signed as said delegate.

At a recent meeting of the Executive Board, Mr. G.M. A. Fecke, was disqualified upon charges preferred against him by Mr. Camilo E. Pani. Under date of July 20<sup>th</sup>, 1901, Mr. Pani advises us that Mr. Fecke has settled his claim in a satisfactory way. It is now for this Club to decide whether the penalty of disqualification should be removed or otherwise.

Mr. E.R. Wilbur was disqualified in default of a defense upon charges made by Mr. G.O. Smith. Permission was granted Wilbur to re-open this case in his defense if applied for with thirty days. This he has done, and the matter should be referred to the Executive Board.

A request from Mr. Henry Jarrett to amend the

definition of what constitutes an American Bred Dog, was submitted at the last meeting of the Executive Board. It was the sense of the Board that a rule that has been in force for so many years should be referred to the Club for consideration of any proposed amendment or alteration, and said Board therefore declined to take any action in the matter. It is now referred to you for consideration.

Under date of June 27<sup>th</sup>, 1901, Mr. A.D. Cochrane advises us that his Airedale Terrier Bitch "Howling Doris" was shown at the late Chicago Show, in Mr. L.A. Klein's name as owner, with the further information that since that [is] me he could get no trace of the whereabouts of his bitch. This bitch won "first" in "limit", open and winners classes at the Chicago Show, and although the rules direct that in such a case the win must be cancelled, I had no knowledge that Mr. Klein was not the bona fide owner, and did not cancel said winnings, and they still remain a record to the credit of said bitch. I find that this same bitch was shown for "specials" only at the late San Francisco Show, and again entered in the name of L.A. Klein as owner.

I beg to report an itemized bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from February 26<sup>th</sup> to July 3<sup>rd</sup>, amounting to \$14.95. The same will be paid if approved by this meeting.

I beg to report the following matters relative to

The Pacific Coast.

An appeal from John I. Sparrow against the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Charges against the San Francisco Kennel Club, by I.W. Horton, for non-payment of prizes won by him in 1899.

Charges preferred by Mrs. A.A. Roi vs. Mrs. John McCullough. The latter case was forwarded to this office by the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee but I am not advised whether or not the charges were preferred in accordance with the Constitution. Owing to the powers granted to the Pacific Advisory Committee, I am of the opinion that the above cases, except that of Mr. Sparrow's appeal should be acted upon by the Pacific Advisory Committee.

I have the honor to report that I have just received a cablegram from Mrs. Ellen Oughton Giles, Stokenchurch, England, expressing her "deepest sympathy with America", in the loss of its late President.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburg,

Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, September 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club :

Gentlemen:

I hereby beg to submit my quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand January 1 <sup>st</sup> , 1901.....	\$8,735.00
Receipts from January 1 <sup>st</sup> to date.....	7,544.63
.....	
Total.....	\$16,279.63
Disbursements since January 1 <sup>st</sup> , 1901.....	\$ 7,660.83
.....	
Balance on hand.....	\$8618.80
.....	

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The reading of the report of the Executive Board was, on motion dispensed with, and accepted as published in the June "Gazette".

The Secretary read the reports of four meetings of the Pacific Advisory Board, which were on motion taken up seriatim and accepted as read.

Special Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee of the A.K.C., Occidental  
Hotel, San Francisco, May 29, 1901

.....

Present: J.E. De Ruyter, Chairman; A.J. Allen, J.P. Norman

Absent: M.C. Allen, H.H. Carlton.

Moved and seconded that the rules be suspended to take up protests, and that executive business be postponed to the next regular meeting. Carried.

The protest, as here opposite attached from Mr. John I. Sparrow, was read. It appeared from the same, that at the Fifth Annual Bench Show of the San Francisco Kennel Club, held at San Francisco, May 8<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup>, 1901, in class 168 for bull terriers, puppy bitches, the judge, Mr. J.J. Lynn, awarded to the protestant in the ring, the first prize in said class for his bitch, "Edgewood Jean II", and handed him the blue ribbon, the token of such prize; but that the Judge in marking his official record of awards, inscribed therein number 446, the catalogue number of Mr. Joseph's bitch, "Imp", whereas the catalogued number of protestant's bitch was No. 447. The appellant in support of his claim, further cites the fact that his bitch was taken into the ring to compete for the winners prizes and was awarded reserve in said class. And further that his bitch obtained the special for the best bred California bull terrier in the Show.

On examination of the judge's book, it appears that number 446 "Imp", is inscribed as winning the first prize in Class 168 for puppy bitches, bull terriers. In the award of the trophy of the Pacific Bull Terrier Club for the best puppy bitch, number 447, "Edgewood Jean II" is inscribed as winning the same, but this has been erased and number 446 substituted, with the notation, "Correct, J.J. Lynn". In view of the entry in the record of the awards in the regular class, and of the notation in the award of the special in the judge's own handwriting, it was

Moved and seconded, that the entry in the judge's book, be deemed the correct entry, and that the protest be rejected. It was further moved and seconded, that in view of the fact that Mr. Sparrow was led to take his dog into the ring for the Winners Class, by reason of being the recipient of the blue ribbon, and that Mr. Joseph, the recipient of the red ribbon, thought his bitch had only taken a second prize in the Puppy Class, it would appear from his statement made to this Committee, that Mr. Sparrow had great justification for his protest, and his deposit of ten dollars be not forfeited. Carried.

The protest as here opposite attached, from Mr. P. C. Meyer was read.

It appears from the same that the appellant's smooth coated St. Bernard bitch, "Miss Barry", catalogue number 76, was entered in the judge's official record as having won the Eppinger

Trophy for the best St. Bernard of opposite sex to the winner of the President's trophy. It appears further that Mr. Meyer's representative was handed this trophy in the ring on the presentation of the special prizes, but that afterwards, Mr. Fately, the owner of the rough coated bitch, "Melba", catalogue number 67, came up to the protestant, accompanied by the judge of the breed, Mr. J.J. Lynn, and claimed the cup, and that Mr. Lynn informed tha[e] appellant that the cup had been awarded to "Melba". The appellant thereupon ceded the cup to Mr. Fately, but on subsequent examination, found that the official record of the judge bore the number 76, that of his smooth-coated bitch, "Miss Barry". The judge's book, as submitted by the San Francisco Kennel Club, bore our the statement of the appellant. The defendant, however, produced the following letter from Mr. J.J. Lynn:

San Francisco, May 29, 1901

To Dog Show Committee

Dear Sirs:

My attention has been called to an error in the award of special for the best bitch in the Show (St. Bernard). The award was made to number 67—and not to 76.

Jas. J. Lynn

In view of the foregoing statement of the judge of the breed in question, it was

Moved and seconded, that the appeal of Mr. Meyer be



I beg to hand you herewith a communication received from the San Francisco Kennel Club and would request your Committee to investigate the same and arrive at a conclusion why the judge took upon himself to correct awards already given and marked in the judge's book. The American Kennel Club has established precedents governing such conditions and do not permit a judge after having made awards and dismissing his class to subsequently change these awards. As we are at present at work on the catalogue of the late San Francisco Show, you will oblige me by calling a meeting of your Committee at once and forwarding to this office promptly the result reached at that meeting. In the deliberations of your Committee, kindly have in view at all times the precedent above referred to, as in my opinion it would be very unfortunate if the American Kennel Club were obliged to disapprove of your action.

Yours truly,

American Kennel Club,

By A.P. Vredenburg,

Secretary

The communication contained in the above letter was as follows:

San Francisco, June 5<sup>th</sup>, 1901

Mr. A.P. Vredenburg, Secretary,

American Kennel Club

New York

Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that Mr. J.J. Lynn has corrected the entries in his Judge's book in the following classes:

No. 252, Maltese Terriers, Open Dogs and Bitches:

First, Mrs. S. Rand, "Queenie R", No. 601.

Second, Mrs. A.A. Roi, "Margie B.", No. 600.

Will you please correct the marked catalogue in accordance and oblige.

Yours truly,

J.P. Norman, Secretary

Per H.D. Laidlaw, Cashier

Mr. Laidlaw, Cashier of the San Francisco Kennel Club was in attendance, and submitted his letter to Mr. Lynn setting forth that both exhibitors of Maltese Terriers were dissatisfied with the awards as published in the catalogue, and were both in accord that the judge had awarded the ribbons as subsequently corrected, and were both anxious to have the error rectified. For these reasons, the judge was invited to settle the matter satisfactorily to all parties concerned.

Mr. Laidlaw further stated that Mr. Lynn called and sated that it was a mistake on his part, and that the dogs were placed as he awarded the ribbons, and he therefore corrected the book accordingly. The Secretary stated that he had invited the Judge to attend the meeting or give a written explanation of his action in

changing his awards, and that Mr. Lynn had answered a previous invitations to a meeting by saying that he would be unable to attend a meeting held in the evening and suggesting that a written communication should be forwarded to the Committee, if he were informed of the exact nature of the explanation required.

An examination of the Judge's book shows that No. 600 had originally been entered as winning first therein and No. 601 as winning second prize, and the entries had been reversed over the signature of J.J. Lynn.

In view of the fact that the explanation requested of Mr. Lynn had not yet been received, and that the Committee was therefore unable to arrive at a conclusion, or to the reason of the change, it was

Moved and seconded that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to communicate with Mr. Lynn and to request him to furnish this Committee with an explanation of above reversal prior to July 9<sup>th</sup>, failing the receipt of which, this, as well as other reversals will be brought before the American Kennel Club in the nature of a complaint from the Pacific Advisory Committee.

The chair thereupon declared the meeting adjourned to the following Tuesday, July 9<sup>th</sup>, 1901, at the same place and time, 5 p.m.

Attest:

J.P. Norman

Secretary

Regular Meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee  
July 9<sup>th</sup>, 1901, held at the office  
of J.E. de Ruyter

Adjourned from July 2<sup>nd</sup>, 1901

.....

Present: John E. de Ruyter, Chairman; Merton C. Allen, J.P.

Norman, A.J. Allen

Absent: H.H. Carlton

Minutes of regular meeting of May 29<sup>th</sup> read. It was moved and seconded that he same be amended by inserting, prior to the motion in the matter of the Meyer protest, after the words "Statement of the judge of the breed in question", the words, "And the statement made to this Committee by Mr. Fately, that he erroneously gave the number of his bitch as 76, instead of 67, to the judge at the time the award was made, it was moved and seconded", etc. Carried.

The following communications were read by the Secretary:

A notice from Mr. J.I. Sparrow, that he was about to protest to the American Kennel Club, against the decision of this Committee in the matter of appeal against the award of second to his bull-terrier bitch, "Edgewood Jean II". Ordered filed.

From the Vancouver Island Kennel Club, in which under date of April 12<sup>th</sup>, the Club acknowledges the receipt of the circular issued by this Committee on March 19<sup>th</sup>, and regrets that it is too late to change the rules of the Show then shortly to be held, and

promising to discuss the matter with the Committee before holding any future show. Ordered filed.

From Norman J. Stewart enclosing copy of resolutions passed by the Pacific Kennel League to join the American Kennel Club.

Ordered filed.

In the matter of the change of awards made in the judge's book by Mr. Lynn on June 3<sup>rd</sup> in Maltese terriers, the same having been referred to this Committee by the American Kennel Club for investigation and report, the Secretary reported that he had received no explanation of the change from Mr. Lynn. It was from Mr. Lynn as to the reason for changing the awards in Maltese terriers in the judge's book, this Committee can see no reason for making the change, and recommends that the awards stand as originally entered in the book. Carried.

It was further moved and seconded, that this Committee respectfully calls the attention of the Executive Committee to the numerous changed in awards made subsequently to the judging by Judge Lynn, and would ask the Executive Committee to lay down such rules as would obviate a repetition of these conditions in the future. Carried.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman

Secretary

Pacific Advisory Committee,  
Regular meeting, August 20<sup>th</sup>, 1901, Occidental Hotel, San Francisco

.....

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman; H.H. Carlton, J.P. Norman, A.J. Allen

Absent: A.J. Allen

Minutes of previous meetings read and approved.

Application was read from the Sixth District Agricultural Association, Freeman G. Teed, Secretary, dated July 25<sup>th</sup>, 1901, for admission to membership in the American Kennel Club and for approval of dates for a Bench Show to be held at Los Angeles on October 2, 3, 4 and 5, 1901. The application cited that the officers of the Association were E.T. Wright, President; Farmers and Merchants Bank, Treasurer; Freeman G. Teed, Secretary. Directors, C.E. DeCamp, S.N. Andrus, G.B. Barham, Caspar Cohn, M.A. Covaribias, Martin C. Marsh, H.J. Fleishman, E.T. Wright. The dues of the Association having been duly paid, and the Constituting meeting with all the requirements of the rules of the American Kennel Club, it was moved and seconded that the Sixth District Agricultural Association be and hereby is admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

The deposits of the Sixth District Agricultural Association having been duly received with their application for approval of dates, it was

Moved and seconded that the dates of October 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>,

4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup>, be and hereby are approved for the Bench Show to be held by the Sixth District Agricultural Association at Los Angeles. Carried.

The Secretary stated that, acting under the advice of the Chair, he had issued on July 22<sup>nd</sup>, subject to release, a certificate of approval for the premium list of the above Association, and a certificate for the minimum value of one point for the winner's classes, in advance of the application of the Association for membership. This was done as the managers of the Association were most anxious to get out the premium list early, and the Secretary sought confirmation for the irregularity of the action. It was in accordance with the precedent set by Mr. Vredenburg in connection with the Sacramento Show of 1900. It was moved and seconded that the action of the Secretary be herewith confirmed and approved / Carried.

A letter was read from Mr. L.A. Klein enclosing copy of one to Mr. A.P. Vredenburg, asking the good offices of this Committee in the matter of the cancellation of the wins of several of Mr. Klein's dogs for not having been listed prior to the San Francisco Show. After some discussion, it was

Resolved, that the Secretary ascertain from Mr. Klein how many dogs he entered, how many were registered or listed elsewhere prior to the show, and how many and what dogs he ordered

Listed at San Francisco. The Secretary was further instructed to procure from the San Francisco Kennel Club, Mr. Klein's entry blanks and to make a careful examination of the same, and to report to the Committee at the next meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman  
Secretary

The Secretary then read the report of the Special Committee on the advisability of holding a Dog Show by the American Kennel Club, as follows:

New York, September 17<sup>th</sup>, 1901

Present: M.A. Viti, C.W. Rodman, Jr., Jas. Watson, G. Muss-  
Arnolt, A.P. Vredenburgh.

Absent, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., Frank Croker

M.A. Viti, in the Chair

The object of the meeting having been stated by the Chairman, a full discussion was had.

The following resolution was adopted:

That the matter be laid over for report until the annual meeting. Mr. Rodman voted in the negative.

Adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,  
Secretary Committees

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Viti, Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, then read the report of that Committee as follows:

The Stud Book Committee begs leave to report as follows:

Applications have been filed for the granting of the following prefixes, which as they do not conflict with any already registered, this Committee recommends should be allowed:

Acorn, by Fred L. Seager

Bozzie, by George B. Clason

Den, by J. Edward Cowles

Emerald Hill, by John R. Flinn

Glendale, by Harold W. Gould

Iona, by Dr. and Mrs. W.W. Hadley

Sachusett, by Miss Alice Brownell

Stenton, by Mr. and Mrs. S. Boyd Carrigan

Vine, by E.F.J. Goldsmith

Beaver Brook, by Tyler Morse

In addition to the foregoing, applications were filed for the granting of:

Dakota, by Charles Brewer

Texas, by W.H. Ordway

Scottish, by A.C. Gilbert

King, by Gilbert M. King

This Committee did not approve the granting of the names Dakota and Texas as they are the names of States, and too general; Scottish was declined following the precedent of not allowing the name of any breed to be used as a Kennel name; the name King was also refused as it conflicts with one already registered.

Communications have been received from Dr. John R. Daniels and E.F. Smith in reference to a certain litter of pointer puppies reported to have been sired by Plain Sam, which was doubted owing to their lack of intelligence, but after a careful consideration of the correspondence, the Committee has concluded that they have no jurisdiction in the premises.

In re Brookdale Lilly (55837). A protest against the registration of said bitch registered by E.A. Throckmorton claiming to be breeder and owner of said bitch was filed by Miss Helen M. Caldwell and W.S. Le Mon.

After due notice to the parties in interest they appeared in person before this Committee of two meetings and also submitted certain affidavits in support of their contention, which are herewith submitted.

The evidence shows that on or about March 26, 1900, E.W. Throckmorton filed an application for the registration of the pointer bitch "Brookdale Lilly", claiming to be the breeder and owner

Thereof. Miss Caldwell from her affidavit and testimony showed to the satisfaction of this Committee that she had had possession of the dam of "Brookdale Lilly" viz: (Elkwood Mate) from 1895, and that the said latter bitch was still in her possession, This statement was substantiated by the affidavit and testimony of W.S. Le Mon and was not contradicted nor denied by said E.W. Throckmoeton whose contention was that Miss Caldwell was merely a custodian and not an owner or lessee of said Elkwood Kate[.] Numerous affidavits from disinterested parties were filed and from a consideration of all that were submitted, this Committee is of the unanimous opinion that Miss Caldwell's contention that said "Elkwood Kate" had been given to her by E.W. Throckmorton in 1895, and that she still owned said bitch, should be sustained. She therefore is the breeder of said "Brookdale Lilly" and any and all litters the Committee therefore recommends that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be directed to correct and enter all progeny of said. "Elkwood Kate" whelps subsequent to 1895, naming Miss Caldwell as breeder of the aforesaid progeny as long as the ownership of said bitch continues in her. This Committee further finds from the testimony of said W.E. Throckmorton that at the date, namely on or about March 26, 1900, of the making of said application by him for the registration of said "Brookdale Lilly" he was not the sole owner of said last named bitch and should be censured for ascribing

To himself such sole ownership.

This Committee further recommends by reason of the aforesaid conclusions reached that the application of Miss Caldwell filed on or about May 1<sup>st</sup>, 1901, for the re-registration of said "Elkwood Kate" be allowed upon complying with the rules of the American Kennel Club.

In reference to the Field Spaniel Heather, this Committee finds that the objections to the combination of its date of both breeder and pedigree as given at the W.K.C. Show is well founded, as a letter from the breeder placed the date of birth as October 26<sup>th</sup>, 1897, and not January, 1898, as stated.

In the matter of the Cocker Spaniel "Varney" the Committee reports that John Midwinter who filed an objection to the dog's pedigree has since neglected and refused to pursue his objection, although frequently requested to do so. The exhibitor of the said dog promptly furnished this Committee with an affidavit giving his reason for giving the pedigree as stated but the complainant, although six months have elapsed and he has been frequently requested to furnish his evidence, has neglected to do so. The Committee believes that it is against the best interests of this Association for anyone in the possession of knowledge of wrong doing to file an objection and refuse to pursue the same and therefore recommends that said John Midwinter be suspended.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

Marcel A. Viti

C.W. Rodman, Jr.

C.B. Knocker

On motion the said report was accepted and placed on file and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The first matter to which I call attention is a communication from Mr. John L. Lincoln in regard to a vote of censure of the Mascoutah Kennel Club passed by the American Kennel Club; also a communication from Mr. William C. Hunter in regard to the same subject.

Mr. Watson: As I was the one to bring up this matter, I think it is quite proper that I should make a statement, prefacing my remarks by saying that I am not authorized to make any statement whatever, but I think I am justified as a delegate to make a few remarks on this subject.

My idea in called attention to the matter was to prevent its recurrence. I had no intention of asking that any very grievous censure be passed upon the Mascoutah Club, but, however, the club thought fit to do so. I wish to call the attention of the club to this point, that this vote of censure was passed upon the Mascoutah Kennel Club without allowing it any opportunity to respond to the accusation, and I think that is fair ground for asking a reconsideration of the vote and passing it through in the proper way. If it

Should turn out that the Club has no defense to offer, then the vote of censure would stand; but as I have said I think the action of the club is passing this vote of censure, without allowing the Mascoutah Kennel Club to say anything in its defense was hardly proper. I was not present at the last meeting so I hardly know whether I ought to make any motion.

Mr. Mortimer: I think it would be a good thing to re-consider this matter, not because I think the vote of censure was severe, but because I think it would give the Club an opportunity of putting in a defense, or an excuse, or whatever it may deem proper. They evidently labored under a mistake, an impression that the American Kennel Club would force them to change their judge because he was not on the official list of the American Spaniel Club. I think you will agree with me in that respect. So far as my contract with them was concerned, I think you will agree with me, that as soon as the judges' names and the breeds assigned to them were published, that settled the contract; they could not go on and put me in to judge spaniels, because in my letter I told them that I would judge any breeds they were prepared to give me. They should not bring that up as a breach of contract. Dr. Niven was assigned to judge spaniels. That fact was announced in all their papers. I think Mr. Lincoln is wrong in his idea. I don't think that I committed any breach of contract at all, because as soon as the judges' names appeared in their premium lists, with the breeds assigned to them, that settled the contract. My breeds were as-

-signed, and so were Dr. Niven's. My refusal to judge spaniels, because they had taken them away from Dr. Niven, certainly does not mean that I committed a breach of contract, so far as I can see. I think, as I said, that they have been laboring under a misapprehension.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I would like to ask if that is an official communication from the Mascoutah Kennel Club.

Mr. Vredenburgh: This is a communication from Mr. Lincoln in his individual capacity. The other letter from the Collie Club is an official communication.

Mr. Carnochan: In view of the fact that the Mascoutah Kennel Club, through its Secretary, while writing as an individual, asks us to reconsider the vote of censure, I wish to make this motion, that the American Kennel Club reconsider the vote of censure on the Mascoutah Kennel Club passed at the last meeting, and that the matter be referred to the Executive Board for action. That will give them an opportunity, officially, to go before the Executive Board.

Mr. Drake: As the delegate of the Mascoutah Kennel Club, I would ask that this vote be reconsidered. I believe that the Secretary of the Mascoutah Kennel Club desires to have this matter brought up again, and I ask leave to bring it up now for discussion. I am not informed on the subject myself.

The Chair: I believe, unless there is some official application from the Mascoutah Kennel Club to the American Kennel Club in regard to this matter, that the motion made by Mr. Carnochan is out of order, and I shall rule that the motion is out of order.

We have no right to determine this question here if it has once been determined by the Executive Board.

Mr. Mortimer: No notification was made to me whatever, either officially or unofficially that I was to judge spaniels. I knew nothing of it except from one exhibitor, who wrote me a letter congratulating me on having been appointed to judge Spaniels in the place of Dr. Niven, and I replied that I had received no communication from the Club officially, or otherwise, that they wanted me to judge spaniels, and I certainly would not judge them. I am very sorry that this vote of censure cannot be reconsidered. I should like very much to have it reconsidered, and I think if Mr. Drake makes an application for a reconsideration there could be no good reason why the Executive Committee should not take the matter up.

Mr. Vredenburgh: At the last meeting of the Executive Board, Mr. G.M.A. Fecke was disqualified upon charges preferred against him by Mr. Camillo E. Pani. On July 20<sup>th</sup>, Mr. Pani wrote me a letter to the effect that Mr. Fecke had settled his claim in a satisfactory way, and it is now before the Club to decide whether the disqualification should be removed or not.

On motion the matter was referred to the Executive Board.

In regard to the disqualification of Mr. E.R. Wilbur, in default of the defense upon charges made by Mr. G.O. Smith, it was moved and seconded that the matter be referred to the Executive Board. Carried.

The Secretary called up the request of Mr. Henry Jarrett to amend the definition of what constitutes an American bred dog, which was submitted to the last meeting of the Executive Board, and by it referred to the club. After some discussion in which the opinion was freely expressed that many dogs were exhibited as American bred which were not entitled to that distinction under the existing definition, Mr. Mortimer suggested a definition of what should constitute an American bred dog.

The Chair: The secretary suggests that if the definition which you would recommend is to be published by the American Kennel Club in our show rules, it would be necessary to give notice as required by our rules, and then it would go through the proper channels to the Committee on Rules and Regulations. Just serve a written notice in the exact phraseology you desire and that will be submitted to the rules committee.

The Secretary then called attention to the communication from Mr. A.D. Cochran in regard to his Airedale Terrier Bitch, which was shown in the name of Mr. L.A. Klein as owner at the late Chicago show, since which time he had not been able to find any trace of the bitch.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that the secretary be directed to inform Mr. Cochran that his only redress is to prefer charges against Mr. Klein.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for

Disbursements, amounting to \$14.95, was approved.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Here is a charge against the San Francisco Kennel Club for non-payment of prizes, filed by Mr. I.W. Horton; also another one which the Pacific Advisory Committee sent to me. These two cases in my opinion ought to be sent back to the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that they be sent back to the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Here is an appeal from John I. Sparrow from the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Mr. Carnochan: I move it be referred to the Executive Board in accordance with the rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cable to Mrs. Ellen Oughton Giles, of Stokenchurch, England, who expressed "deepest sympathy with American," in the loss of its President, the high appreciation of the members of the club for her expression of sympathy.

Mr. Viti: I desire to move to correct the minutes as published. I see that Mr. Rodman is reported as saying: "I move that a committee be appointed by the Chair to consider the advisability of incorporating the American Kennel Club." I thin that motion also included authority to the committee to have the club incorporated. I move that the minutes as published be corrected in that respect.

Motion second and carried.

On motion of Mr. Carnochan the delegates went into a committee of the whole.

X X X X X X X X X X X X

Mr. Viti: As chairman of the committee of the whole I beg to report that at its session a motion was seconded and carried that the report of the sub-committee on reciprocity, with the English Kennel Club, be accepted.

On motion report of the Committee on the whole was accepted.

Capt. Knocker: I move that a vote of thanks be extended to the sub-committee of the American Kennel Club for the hard work that they have done in England.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Viti: I move that it is the sense of this meeting that it is to the best interest of the American Kennel Club for the secretary to attend in person the more prominent dog shows in this circuit, and that this should be done at the expense of the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburg: Before we adjourn I would like to make a statement: The Executive Board, at its meeting held on June 18<sup>th</sup>, paid me a very handsome compliment, one that was beyond my deserts. They voted me a testimonial of \$500 to pay my expenses abroad to attend to its business, and at the same time giving me a sufficient margin to have a pretty good time. I appreciated that beyond expression. I can

Not express to you the feeling that I had that the American Kennel Club would give me a testimonial for simply doing my duty. Several friend in the American Kennel Club who knew nothing about this testimonial, but out of pure friendship for me, sent me a letter of credit and told me to go to the other side and have a good time with it. That was another unexpected pleasure to me. I want to express to this club and to these gentlemen who sent me this personal testimonial my appreciation, my thanks, for their courtesy, not only for the physical gift, but for the spirit that prompted them to think of me in the handsome manner that they did. I want to say now that I used \$200 of the \$500, which covered my transportation to London and back, and having no occasion to use the other \$300 I have returned it to the credit of the American Kennel Club. At the same time I thank you most heartily for the spirit that prompted you to make me such a present.

The Chair (Mr. Rodman): It seems proper that I should be permitted to say a few words in reply to what Mr. Vredenburgh has said, and may I say that I think the spirit in which the testimonial, as he is pleased to call it, was given is equaled in the way in which it was accepted, and that the American Kennel Club made no mistake in giving Mr. Vredenburgh that small testimonial as a token of appreciation of his efficient services for all these long years to the American Kennel Club; and never were Mr. Vredenburgh's services of greater practical use and value than during the past summer.

The meeting of the two committees owe to a great extent their successful termination to Mr. Vredenburgh's through knowledge of the subjects which they discussed, and I feel sure that Mr. Carnochan, who was my fellow-committeeman, will bear me out in the statement that we as two fellow members of the same committee felt that with Mr. Vredenburgh near us we could not go astray, and that was demonstrated time and time again, not only to our satisfaction, but also to the satisfaction of the English Kennel Club, whose sub-committee repeatedly in Mr. Vredenburgh's presence and in ours paid tributes to him and showered compliments upon him, showing that he was not only appreciated in his own country, but that he was also appreciated abroad.

I apologize for doing something which the chairman is not as a rule expected to do, and if I have transgressed forgive me for my ignorance and not from any wil[l]ful desire so to do, not to take up your time.

Capt. Knocker: It seems to me that when the American Kennel Club asked Mr. Vredenburgh to accept the testimonial it did not do so with the idea that he was going to return the greater part of it to the club, and I cannot see what right the American Kennel Club has to accept such a thing from him, and although it is very just and very kind of him to tender it back I should say that the club should ask Mr. Vredenburgh to accept that \$300 as it belongs to him.

The Chair: Do you put that in the form of a motion?

Capt. Knocker: I would like to put that in the form of

A motion: That Mr. Vredenburg be asked to accept the money which was handed to him for a purpose; he was not supposed to give an account of what he did with it. If for any reason Mr. Vredenburg has not found it necessary to use that money in the way he was supposed to use it, that is no reason why he should not retain it; it was given to him as a token of appreciation of his service, and I move that he be asked to keep it.

Motion seconded and unanimously and heartily carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburg

Secy

REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB  
HELD AT ITS OFFICE, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, DECEMBER  
17, 1901.

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., in the Chair

Present:

Associate Members	A.C. Wilmerding
Airedale Terrier Club of America	A.D. Cochrane
American Fox Terrier Club	H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Spaniel Club	M.A. Viti
Brunswick Fur Club	R. Crompton
Bull Dog Club of America	Tyler Morse
Bull Terrier Club of America	Frank H. Croker
Dog Owners' Protective Association Of Cincinnati	Charles W. Rodman, Jr.
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania	G.M. Carnochan
French Bull Dog Club of America	F.J. Bristol
Irish Terrier Club of America	S. Van Schaick
Pointer Club of America	George Jarvis
San Francisco Kennel Club	C.B. Knocker
Texas Kennel Club	Geo. W. Clayton
Welsh Terrier Club of America	B.S. Smith

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were approved as published in the Sept Gazette.

The report of the Membership Committee was read, as follows

New York, December 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day the application of the New Jersey Kennel Association for admission to membership in the American Kennel Club was duly considered and your committee recommend the admission of said club to active membership. Credentials from the Westminster Kennel Club appointing Mr. Richard H. Williams as its delegate were duly considered and your committee recommend the acceptance of the same.

Respectfully submitted,

S. Van Schaick

C.B. Knocker

On motion said report was accepted.

The New Jersey Kennel Association was duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Mr. R.H. Williams was elected to represent the Westminster Kennel Club.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, December 16, 1901

TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB:

Gentlemen:-

I have the honor to report an application from the New Jersey Kennel Association for admission to membership. Also applications for the registrations of Kennel Names. These matters were referred to their proper Committees, which will submit their report at this meeting.

By virtue of the power conferred upon the Pacific Advisory Committee the Oakland Poultry Association, of Oakland, Cal. was duly

Admitted to membership by said Committee. Dates were assigned to it for a show, the classification approved, and the show held.

I beg to report that Mr. G.N. Phelps, of Boston, Mass. filed a protest against the recording of winnings awarded to the dog "Newcastle Zizi", and against its owner for showing same as a French Bulldog, having the knowledge that it was a first cross of a Boston Terrier Bitch to a French Bulldog. I have duly notified the owner, Mr. James L. Little of the protest and invited him to file his answer or to appear in person at this meeting.

Under date of June 6, 1901 Mr. Henry Jarrett filed a communication on the subject of a proper definition of the term of an "American Bred Dog." This matter was submitted to the Executive Board, and by it referred to the full meeting of the Club, and was considered at the September meeting, at which time the delegate of the Ladies' Kennel Association of America agreed to submit his views on the subject in writing, so that the same might be considered by the Committee on Constitution and Rules. Your Secretary has not received any communication whatever upon the subject, and is therefore obliged to again present the matter for your consideration.

I will submit at this meeting a communication from James M. Pulley, asking legislation on the subject of breeds divided by height. His contention is that a puppy not fully grown may be exhibited in novice, limit and open classes, and may win in said classes, but such puppy when fully grown may develop into a size that would make it ineligible to compete in a class in which it had won

as a puppy, with the possibility of being ineligible to compete in any class of its breed. The writer states that it is a matter of interest to Beagle men particularly.

I beg to report for your information that all bills for associate and Active dues and for advertising accounts were made out and duly mailed to our members and advertisers the latter part of November.

It gives me pleasure to state that the present year has been the most successful one in the history of this Association. In the matter of registrations the preceding year was the record, but with the ending of this year I am quite certain that I will be within the limit in stating that the registrations will exceed those of the previous year by over four hundred. While the number of dog shows will not be as great as in 1900, yet the average entries at said shows were much greater, and several of the important shows not being held until nearly the close of the year, it has entailed more work for the office, and in order to successfully cope with that work in time to publish it in the forthcoming volume of the Stud Book, I must again ask your consent to secure the services of an additional clerk for at least the three months of January, February and March.

Pursuant to a recent resolution of the Club, your Secretary has visited in his official capacity the shows held at Buffalo, Toronto, Atlanta and Philadelphia, and desires to express his appreciation of the hospitality and courtesy extended to him at all of these shows. He is of the opinion that after the completion of the

Spring Circuit the object of his visit shall have been attained, and he would therefore respectfully suggest that the practice be discontinued.

Your Secretary would recommend that the Chair appoint a special committee with the object of considering the advisability, and suggesting ways and means toward the purchase of a suitable home for this Association. This would keep us in line with other national organizations, which are of no greater importance in their particular departments than is THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted as read.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, December 18, 1901

TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit my quarterly financial report:-

Balance on hand Jan. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 1901	\$8,735.00	
Receipts from Jan. 1 <sup>st</sup> to date	<u>11,285.21</u>	
Total	\$20,020.21	
Disbursements from Jan. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 1901	<u>\$10,465.17</u>	
Balance on hand		\$9.555.04

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted as read.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The proceedings of the Executive Board were published in the November Gazette.

Mr. Rodman: I move that they be accepted as published in the November Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee, as follows:

PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Regular Meeting, October 15<sup>th</sup>, 1901

Present: H.H. Carlton, M.C. Allen, A.J. Allen, J.P. Norman

Absent: J.E. de Ruyter

The Secretary called the meeting to order, and Mr. Carlton was elected to the chair.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The application of the Oakland Poultry Association for membership in the American Kennel Club was read by the Secretary. The Association having complied with all the requirements and the constitution being satisfactory, it was moved and seconded that:

The Oakland Poultry Association be, and hereby is, elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Carried.

The application of the Oakland Poultry Association for approval of the dates of December 4,5,6, and 7, 1901 was granted, and the deposit of the Association as guarantee for holding a bench show on those dates accepted.

The Secretary stated that he had approved and certified with a minimum of one point the premium list of the Oakland Poultry Association, in order to enable the latter to get out the list for publication. It was moved and seconded that the action of the Secretary be, and hereby is, confirmed. Carried.

In the matter of the appeal of L.A. Klein for the good offices of this Committee on his behalf with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, to rescind the cancellation of the wins of certain of his dogs made at the San Francisco show for non-listing, it was moved and seconded that the same be laid on the table, Mr.

Klein having appealed to the Executive Board and so taken the matter out of the hands of this committee. Carried.

In the matter of Roi versus McCullough:

It appearing from the evidence and correspondence presented to this committee that Mrs. McCullough, by her own acknowledgement, did not fulfill her contract and agreement with Mrs. A.A. Roi, wither as to the condition of the Maltese terrier bitch, "Poots," or as to the forwarding of the pedigrees of the pups and of their sire, or as to the prepayment of the express charges as agreed by her in her latter of February 9<sup>th</sup>. It was moved and seconded that the said Mrs. John McCullough, of Indianapolis, proprietor of the Linden Kennels, be, and hereby is, suspended for the period of sixty (60) days from date, and that unless she fulfill her contract and agreement with the said Mrs. A.A. Roi to the satisfaction of the latter and of this committee before the expiration of that period, she be permanently disqualified, under Article XII., Section 5 of the Constitution and By-Laws of the American Kennel Club.

Carried.

In the matter of Horton versus the San Francisco Kennel Club, a complaint for the non-payment for prizes won in 1899, it was moved and seconded that the same be referred to the San Francisco Kennel Club for investigation, with the suggestion that if the prizes be found to be unpaid, they be duly paid to the complainant.

In the matter of W.E. Meek versus the San Francisco Kennel Club:

The complainant alleges that the judge, J.J. Lynn, awarded him in the ring the red ribbon, in token of the second prize for his mastiff dog, "Sharkey," in the open class for mastiffs, and that the slips handed to the official stenographer of the club were so marked, and that the award was so published in the marked catalogue; that the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club refused to pay him the second prize and tendered him the third prize, on the ground that the official slip retained by the judge for the use of the club was so marked. The official judge's book and the slips marked by Mr. Lynn for the stenographer were submitted by the San Francisco Kennel Club, and the same were found to be as alleged by the complainant. In view of the record showing that "Sharkey" was awarded the second prize in the open class for mastiffs on two slips, and received the same award in the ring, it was moved and seconded that the awards made in the ring be sustained, that the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club be order to pay the second prize to M.E. Meek, to change the official record, and that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be requested to change the official records as printed in the Gazette accordingly.

After some discussion of American Kennel Club affairs on the Pacific Coast, there being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,  
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted as read.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and on motion accepted, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

It follows:

#### APPLICATIONS FOR THE REGISTRATION OF KENNEL NAMES

Blackthorne	Stokes and Packard	Philadelphia, Pa.
Cameron	Mrs. Ira Davenport	New York City
Canton	George I. Hammond	Canton, Ohio
Cedarvale	B.S. Smith	Closter, N.J.
Craigdarroch	Mrs. Jack Brazier	Bay Shore, L.I.
Dickens	W.D. Sandwich	Fort Valley, Ga.
Fargo	Charles Brewer	Fargo, N.Dak.
May Echo	W.B. Meares	Hillsboro, N.C.
Kenmuir	H.S. Rivenburgh	Hudson, N.Y.
Old Hickory	H.B. Robinson	Greenville, Miss.
Palmetto	Percy W. Harris	Spartanburgh, S.C.
San Marco	W.P. Genovar	St. Augustine, Fla.
Valley Farm	J.B. Thomas, Jr.	Simsbury, Conn.
Wyndhurst	Charles G. Muenchinger	Newport, R.I.

#### APPLICATIONS FOR TRANSFERS

Lansdowne	George D.B. Darby to B.F. Lewis
Windermere	The Misses Lee and De Coppet to Misses Beatrice and Gertrude De Coppet

#### SUBSTITUTIONS

Shawmut to be cancelled and Aquehung substituted therefor

R.H. and M.L. Hunt, Port Chester, N.Y.

Approve,

Marcel A. Viti

C.W. Rodman, Jr.,

C.B. Knocker

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a protest from G.N. Phelps, of Boston, against James L. Little, of Brookline.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The question now arises on the subject of a proper definition of the term of "An American bred dog." You will remember that at the September meeting this question was discussed, and Mr. Mortimer gave notice that he would file an amendment to be submitted to the Rules Committee. That has not been done. Whether or not the Rules Committee shall take that matter up of their own volition is for you to decide.

The Secretary then read the definition contained in the rules.

The Chair: I think there ought to be some definition made, because several cases have come up in which it has been shown that it was a difficult matter for Secretaries of specialty clubs to decide whether dogs entered at their Shows were American bred or not.

Mr. Rodman: Have not most specialty clubs their own rules governing that question? I think I am safe in saying that the Irish Terrier Club has a definition which covers that interpretation of American bred dogs. I think I am also

Safe in saying that the Bull Dog Club has such a definition; also the Spaniel Club.

Mr. Viti: You are not quite correct with regard to the Spaniel Club. We have a custom but not a definite rule.

Mr. Rodman: The definition made by the Irish Terrier Club is this: That a bitch owned in the United States or Canada, and sent abroad to be bred, and then returned prior to whelping, its produce whelped in this country would be considered as American bred, and I was of the opinion that the American Kennel Club's definition was broad enough to cover Canada, but I am informed that that is not so. As a matter of fact I know that there are a number of specialty clubs which have their own definitions, and it seems to me if this question is to be decided, it would be best to have the matter referred to the Rules Committee, and have the Secretaries of the different clubs present at the hearing. I do not see how we can define for special clubs, their definitions of an American bred dog.

Capt. Knocker: I move that the matter be referred to the Rules Committee.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Viti: Then if the Rules Committee report a rules that is in contradiction to the terms of the rules of the specialty clubs, they will be abrogated and all those wins

Cancelled? It seems to me it is a matter for the specialty clubs to legislate on. You would have a dog winning in a class for American bred dogs, under American Kennel Club rules, and another dog that was barred from that class winning a special prizes for the best America bred specimen in a specialty club. Why not request the specialty club to communicate with the Rules Committee on this subject?

Mr. Carnochan: I was going to suggest that the Secretary write to the various specialty clubs for the definitions as held by them. I move that as an amendment to the motion which has been made.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the communication from James M. Pulley, asking legislation on the subject of breeds decided by height was referred to the Rules Committee.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Next in order is my request for authority to engage temporarily another clerk in the office for the months of January, February and March.

Mr. Rodman: I move that the Secretary be empowered to employ such clerical assistance as shall be necessary for his purpose.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I recommend that with the completion of the coming Spring circuit, the American Kennel Club will have been sufficiently introduced to the exhibitors throughout

the country, so that the practice of sending the Secretary to the different shows, may be discontinued.

Capt. Knocker: May I ask why the Secretary recommends that?

Mr. Vredenburg: In the first place, I think it is putting the American Kennel Club to a great deal of unnecessary expense.

Mr. Rodman: In response to the Secretary's statement, I beg leave to call the Secretary's attention to a resolution which was passed at a former meeting, requiring him to visit different shows. It was left to his good judgment and discretion as to when he went and when he should remain at home. If I am correct as to that, this motion is out of order.

Mr. Vredenburg: I desire to state that it is difficult to use any discretion in this matter. The officers of the different shows seem to think that that discretion ought to be used in their favor. For instance, it was thought that the Secretary discriminated between Dallas and Atlanta. The Atlanta Kennel Club held a special meeting when they saw the publication of this resolution, and invited the Secretary to visit the Atlanta show. I accepted the invitation and visited their show, but the Dallas Club, which held its show on the same date, seemed to think that the Secretary used his discretion against them, and for that reason it placed the Secretary

in rather a difficult position, and I thought that it would be best, after the completion of this circuit, if the American Kennel Club should pass a resolution authorizing me to discontinue these official visits and thereby relieve me of that embarrassment.

Mr. Rodman: My motion is still before the house that it is out of order.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburg: It has been my pet hobby for a number of years to see the time when the American Kennel Club could occupy its own quarters, and for that reason I have worked hard to get an accumulation toward that object. The other National organizations throughout the country either have or are getting their own homes, and I believe that none of them are of greater importance in their particular lines than is the American Kennel Club in its line. I therefore recommend that the Chair at this meeting appoint a special committee to go into this subject, to look into the advisability of it and devise ways and means towards obtaining that object.

Mr. Morse: I move that a Committee of Five be appointed for such purpose, one of whom shall be the Secretary.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such Committee Messrs. August Belmont, H.K. Bloodgood, M.A. Viti, G.M. Carnochan and

A.P. Vredenburgh.

Mr. Rodman: It has come to my ears that one or two members of the American Kennel Club differ to a certain extent with the decision which the Stud Book Committee made some time since, relative to the denial to a certain party of an application for a prefix. As a member of the Stud Book Committee I desire to have this matter brought to the attention of the club as a whole, and for my own personal information should be glad to get from the members present an expression of opinion as it is requested to go by the party who made the application. I would have it on the record that I am speaking now, not as a member of the Stud Book Committee, but as an individual, for the reason that if the question was to be presented to the Club officially from the Stud Book Committee, it should come from the gentleman who occupies the position of Chairman of that Committee. Inasmuch as he was not present to-day at the meeting of the Stud Book Committee, I was unable to broach this to him, and therefore I take the liberty of presenting it as an individual and not as a member of the Committee, and therefore it is not official. The question is this: Did the Stud Book Committee err in the exercise of its discretion, or was it justified from the facts in doing what it did, and what I seek is a confirmation or declination on the part of the club of our action in the matter. A short time since two

applications were presented to the Stud Book Committee for prefixes. The prefixes were for "Dacotah" and "Texas". The Stud Book Committee refused the applications. Subsequently applications from each party came in the following form: The gentleman who applied for "Dacotah" cut off the latter "h" in the spelling of the word and substituted either a "c" or "k" as the case may have been, for the letter which he used originally. The gentleman who applied for the prefix "Texas" cut off the letter "s" from the word "Texas" and applied for the word "Texa". The Stud Book Committee, feeling that by granting these applications, it opened the door to possible fraud in the future, denied both applications. It seems to me that in relation to the forms of the terms, the spelling of the words differing only in the actual letters used, the pronunciation of the words being the same, the Committee was justified in its action, and I take it that the Club as a whole will substantiate the action of the Stud Book Committee with relation to the word "Dacotah" at least. With relation to the word "Texas" I am willing to concede there is some ground for argument. "Texa" is not "Texas", but at the same time it having come to us in the form in which I have submitted it, we felt justified in declining to grant the word "Texa" for a prefix, by reason of the fact that in the future using that as a precedent, some one might apply to the Stud Book Committee for a prefix which might be used in a similar manner.

But which as a matter of fact might be pronounced in such a way as to give the impression that we were granting a prefix which covered a large extent of territory, which is, as the members of the Club know, opposite to our expressed intention and purposes. Now, I submit to the club that it is only fair that the Stud Book Committee, who act as your very humble servants, should have some idea as to what your pleasure in this matter may be, and I would like to have for the committee an expression of opinion from the members present as to whether or not it is the wish of the American Kennel Club that the Stud Book Committee should proceed on the lines which they have adopted, or whether or not we were wrong in the action we took. I ask this not for the Committee, but personally for my own personal guidance in the future in acting as a member of that Committee.

Capt. Knocker: I put in a minority report on the requests to grant those names as prefixes, for the reason that in the first place there is no State known as Dacotah, however it may be spelled; there is South Dakota and North Dakota, but there is no such State as Dacotah. Therefore, in granting that as a prefix, you are not interfering as a matter of fact, with the name of any State, because Dacotah as a State does not exist. With regard to the application for the prefix "Texa", it seems very plain to me that could not be confounded or mistaken in any way with "Texas". In fact, I submit that had we been

Asked to pass upon the word "Texa" before having been requested to grant the prefix "Texas" we would have granted it without the slightest hesitation. I think that the party who applied for the prefix "Texa" undoubtedly ought to have had it granted. In regard to the prefix "Dacotah" I cannot see how that is infringing on the name of a state when there is no such state, sell it any way you like.

Mr. Morse: You surely would give the name of "York", wouldn't you?

Mr. Rodman: I should refuse it without a moment's hesitation.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I believe I started this discussion by finding fault with the Stud Book Committee's action in this matter. The original idea in granting prefixes was to identify the dog registered and to give a kennel the right to register in the kennel name instead of the individual's name. In the registration of some 64,00 dogs, it is very difficult in some of the breeds to find a name that does not conflict with a name already registered, without having a prefix, and it was determined by the then existing Stud Book Committee that it would grant the sole right to a prefix on the payment of a certain fee. I maintain that the pronunciation of a prefix has nothing whatever to do with that prefix; we do not care how it is pronounced; it is the record that we go by. In regard to the word "Texa", the argument was made that you

might speak of a registered dog as “Texa Straightaway”, that could be easily called “Texas Straightaway” in pronunciation. I maintain the pronunciation in that case would have nothing whatever to do with it; it is the record as it is written, and “Texa” with a capital “S” for straightaway, could not possibly be misunderstood. Referring to the word “Dacotah”, the man distinctly stated that his kennel was named after an old Indian tribe called “Dacotah”. There is no such state and there never has been such a state; of course there is a North Dakota and a South Dakota, and in my opinion the Stud Book Committee did not treat the applicants for these prefixes for kennel names fairly in refusing their applications. I quite agree with the Stud Book Committee that it should refuse to grant a prefix named after a state, for a state is a very large territory, and a great many breeders live in a state, but when the word is not actually spelled like a state, or intentionally misspelled, I do not agree with the Stud Book Committee in refusing it. I think that my argument with the members of that Committee is what brought this argument up, and therefore I think I am privileged to give my views on the subject.

Mr. Rodman: It seems to me that the Stud Book Committee must be limited in its privilege to grant prefixes to some rules which will give the residents of large cities or large States an equal right. It seems to me that it is unfair for the Committee to grant to one man who happens to reside in

the City of New York, the prefix "New York" spell it how you may. It seems unfair that a man should be allowed to sue the prefix "Massachusetts"; if he sees fit it spell it "Massachewsetts"; it is fair to grant him that because it is pronounced almost exactly if not quite the same as the word properly spelled? If so, every man in Massachusetts has an equal right to that word; and it seems to me that the Stud Book Committee must be guided and must be governed in the future by some rules with relation to the territory described under the single name. Now, mind you, as I said before, I am asking for information to guide me in my endeavor to do my duty as a member of that Committee, and what I desire particularly is an expression of opinion from the members present. If this Club thinks we have erred, I am willing to grant in the future "Massachusetts" if the applicant in his application spells it "Massachewsetts", but it seems to me we would be doing that which is unfair.

Capt. Knocker: I am really sorry that this discussion occurred outside of a meeting of the Stud Book Committee. We have never had a chance to talk over this matter before. I agree with my colleague in his remarks about the similarity of names. I think that both of them ought to be granted. In these two cases before us, I do not think it is a question of spelling. As I have said before there is no such state as

Dacotah; therefore the applicant is not making a claim for the name of a state. In the other case, I do not think anyone can say that "Texa" has anything to do with "Texas".

Mr. Carnochan: Suppose a man asks the Committee to register the name "Texas", would you consider it just the same?

Capt. Knocker: No, that would be a little closer but still you couldn't pronounce that "Texas". In the case of "Texa" I cannot myself see that it has anything at all to do with Texas, and I really believe had the matter been brought before the Committee in the first place as "Texa" and not "Texas" we would have allowed it.

Mr. Viti: There is another point in this matter that seems to have been overlooked, and that is whether or not the spelling of a name has been claimed by an individual. There is a very well known prefix that is spelled "Mepal", pronounced "Maple". Why shouldn't we allow "M-a-p-e-l"? They are pronounced the same, but they are spelled differently. I think this matter has been passed upon by the American Kennel Club, was disposed of at the September meeting when you adopted the Stud Book Committee's report, which stated that the names "Dacotah" and "Texas" should not be allowed because they were the names of States. Are not there other application infringements on those names? The Committee recommended that the names of breeds could not be claimed, and the American

Kennel Club said that was right, that they could not be claimed because they were too general, and we thought that the name of a state was too general. There is no question at all but that Dacotah is the name of a state. My friend says it is not the name of one State, but I think it is the name of two states, because there are unquestionably two Dakotas.

Capt. Knocker: If you send a letter addressed to Dakota, where would it go to?

The Chair: For the information of Capt. Knocker, I will say that a telegram was addressed to me at Aiken, Carolina, and I received it.

Capt. Knocker: If you were not a well known man, and a letter is addressed to any Knocker in Dakota, who do you suppose would get it?

Mr. Rodman: As a matter of fact the word Dakota has for years and years been a legal term applied to a large extent of territory. It embraces a very large extent of territory, and it was known for years as Dakota. It was a territory, and it had a legal entity, and if we undertake to grant prefixes of Texas and Dakota, any one has the right to come in and apply for North Dakota, any one has the right to come in and apply for North Dakota and South Dakota, and Middle Dakota, and before we get through we will have so many Dakotas we will not know where we stand.

Mr. Carnochan: I offer the following resolution:

RESOLVED that the action of the Stud Book Committee in refusing

To grant the applications for the prefixes "Dacotah" and "Texa", be sustained, and that it is recommended to the Committee that the names of the states, breeds of dogs, or any infringement thereof, be not allowed by the Committee as prefixes or affixes.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Morse offered the following resolution:

RESOLVED that hereafter no dog accepted for registration in the American Kennel Club Stud Book can subsequently be registered in another name.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Carnochan: We were talking of the advantage of having prefixes registered and having the sole use thereof. Is there any way to prevent such a thing as this happening: An unscrupulous person wishes to show a dog at a show, and he goes to the Secretary of the show and entered the dog. He lists the dog under the name of my prefix, shows that dog and sells it. He is then using my prefix, isn't he? What is to prevent him from doing that?

Mr. Viti: I am very glad indeed that you mentioned that. That is the actual fact. It happened at the Philadelphia show. I declined fifteen entries of listed dogs made out in three kennel names. I happened to know the names and knew they were registered, and declined them and sent them back to the exhibitors.

Mr. Carnochan: I have reference to their being listed by the Club Secretary. He does not know whether they are properly listed or not. About ten days after the show is held, he send down the dogs' names to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The only remedy I can see is that a rule be passed directing the Secretary to cancel the awards, if any, to any dog bearing a registered prefix used without authority.

Mr. Viti: In that way you would injure thousands of innocent persons. The persons were sorry that they made these entries. They took the names because they liked them.

Mr. Carnochan: It seems to me that the proper way would be for the Secretary to disqualify any dog shown with a registered kennel prefix, the owner of which prefix is not the breeder of the dog. However, as this appears to be a matter which requires some considerable attention, I move it be referred to the Stud Book Committee for report at the next meeting.

Seconded and carried.

The Meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh  
Secy.